Table O.7: Mental health needs versus no mental health needs as a risk factor for challenging behaviour

Quality assessment						Summary of findings					
Participant s (studies) Follow up	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectnes s	Imprecisio n	Publicatio n bias	Overall quality of evidence	Study event rates (%)		Relative effect	Anticipated absolute effects	
							With no mental health needs	With mental health needs	(95% CI)	Risk with no mental health needs	Risk difference with mental health needs (95% CI)
All aggress	ion (phys	ical, verbal and	destructive)	(assessed wi	th: Validated	questionnaire)					
1938 (2 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊕⊝ LOW	377/1837 (20.5%)	38/101 (37.6%)	OR 2.03 (1.3 to 3.15)	205 per 1000	139 more per 1000 (from 46 more to 243 more)
Destruction	of prope	erty (assessed v	vith: Validated	I questionnai	re and surve	y)					
30874 (2 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	very serious ¹	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW¹ due to inconsistency	0/30874 (0%)2	-2	Not estimabl e	See comment ²	-

Quality assessment							Summary of findings					
Physical ag	gression	(assessed with	ո։ Validated qւ	uestionnaire a	and survey)							
30874 (2 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	very serious ¹	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW¹ due to inconsistency	0/30874 (0%)2	-2	Not estimabl e	See comment ²	-	
Self-injury (assessed	d with: Validate	d questionnai	res and surve	ey)							
32516 (3 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW	2690/28860 (9.3%)	450/365 6 (12.3%)	OR 1.4 (1.26 to 1.56)	93 per 1000	33 more per 1000 (from 21 more to 45 more)	
Stereotypy ((assesse	d with: Validate	ed questionna	ire and surve	y)							
31493 (2 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊕⊝ LOW	1970/27876 (7.1%)	293/361 7 (8.1%)	OR 1.26 (1.1 to 1.43)	71 per 1000	17 more per 1000 (from 7 more to 27 more)	
Verbal aggr	ession (a	ssessed with:	Validated ques	stionnaire an	d survey)							
30874 (2 studies)	no seriou s risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	undetecte d	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE³ due to large effect	0/30874 (0%)2	-2	Not estimabl e	See comment ²	-	

² N/A; Generic inverse variance

³ RR > 2