215

## Table 58: Viola 1997 trial: Viola 1997

Study	Viola 1997 trial: Viola 1997 <sup>450</sup>
Study type	Prospective cohort study
Number of studies (number of participants)	(n=66)
Countries and setting	Conducted in Canada; Setting: Hospices. Took place in 2 hospices, located in Edmonton, and Ottawa.

14 days
Cancer
Not applicable
Advanced cancer. Inpatients of either Edmonton or Ottawa palliative care units with advanced cancer, not aphasic, MMSE >24, and subjectively competent (as judged by physicians), able to understand English if at the Edmonton site, or English or French at Ottawa site. Has a history of poor oral fluid intact, or excess fluid loss or both, plus a history of decreased urine output, dry mouth sensation, thirst sensation postural dizziness, or combination, or resting heart rate >100 BPM, dry mucous membranes, enophthalmos, or combination.
Receiving enteral tube feedings, acute renal failure, pulmonary oedema, or bleeding disorders, aphasic, MMSE <24. Immediate discharge planned.
People were recruited from existing inpatients at 2 hospice sites.
Age - Mean (SD): 63.5. Gender (M:F): 29:35. Ethnicity: NA
1. Setting: Hospice
Most participants were excluded because of cognitive defects. 2 from incomplete data, and 1 from a bleeding disorder
<ul> <li>(n=33) Intervention 1: Clinically assisted hydration - Parenteral hydration. Subcutaneous fluids titrated to participant needs. Either 0.9% NaCl, or 0.3% NaCl with 3.3% dextrose. Hyaluronidase 750 units added to each 1 litre of fluid solution. The median volume was approximately 1000 ml/day. Duration-Until death/no longer having a fluid deficit or discharge from palliative care unit. Concurrent medication/care: usual care.</li> <li>Further details: 1. Route of administration: Subcutaneous 2. Volume of fluid administered: 1 litre a day or more</li> <li>(n=33) Intervention 2: Placebo - No intervention. No administered fluids. Duration Until death/no longer having a fluid deficit or discharge from palliative care unit. Concurrent medication/care: Usual Care.</li> </ul>
Further details: 1. Route of administration: Not applicable / Not stated / Unclear. 2. Volume of fluid administered: Not applicable / Not stated / Unclear

RESULTS (NUMBERS ANALYSED) AND RISK OF BIAS FOR COMPARISON: PARENTERAL HYDRATION VERSUS NO INTERVENTION

Protocol outcome 1: Symptom improvement

- Actual outcome for cancer: Wellbeing during the afternoon at Day 14; Group 1: mean 52.5 (SD 26.4); n=17, Group 2: mean 80 (SD 21.4); n=6; Risk of bias: Very high; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

- Actual outcome for cancer: Nausea during afternoon. at Day 14; Group 1: mean 23.8 (SD 30.5); n=20, Group 2: mean 21.3 (SD 40.2); n=8; VAS 1-100 Top=High is poor outcome; Risk of bias: --; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

- Actual outcome for cancer: Thirst during the afternoon at Day 14; Group 1: mean 47.4 (SD 32.4); n=18, Group 2: mean 61.2 (SD 12.1); n=4; Risk of bias: Very high; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

- Actual outcome for cancer: Anxiety during the afternoon at Day 14; Group 1: mean 17 (SD 19); n=20, Group 2: mean 27.5 (SD 34.5); n=6; Risk of bias: Very high; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

- Actual outcome for cancer: Pain during the afternoon at Day 14; Group 1: mean 20 (SD 15.3); n=20, Group 2: mean 29.4 (SD 27.2); n=8; Risk of bias: Very high; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

- Actual outcome for cancer: Dyspnoea during afternoon at Day 14; Group 1: mean 20.9 (SD 24); n=20, Group 2: mean 12.9 (SD 24.8); n=7; VAS 1-100 Top=High is poor outcome; Risk of bias: Very high; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness

Care of dying adults in the last days of life Clinical evidence tables