

Quality assessment							Impact	Quality	Importance
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations			
Overall effect of treatment on bespoke form (follow up: mean 23 weeks; assessed with: 14-item 'patient evaluation form')									
1	randomised trials	very serious ¹	not serious	not serious	serious ²	none	The differences between groups on 10 subscales (hyperkinesis, concentration, attention, aggressiveness, sociability, interpersonal relationship, mood, work capacity, reading, spelling, arithmetic and class standing) were reported as not significant; however, the comprehension and work interest subscales were reported to be significantly better in the amphetamine group than the placebo group (p < 0.05).	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality of life – not reported									
-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	CRITICAL
Community participation and meaningful occupation – not reported									
-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	CRITICAL

1. Risk of selection and selective outcomes bias; unclear risk of detection, attrition and performance bias.
2. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes).