Quality assessment							Number of patients		Effect			
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	psychological intervention	control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Quality	Importance
Anxiety symptor	ns (RCTs) (follow ເ	p: mean 42 w	veeks; assessed w	rith: various scal	es)							
2	randomised trials	very serious ¹	serious ²	not serious	very serious	none	29	-	-	SMD 0.87 SD fewer (1.14 fewer to 1.36 more)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Anxiety symptoms (Controlled before-and-after) (follow up: 12 weeks; assessed with: Brief Symptom Inventory: anxiety symptom dimension)												
1	before-after studies	very serious ⁴	not serious	not serious	serious ⁵	none	12	12	-	MD 0.4 SD lower (1.23 lower to 0.43 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality of life –	not reported											
-	-	-	-	-							-	CRITICAL
In paid employment after treatment (follow up: 16 weeks)												
1	randomised trials	very serious ⁶	not serious	not serious	serious ⁵	none	1/16 (6.3%)	4/14 (28.6%)	RR 0.22 (0.03 to 1.73)	223 fewer per 1000 (from 209 more to 277 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Voluntary work	(follow up: 16 week	s)										
1	randomised trials	very serious ⁶	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	6/16 (37.5%)	4/14 (28.6%)	RR 1.31 (0.46 to 3.72)	89 more per 1000 (from 154 fewer to 777 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

- 1. Risk of selection, performance and detection bias
- 2. I2 suggests considerable heterogeneity
 3. Confidence intervals cross minimally important difference in both directions. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes)
 4. Risk of selection and performance bias and unclear risk of attrition and detection bias
- Confidence intervals cross minimally important difference in one direction. Sample size less than optimal information size (<400 for continuous outcomes or <300 for dichotomous outcomes)
- Risk of performance and selection bias

