	Quality assessm	ent		Number of patients		Effect						
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	psychological interventions	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Alcohol misuse (follow up: 34 weeks)												
1	randomised trials	very serious ¹	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	42	42	-	MD 0.12 fewer (1.01 fewer to 0.77 more)		CRITICAL
Quality of life – not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL

© National Guideline Alliance, 2016

Quality assessment							Number of patient	s	Effect			
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	psychological interventions	control	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Community participation and meaningful occupation – not reported												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	CRITICAL

1.

Risk of selection bias (no details of allocation method or concealment but, most importantly and not comparable risk at baseline), risk of performance bias Confidence intervals cross minimally important difference in both directions (downgrade 2). Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes). 2.

© National Guideline Alliance, 2016