Quality assessment							Numbe	r of patients	Effect			
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Risperidone	methylphenidate	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
ADHD symptoms (follow up: mean 4 weeks; assessed with: SNAP-IV total score)												
1	randomised trials	very serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	22	-	-	SMD <b>0.54 lower</b> (1.14 lower to 0.06 higher)		CRITICAL
Hyperactivity (NCBRF) (follow up: mean 4 weeks)												
1	randomised trials	very serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	No significant	between-group differ		CRITICAL		
Quality of	Quality of life – not reported											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL
Community participation and meaningful occupation – not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL
Side effects (Barkley's Side Effects Rating Scale) (follow up: mean 4 weeks)												
1	randomised trials	very serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	22	-	-	SMD <b>0.08 higher</b> (0.54 lower to 0.69 higher)		IMPORTANT
Weight (follow up: 4 weeks; assessed with: kg)												

Quality assessment							Number of patients		Effect			
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Risperidone	methylphenidate	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
1	randomised trials	very serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	Mean reduction of 0.53 kg in the methylphenidate group compared with a weight increase of 1.01 kg in the risperidone group (reported to be significant).					

1.

Risk of selection and selective outcome reporting bias Confidence intervals cross one minimally important difference. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes). Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes).

2. 3.

© National Guideline Alliance, 2016