## **Full Text Review Form**

		Primary Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	
1.	Original research (exclud	e reviews, editorials, commentaries, letters to editor, etc.)	
	YES	NO	
2.	Study published in Engli	h	
	YES	NO	
3.	Is this a study of the effective testicle?	eness of imaging in determining the presence and location of a nonpalp	able
	YES	NO le ≥10 participants with cryptorchidism? NO	
4.	Is this a study of hormonal stimulation testing for treatment planning in prepubescent males with <b>bilateral, nonpalpable</b> testes?		
	YES	NO	
	<b>If ves:</b> does the study inc	ude $\geq 10$ participants with <b>bilateral, nonpalpable</b> testes?	
	<b>j</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ude \(\geq 10\) participants with <b>onateral, nonparpable</b> testes?	
	YES	NO	
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medica		
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medica	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stag	
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic version of the study utility (ex: does the study utility).	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stags open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)	e vs
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic version of the study utility (ex: does the study utility).	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stags open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treater)	e vs
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic verses)  YES  If yes: does the study util hormonal vs. surgical the YES	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stages open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treatapy, treatment vs. no treatment)	e vs
5.	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic verses)  YES  If yes: does the study util hormonal vs. surgical the YES	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stags open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treatapy, treatment vs. no treatment)  NO	e vs
	Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic v YES  If yes: does the study util hormonal vs. surgical the YES  If relevant comparison YES	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stags open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treatapy, treatment vs. no treatment)  NO  group: does the study include ≥10 participants per group?	e vs
	YES  Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic versions)  YES  If yes: does the study util hormonal vs. surgical the YES  If relevant comparison YES  for:	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stags open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treatapy, treatment vs. no treatment)  NO  group: does the study include ≥10 participants per group?  NO	e vs
	Is the study an effectiven (ex: laparoscopy, medical two-stage, laparoscopic v YES  If yes: does the study util hormonal vs. surgical the YES  If relevant comparison YES	ess evaluation of included therapeutic interventions for cryptorchic therapies, surgical therapy, specific surgical techniques (one-stages open approach, orchiectomy vs orchiopexy)  NO  ize a relevant comparison group? (i.e. comparison of different treatapy, treatment vs. no treatment)  NO  group: does the study include ≥10 participants per group?  NO  CUSSION	e vs

## **COMMENTS:**