Quality assessment							Number of patients		Effect			
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	СВТ	behavioural strategies only	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Depressive sym	nptoms (follow up: 38	weeks; asse	ssed with: BDI-II)									
1	observational studies	very serious ¹	not serious	not serious	serious ²	none	23	24		MD 1.56 fewer (6.57 fewer to 3.45 more)		CRITICAL
Improvement in those with clinical depression at baseline (follow up: 38 weeks; assessed with: BDI-II [reduced score])												
1	observational studies	very serious ¹	not serious	not serious	serious ²	none	14/14 (100.0%)	14/17 (82.4%)	RR 1.20 (0.94 to 1.53)	165 more per 1000 (from 49 fewer to 436 more)		CRITICAL
Recovery in tho	se with clinical depre	ession at base	eline (follow up: 38	weeks; assess	ed with: BDI-II [s	score 12 or less])						•
1	observational studies	very serious ¹	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	8/14 (57.1%)	12/17 (70.6%)	RR 0.81 (0.47 to 1.40)	134 fewer per 1000 (from 282 more to 374 fewer)		CRITICAL
Quality of life –	not reported											
-	-	-			-						-	CRITICAL
Community part	ticipation and meanir	ngful occupati	ion – not reported			-						
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL

1.

Risk of selection, performance and detection bias Confidence intervals cross minimally important difference in one direction. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes) Confidence intervals cross minimally important difference in both directions. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes) 2. 3.