

Table O.22: Individual parent training versus group parent training

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
Participants (studies) Follow up	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Overall quality of evidence	Study event rates (%)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects	
							With group parent training	With individual parent training		Risk with group parent training	Risk difference with individual parent training (95% CI)
Targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – post-treatment (Better indicated by lower values)											
38 (1 study)	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ VERY LOW ^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision	15	23	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – post-treatment in the intervention groups was 0.38 standard deviations lower (1.04 lower to 0.28 higher)
Targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – follow-up (Better indicated by lower values)											
38 (1 study) 26 weeks	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ VERY LOW ^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision	15	23	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – follow-up in the intervention groups was 0.05 standard deviations lower (0.7 lower to 0.61 higher)
Targeted behaviour that challenges (frequency) – post-treatment (Better indicated by lower values)											
31 (1 study)	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ VERY LOW ^{1,2} due to risk	13	18	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (frequency) – post-treatment in the intervention groups

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
						of bias, imprecision					was 0.34 standard deviations lower (1.06 lower to 0.38 higher)
Targeted behaviour that challenges (frequency) – follow-up (Better indicated by lower values)											
31 (1 study) 26 weeks	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	undetected	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW ^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision	13	18	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (frequency) – follow-up in the intervention groups was 0.12 standard deviations higher (0.59 lower to 0.84 higher)
¹ Crucial limitation for one criterion or some limitations for multiple criteria sufficient to lower ones confidence in the estimate of effect ² Optimal information size not met; small, single study											