

Quality assessment							Number of	patients		Effect		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	social problem- solving, then assertiveness training (PS-A)	assertiveness, then social problem- solving (A-PS)	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
1	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	serious ³	none	9	9	-	MD 0.02 more (0.43 fewer to 0.47 more)	ФФ <u></u>	CRITICAL
Quality of lif	Quality of life – not reported											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL
Community participation and meaningful occupation – not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-						-	CRITICAL
Psychologic	Psychological distress (follow up: 23 weeks; assessed with: Subjective Unit of Distress Scale)											
1	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	9	9	-	MD 0.22 fewer (2.82 fewer to 2.38 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Low probler	Low problem behaviour – Follow-up (follow up: 23 weeks; assessed with: Role-play test of anger arousing situations)											
1	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	serious ³	none	9	9	-	MD 4.11 more (1.07 fewer to 9.29 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	IMPORTANT
Adaptive be	Adaptive behaviour (follow up: 23 weeks; assessed with: Adaptive Behavior Scale – Revised)											
1	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	9	9	-	MD 2.02 fewer (18.88 fewer to 14.84 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

Quality assessment							Number of	patients		Effect		
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	social problem- solving, then assertiveness training (PS-A)	assertiveness, then social problem- solving (A-PS)	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
Adaptive behaviour (follow up: 23 weeks; assessed with: Problem-Solving Task)												
1	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	9	9		MD 4 fewer (20.7 fewer to 12.7 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

- Risk of selection bias (unclear allocation method, no details of allocation concealment)
- Risk of performance bias (not blind)
- Confidence intervals cross one minimally important difference. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes).

 Confidence intervals cross one minimally important difference in both directions (downgrade 2). Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes).