# **H.3** Diagnostic tools for psoriatic arthritis

## H.3.1 ToPAS vs clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist

Reference	Study type	Number of patients	Patient ch	naracteris	tics	Index test	Reference standard	Outcome measures	Source of
D. D. Gladman, C. T. Schentag, B. D. Tom, V. Chandran, J. Brockbank, C. Rosen, and V. T.	Diagnostic cohort study (following initial development of the questionnaire)  Five clinics (PsA, psoriasis, general dermatology, general rheumatology (excluding PsA patients)	gnostic cohort study llowing initial velopment of the estionnaire)  e clinics (PsA, priasis, general matology, general matology  134 patients from the PsA	or educat	clinics for attending rapy, othe ion), gene ogy or rhe dicine	r PsA, g for er day therapy eral eumatology or	not designed	Clinical diagnosis by trained rheumatologists according to standard protocol: complete history, physical exam, routine lab tests, rheumatoid	Primary outcomes measures: Sensitivity and specificity Secondary outcomes	of funding Krembil Foundation
Farewell. Developme nt and initial validation of a screening	ewell. elopme and family medicine) with psoriasis, 118 from dermatology consecutive patients; some patients already had a known with psoriasis, 118 from dermatology rening already had a known y and 178	Many	PsA clinic (n=13 4)	Psoriasi s clinic (n= 123)	weighted scoring omitting questions 7,8 and 11: the nuclear factor PPV NPV report for total in PsA clinic but	measures:  PPV and NPV (only reported for the total patient			
questionna ire for psoriatic arthritis: the Toronto	diagnosis when the index test was performed and so would not be directly applicable to our question regarding	from family medicine	Mean age (years) M/F (%)	49.6 ± 13.1 59.7/4 0.3	48.6 ± 1 3.4 64·2/35 .8	questions are grouped into 3 domains: score calculated	only if a clinical suspicion of arthritis in other clinics (ie. Joint or back pain or limitation of	group – not our population	

Arthritis	psoriasis patients to			joint deformities)	
Screen	identify potential PsA		(skin	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(ToPAS).	for referral to a		domain) +		
Ann.Rheu	rheumatologist		(nail	A	
m.Dis. 68	Index test: post-hoc		domain) +	Assessments	
(4):497-	selection of		(2× joint	performed by 4	
501, 2009.	threshold could have		domain)	rheumatologists	
,	been to maximise			but the majority	
Ref ID:	sensitivity and/or			(77%) were	
GLADMAN	specificity and may		Domain	conducted by a	
2009	lead to		scores Skin:	single	
	overoptimistic		0-3;	rheumatologist	
	measures of test		joint: 0-3;		
	performance; also,		nail: 0 or 1		
	post-hoc weighting			<b>Diagnosis</b> of PsA:	
	and question			inflammatory	
	selection used to		Threshold of	arthritis in the	
	optimise results		≥8 for	presence of	
	Reference standard:		classifying as	psoriasis	
	rheumatological		PsA means		
	assessment		that a		
	according to		patient must	Note: subsequent	
	standard protocol;		score ≥2 on	application of	
	unclear if blinded to		the joint	CASPAR criteria	
	index test results		domain	proved them to	
	Flow and timing:		aoman	be sensitive and	
	index test prior to			specific in these	
	reference test; all			patients	
	patients analysed by				
	index and reference				
	test (but not all had				
	radiographs); time				
	between tests				
	unclear but likely to				

be the same day as			
patients recruited			
during clinic visit			

#### **Effect Size**

Clinical diagnosis, n	PsA	No PsA
PsA clinic (N=134)	134	0
Psoriasis clinic (N= 123)	30	93

Sensitivity and specificity of ToPAS in a combined patient group from PsA and psoriasis clinics (based on a threshold score of ≥8 for classifying as PsA):

- Sensitivity: 89.1 (83.0-93.2)% => 10.9% of those with PsA would not be detected
- Specificity: 86.3 (76.4-92.5)% => 13.7% of those **without** PsA would be inappropriately referred

#### 2 x 2 table

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 146	FP: 13
Index test -ve	FN: 18	TN: 80

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.64
PPV	91.8%
NPV	81.6% (18.4% probability of having PsA)
LR +	6.37
LR-	0.13

## **Authors' conclusion:**

• The simplified ToPAS index is very good at classifying those who are and are not diagnosed with PsA

## H.3.2 PASE vs clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist

Reference	Study type	Number of patients	Patient characteristics	Index test	Reference standard	Outcom e measure s	Source of funding
M. E. Husni, K. H. Meyer, D. S. Cohen, E. Mody, and	Diagnostic cohort study (following initial development of the questionnaire)	N: 69 Patients	Inclusion criteria: diagnosis of psoriasis	Psoriatic Arthritis Screening and Evaluation	Clinical diagnosis on the basis of joint exam (including	Primary outcome s measure	Bringha m and Women 's Hospita

A. A. Qureshi. The PASE questionnair	Patient selection: difficult diagnoses based on clinical assessment excluded from the study; unclear if patient selection method is	with missing data on >1 question	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> patients on systemic therapy; concomitant PsA and OA	(PASE); self- administered	presence of dactylitis and/or synovitis and/or nail pitting),	s: Sensitivit y and specificit	
questionnair e: pilot- testing a psoriatic arthritis screening and evaluation tool. J.Am.Acad.D ermatol. 57 (4):581-587, 2007.  Ref ID: HUSNI2007	selection method is appropriate; unclear if PsA diagnosis already known prior to selection for PASE testing  Index test: post-hoc selection of threshold to optimise sensitivity and/or specificity and may lead to overoptimistic measures of test performance  Reference standard: rheumatological assessment according to standard protocol; unclear if blinded to index test results  Flow and timing: all patients received index and reference test (but unclear if all results analysed/if all	question excluded from analysis	Mean baseline  Mean age (years)  M/F (%)  Mean 4II (n=69)  Mean age 51 (years)	Possible range 15-75 (each of 15 questions scored as either 1: strongly disagree; 2: disagree; 3: neutral; 4: agree; 5: strongly agree)	nail pitting), clinical history including history of morning stiffness and radiographs based on Moll and Wright Criteria; plus evaluation by a rheumatologist		
	questionnaires were adequately completed); time between tests unclear (reference standard may have been performed at variable times prior to index test if diagnosis made before clinic visit)						

#### **Effect Size**

Clinical diagnosis	N (%)
PsA (N=69)	
PsA	17 (24.6%)
Non-PsA	52 (75.4%)
OA only	24 (34.8%)
Severe* PsA (n=17)	
PsA	10 (58.8%)
Severe PsA	7 (41.2%)

<sup>\*</sup>Defined as PsA of the mutilans type or those who required immediate DMARD therapy based on erosions found on imaging

There was a statistically significant difference in median scores (total, symptom and function) between:

- patients with and without PsA; all 3 scores were higher in PsA cases (p<0.001)
- patients with PsA and OA; all 3 scores were higher in PsA cases (symptom and function scores: p=0.01; total score: p=0.007)
- patients with non-severe PsA and severe PsA; all 3 scores were higher in severe PsA cases (symptom score: p=0.02; function score: p=0.051 (NS); total score: p=0.02)

Sensitivity and specificity of PASE in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of 47 for classifying as PsA):

• Sensitivity: 82.4 (57-96)% => 17.6% of those with PsA would not be detected

- Specificity: 73.1 (59-84)% => 26.9% of those without PsA would be inappropriately referred
- **AUC** for *total* score = 0.84
- **AUC** for *function* score = 0.84
- **AUC** for *symptom* score = 0.80

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 14	FP: 14
Index test -ve	FN: 3	TN: 38

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.25
PPV	50.0%
NPV	92.7% (7.3% probability of having PsA)
LR +	3.06
LR-	0.24

#### **Authors' conclusion:**

• The PASE questionnaire is a self-administered tool that can be used to screen for PsA among patients with psoriasis.

- PASE can distinguish between symptoms of PsA and osteoarthritis.
- A larger study is needed to validate PASE in dermatology clinics in the community.

## H.3.3 PASE vs clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist using Moll and Wright criteria

Reference	Study type	Number of patients	Patient charact	eristics	Index test	Reference standard	Outcome measures	Source of
P. L. Dominguez, M. E. Husni, E. W. Holt, S. Tyler, and A. A. Qureshi. Validity, reliability, and sensitivity-to- change properties of the psoriatic arthritis screening and evaluation questionnaire . Archives of Dermatologic al Research 301 (8):573- 579, 2009.  Ref ID: DOMINGUE Z2009	Brigham and Women's Hospital dermatology clinic, arthritis clinic, and a dermatology—rheumatology combined clinic (MA, USA)  • Patient selection: unclear if patient selection method is appropriate (approached by study staff — may not be consecutive); PsA diagnosis new in the majority of participants and if not no Tx for PsA received (applicable) • Index test: post-hoc selection of threshold to optimise sensitivity and/or specificity and may lead to overoptimistic measures of test performance	N: 194  4 patients with missing data on >1 question excluded from analysis (if one item left blank it was scored as 0)	Inclusion criterior PsA; 18-85 years PsA	ia: patients rapy; A and OA ant diagnosis des not  Category 57.8/42.1	Psoriatic Arthritis Screening and Evaluation (PASE); self- administered while waiting to be seen by physician  Possible range 15-75 (each of 15 questions scored as either 1: strongly disagree; 2: disagree; 3: neutral; 4: agree; 5: strongly	Clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist on the basis of Moll and Wright Criteria: the patient's history and clinical exam, including tender and swollen joint count, the presence of dactylitis, and/or nail pitting, as well as history of morning stiffness, and review of radiographs when available.  A rheumatologist who employed the Moll and Wright criteria reviewed	Primary outcomes measures: Sensitivity and specificity  Secondary outcomes measures: Test-retest reliability; sensitivity to change	Nationa I Institute of Arthritis and Muscul oskelet al and Skin Diseas es

Reference standard:     rheumatological     assessment according to     standard protocol; unclear	American Hispanic	3	agree) Usually	all cases to determine case from non-case.		
if blinded to index test results	Asian	1	completed within 4-6	The majority of PsA	f Dc A	
• Flow and timing: 4 patients with missing data	Multiracial		min	cases were new. Existing cases of		
on >1 question excluded from analysis; time	Other	25		PsA had not received therapy.		
between tests unclear (reference standard may	Unknown	12		.,		
have been performed at variable times prior to	PsA diagnosis (	n)				
index test if diagnosis made before clinic visit)	Non-PsA	153				
	PsA	37				
	Co-morbid con	ditions (n)				
	Rheumatoid arthritis	7				
	Gout	13				
	Osteoarthritis	29				

### **Effect Size**

• Total PASE score ranged from 15-74

There was a statistically significant difference in median scores (total, symptom and function) between:

- patients with and without PsA; all 3 scores were higher in PsA cases (p<0.001)
- patients with PsA and OA; all 3 scores were higher in PsA cases (symptom score: p=0.014; function score: p=0.082 (NS); total score: p=0.039) Note: 6 participants with **both** PsA and OS excluded from this analysis

Sensitivity and specificity of PASE in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of 44 for classifying as PsA):

- Sensitivity: 76 (59-88)% => 24% of those with PsA would not be detected
- Specificity: 76 (68-82)% => 24% of those without PsA would be inappropriately referred
- **AUC** for *total* score = 0.797
- **AUC** for *function* score = 0.759
- **AUC** for *symptom* score = 0.814

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 28	FP: 37
Index test -ve	FN: 9	TN: 116

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.195
PPV	43.1%
NPV	92.8% (7.2% probability of having PsA)
LR+	3.13

LR-	0.32

Sensitivity and specificity of PASE in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of 47 as determined in the Husni study for classifying as PsA):

- Sensitivity: 70 (53-84)% => 30% of those with PsA would not be detected
- Specificity: 80 (73-86)% => 20% of those without PsA would be inappropriately referred

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 26	FP: 31
Index test -ve	FN: 11	TN: 122

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.195
PPV	45.61%
NPV	91.7% (8.3% probability of having PsA)
LR +	3.47
LR-	0.37

Sensitivity and specificity of PASE in psoriasis population excluding those with quiescent or asymptomatic PsA (n=180; 10 excluded) (based on a threshold score of 47 for classifying as PsA):

- Sensitivity: 93 (78-99)% => 7% of those with PsA would not be detected
- Specificity: 80 (73-86)% => 24% of those without PsA would be inappropriately referred

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 25	FP: 31
Index test -ve	FN: 2	TN: 122

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.15
PPV	44.6%
NPV	98.4% (1.6% probability of having PsA)
LR +	4.57
LR-	0.09

**Note:** The PASE questionnaire missed nine participants with PsA because their total PASE score was below the 44 score cut-off for PsA. Of these nine participants, four had limited disease, two had quiescent disease, one had axial involvement, one participant received multiple intra-articular injections 10 days prior to PASE administration and another participant had been off systemic therapy for 5 months but began flaring at the time of PASE administration.

Another 37 participants were screening test-positive for PsA but did not have the disease. Of these 37 participants, 18 had a history of other musculoskeletal conditions such as severe osteoarthritis/degenerative joint disease, spinal stenosis, carpal tunnel syndrome, chondromalacia, muscle

strain, and muscle sprain. Another seven participants had undifferentiated arthritis, four had gout, two had fibromyalgia, one had peripheral neuropathy, one had spondyloarthropathy, and one had lupus. The authors did not have access to the medical records of the three remaining individuals.

#### **Authors' conclusion:**

- Administration of a well-designed and validated screening tool can increase detection of PsA in psoriasis patients, determine the prevalence of PsA in a given population; capture clinical data for genotype—phenotype studies, and monitor response to therapy.
- The PASE questionnaire is a valid and reliable tool to screen for active PsA among individuals with psoriasis and may be used as a marker of therapeutic response after systemic therapy.

## H.3.4 PAQ vs clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist

Reference	Study type	Number of patients	Patient ch	aracteristics		Index test	Reference standard	Outcome measures	Source of funding
G. M. Alenius, B. Stenberg, H. Stenlund, M. Lundblad, and S. R. Dahlqvist. Inflammatory joint manifestation s are prevalent in psoriasis: prevalence	enius, B. enberg, H. enlund, M. andblad, d S. R. ahlqvist. flammatory nt enifestation are evalent in oriasis:  Diagnostic cohort study  N: 276  N: 276  N: 276  N: 276  N: 276  N: 276  Patient selection: patient selection: question: appropriate (invited)	psoriasis by dermatologist or GP >16 years  74 patients (22.8%) dropped out (46 did not answer election: election ate (invited re; 28 did			ist or GP; nts with	Psoriatic and Arthritic Questionnai re (PAQ); self- administere d  Clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist: the patient's history and clinical exam  Diagnostic criteria:  Peripheral arthritis: tender and swollen	Primary outcomes measures: Prevalence, sensitivity and specificity  Secondary	Swedish psoriasis associati on, Medial Faculty of Universit y of Umea city and King Gustav V 80-year foundatio	
study of joint and axial involvement in psoriatic patients, and evaluation of a psoriatic and arthritic	all eligible from register); patients with known arthritic disease excluded to assess relevant screening population  Index test: prior to	not wish to participate in follow- up study)	Mean	Peripheral arthritis and/or axial disease (n=67)	Non- arthritic (n=135)	removed because not relevant when selected patients known not	joint >6 wk, located outside the spine and/or SI joints  Sacroiliitus:	outcomes measures: PPV, NPV	n
questionnaire . J.Rheumatol. 29 (12):2577- 2582, 2002. Ref ID: ALENIUS200	reference standard; post-hoc selection of threshold to optimise sensitivity and/or specificity and may lead to overoptimistic		Age (years)  Duratio n of skin	54.4 ± 14.4 29.7 ± 14.3	50.4 ± 14.4 24.8 ± 13.9	to have diagnosed arthritis	radiological grading of SI joints according to New York Criteria (≥grade 2)  Note: radiographic assessment		

measures of test performance  Reference standard: rheumatological assessment according to standard protocol; unclear if blinded to index test results  Flow and timing: 74 patients (22.8%) dropped out (46 did not answer the questionnaire; 28 did not wish to participate in follow-up study); time between tests unclear (but index test appears to have been sent out by post so would have been some delay)	disease (years)	range 0-8  PAQ; weighted scoring giving the questions that most strongly predicted arthritis a double range 0-9  Possible range 0-9  Undifferentiated SpA: inflammatory back pain and decreased mobility of the spine in at least 2 directions without fulfilling criteria for sacroiliitis or axial disease  Image 0-8  Possible range 0-9  performed in patients with any history of back pain and decreased mobility or axial disease  possible range 0-9  performed in patients with any history of the spine in at least 2 directions without fulfilling criteria for sacroiliitis or axial disease
---	-----------------	--

			Enthesitits: signs of tenderness, swelling, redness, warmth, loss of function, and/or radiographic destruction at the insertion site of the Achilles tendon, plantar fascia and lateral or medial epicondoyle  Blood as collected to measure erythrocycte sedimentation rate, CRP, orosomucoid,	
Effect Size  Clinical diagno	sis		haptoglobin and RF	

	All (N=202) n (%)	Active disease n (%)
No joint disease	78 (38.6)	
Peripheral arthritis	45 (22.3)	23 (51.1)
Axial disease	9 (4.5)	4 (44.4)
Peripheral + axial disease	13 (6.4)	11 (84.6)
Undifferentiated SpA	12 (5.9)	5 (41.7)
Peripheral enthesitis/tenosynovitis	18 (8.9)	8 (44.4)
Other joint complaints	27 (13.4)	

## **Moll and Wright Classification**

	All (N=202) n (%)	Female (n=100)	Male (n=102)	Active disease n (%)
DIP joint disease, exclusively	0	0	0	
Axial disease	15 (7.4)	15	15	10 (66.7)
Mono/oligoarthritis	30 (14.6)	12	15	15 (50)
Polyarthritis	21 (10.4)	0	9	12 (57.1)
Mutilans arthritis, exclusively	1	0	1	1 (100)

Sensitivity and specificity of PAQ in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of ≥4 for predicting peripheral arthritis and/or axial disease):

- Sensitivity: 60% => 40% of those with PsA would not be detected
- **Specificity**: 62.2% => 37.8% of those **without** PsA would be inappropriately referred
- **AUC** = 0.640
- **OR =** 2.343 (1.224-4.482; p = 0.010)

Note: Only 30 of the 67 with PsA were newly diagnosed and included in analysis

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 18	FP: 51
Index test -ve	FN: 12	TN: 84

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.182
PPV	26.09%
NPV	87.50% (12.5% probability of having PsA)

LR +	1.59
LR-	0.64

PAQ score Sensitivity		Spec	cificity	PPV (%)		NP	NPV (%)	
	Population A	Population B						
≥3	73.3	83.6	44.4	52.6	22.7	46.7	88.2	86.6
≥4	60.0	82.1	62.2	57.0	26.1	48.7	87.5	86.5
≥5	46.7	77.6	72.6	65.9	27.5	53.1	86.0	85.6
≥6	30.0	68.7	83.0	77.8	28.1	60.5	84.2	83.3
≥7	16.7	53.7	91.1	86.7	29.4	66.7	83.1	79.1
≥8	10.0	41.8	97.0	94.1	42.9	77.8	82.9	94.1

**Population A:** PAQ score excluding patients with known arthritis and the third question

**Population B:** Total population including all patients and all questions

Sensitivity and specificity of PAQ in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of ≥4 for predicting **any inflammatory manifestation**):

- **Sensitivity**: 55% => 45% of those **with** PsA would not be detected
- **Specificity**: 65.7% => 34.3% of those **without** PsA would be inappropriately referred
- **AUC** = 0.647
- **OR** = 2.471 (1.100-5.548; p = 0.028)

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 33	FP: 36
Index test -ve	FN: 27	TN: 69

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.364
PPV	47.83%
NPV	71.88% (28.12% probability of having PsA)
LR +	1.60
LR-	0.68

Sensitivity and specificity of **modified PAQ** (with weighted scoring giving the questions that most strongly predicted arthritis a double score) in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of ≥5 for predicting **peripheral arthritis and/or axial disease**):

• Sensitivity: 50% => 50% of those with PsA would not be detected

• **Specificity**: 73.3% => 26.7% of those **without** PsA would be inappropriately referred

PPV: 29.4%NPV: 86.8%

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 15	FP: 36
Index test -ve	FN: 15	TN: 99

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.182
PPV	29.41%
NPV	86.84% (28.12% probability of having PsA)
LR +	1.88
LR-	0.68

Sensitivity and specificity of **modified PAQ** (with weighted scoring giving the questions that most strongly predicted arthritis a double score) in psoriasis population (based on a threshold score of ≥5 for predicting **any inflammatory manifestation**):

- **Sensitivity**: 45% => 55% of those **with** PsA would not be detected
- **Specificity**: 77.1% => 22.9% of those **without** PsA would be inappropriately referred

PPV: 52.9%NPV: 71.1%

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 27	FP: 24
Index test -ve	FN: 33	TN: 81

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.364
PPV	52.94%
NPV	71.05% (28.95% probability of having PsA)
LR +	1.97
LR-	0.71

## **Authors' conclusion:**

• The PAQ did not discriminate for arthritis in this population with psoriasis.

## H.3.5 PEST and PAQ vs clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist

Reference	Study type	Number of patients	Patient chara	acteristics	Index test	Reference standard	Outcome measure s	Source of funding
G. H. Ibrahim, M. H. Buch, C. Lawson, R. Waxman, and P. S. Helliwell. Evaluation of an existing screening	Diagnostic cohort study plus extra pre-diagnosed cases  Hospital and community-based populations  • Patient selection: patient selection of main sample from	N: 168 questionnai re returned (27% response rate)  1 in 2 sample of	Inclusion crit of psoriasis b dermatologis  Exclusion crit stated	t or GP	Psoriasis Epidemiology Screening Tool (PEST) and the Alenius modified Psoriatic and Arthritic Questionnaire (PAQ); self-	Clinical diagnosis by rheumatologist : the patient's history and clinical exam (tender/swollen /damaged joint count) similar to CASPAR	Primary outcome s measure s: Sensitivit y and specificit y	None stated
tool for psoriatic arthritis in people with psoriasis and the development of a new	GP database (all eligible were sent the questionnaire by post and 1 in 2 sample of respondents clinically examined); separate series of consecutive patients with known PsA also invited to complete the questionnaire	alternate respondent s invited for hospital examinatio n = <b>93</b> examined	Mean	Newly diagnosed PsA (n=12) 54.9 ± 9.2	administered	Skin also assessed using PASI	Secondar y outcome s measure s:	
instrument: the Psoriasis Epidemiology Screening Tool (PEST) questionnair e.	<ul> <li>Index test: PEST – prior to reference standard; unclear method of selection of threshold</li> <li>Comparator test: PAQ – no details of when administered or to whom</li> </ul>	Plus separate sample of <b>21</b> known PsA cases	(years)  Duration of skin disease (years)	31.8 ± 17.9		Blood as collected to measure CRP and RF, plus x- ray of hand, feet, pelvis and	PPV, NPV	

Clin.Exp.Rheu matol. 27 (3):469-474, 2009. Ref ID: IBRAHIM200 9	<ul> <li>Reference standard:         rheumatological assessment         according to standard protocol         (similar to CASPAR criteria);         unclear if blinded to index test         results</li> <li>Flow and timing: 168 sent         questionnaire; 1 in 2 sample         of alternate respondents         invited for hospital         examination = 93 examined</li> <li>Separate sample of 21 known         PsA cases (used for         assessment of diagnostic         performance) also included;         time between tests unclear         (but index test sent out by         post so would have been         some delay); not all patients         included in the analysis of PAQ         (108/114) and unclear why the         6 were excluded</li> </ul>	(unclear if these were just used for questionnai re design or also for assessment of diagnostic performanc e)	Duration of joint disease (years) PASI	19.2 ± 15.1  2.1 ± 2.0		lumbar spine in first 20 patients found to be normal, so subsequent participants only had these tests if thought to have PsA		
---	---	--	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--

## **Effect Size**

**Clinical diagnosis** 

	All (N=93)
No joint disease	12
PsA	12

OA	26
Mechanical low back pain	18
Unclassified polyarthralgia	12
Hypermobility syndrome	3
Regional pain syndrome	5
Other	5

## **PEST manikin results**

• Median number of joints ticked: PsA = 8; other diagnosis = 4

	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity	Specificity
Alenius modified PAQ	0.76 (0.69-0.85)	0.63	0.72
(threshold ≥4)			
PEST (threshold ≥3)	0.91 (0.86-0.97)	0.92	0.78

## 2 x 2 table for PEST (N=114)

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 30	FP: 19
Index test -ve	FN: 3	TN: 62

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.289
PPV	61.22%
NPV	95.38% (4.62% probability of having PsA)
LR +	3.88
LR-	0.12

## 2 x 2 table for mPAQ (N=108)

	Reference test +ve	Reference test -ve
Index test +ve	TP: 20	FP: 21
Index test -ve	FN: 12	TN: 55

Summary statistic	
Pre-test probability/prevalence	0.296
PPV	48.78%
NPV	82.09% (17.91% probability of having PsA)

LR +	2.26
LR-	0.52

## **Authors' conclusion:**

• A new screening tool for identifying people with psoriatic arthritis has been developed. Five simple questions demonstrated good sensitivity and specificity in this population but further validation is required.