Table O.36: Topiramate (plus risperidone) versus placebo (plus risperidone) in children and young people

Quality assessment							Summary of findings					
Participa nts (studies) Follow up	Risk of bias	Inconsistenc y	Indirectnes s	Imprecis ion	Publicati on bias	Overall quality of evidence	Study event rates (%)		Relativ e effect	Anticipated absolute effects		
							With place bo	With valpro ate	(95% CI)	Risk with place bo	Risk difference with valproate (95% CI)	
Targeted	behavio	ur that challen	ges (severity)	- post-trea	atment (Bet	ter indicated by lov	ver valu	es)				
57 (2 studies)	seriou s <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectnes s	serious <sup>3</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW¹,2,3 due to risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision	25	32	-		The mean targeted behaviour that challenges (severity) – post-treatment in the intervention groups was 0.06 standard deviations lower (0.75 lower to 0.63 higher)	
Targeted	behavio	ur that challen	ges (severity,	non-impro	vement) – į	post-treatment						
27 (1 study)	seriou s <sup>4</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>5</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW <sup>4,5</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	10/11 (90.9 %)	6/16 (37.5 %)	RR 0.41 (0.21 to 0.8)	909 per 1000	536 fewer per 1000 (from 182 fewer to 718 fewer)	

57	seriou	no serious	no serious	serious <sup>3</sup>	undetect	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$	25	32	-		The mean adverse events
(2 studies)	s <sup>1</sup>	inconsistenc y	indirectnes s		ed	LOW <sup>1,3</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision					(weight gain; kg) – post- treatment in the intervention groups was 0.29 standard deviations higher (0.24 lower to 0.82 higher)
Adverse 6	events (v	veight gain, no	n-occurrence	e) – post-tre	eatment						
30 (1 study)	seriou s <sup>4</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>5</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW <sup>4,5</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	10/14 (71.4 %)	9/16 (56.3 %)	RR 0.79 (0.46 to 1.36)	714 per 1000	150 fewer per 1000 (from 386 fewer to 257 more)
Adverse 6	events (s	somnolence/se	dation, non-o	ccurrence)	– post-trea	atment					
57 (2 studies)	seriou s <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious <sup>3</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊕⊖ LOW <sup>1,3</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	19/25 (76% )	29/32 (90.6 %)	RR 1.19 (0.9 to 1.56)	760 per 1000	144 more per 1000 (from 76 fewer to 426 more)
Adverse 6	events (c	discontinuation	due to adve	rse events,	non-occuri	rence) – post-treatn	nent				
57 (2 studies)	seriou s <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious <sup>3</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊕⊖ LOW¹,³ due to risk of bias, imprecision	25/25 (100 %)	30/32 (93.8 %)	RR 0.95 (0.83 to 1.08)	1000 per 1000	50 fewer per 1000 (from 170 fewer to 80 more)
Adverse 6	events (d	discontinuation	due to other	reasons, r	on-occurre	ence) – post-treatm	ent				
27 (1 study)	seriou s <sup>4</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>5</sup>	undetect ed	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW <sup>4,5</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	10/11 (90.9 %)	15/16 (93.8 %)	RR 1.03 (0.82 to 1.29)	909 per 1000	27 more per 1000 (from 164 fewer to 264 more)

Optimal information size not met
Crucial limitation for one criterion or some limitations for multiple criteria sufficient to lower ones confidence in the estimate of effect
Optimal information size not met; small, single study