Table O.26: Behavioural therapy versus any control

Quality assessment							Summary of findings				
Participa nts (studies) Follow up	Risk of bias	Inconsistenc y	Indirectnes s	Imprecis ion	Publicati on bias	Overall quality of evidence	Study event rates (%)		Relativ e	Anticipated absolute effects	
							With Any contr ol	With Behaviou ral therapy	effect (95% CI)	Risk with Any control	Risk difference with Behavioural therapy (95% CI)
Targeted	behavio	our that challen	iges (severity) – post-tre	atment (Be	tter indicated	by lowe	er values)			
61 (1 study)	seriou s ¹	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ²	undetect ed	 ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ VERY LOW^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision 	30	31	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – post-treatment in the intervention groups was 0.47 standard deviations lower (0.98 lower to 0.04 higher)
Targeted	behavio	our that challen	iges (severity) – follow-u	ıp (Better ir	ndicated by lov	wer valu	les)			
63 (1 study) 78 weeks	seriou s ¹	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ²	undetect ed	 ⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision 	30	33	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – follow-up in the intervention groups was 0.33 standard deviations lower (0.85 lower to 0.19 higher)

² Optimal information size not met; small, single study