Comparison 1: Any epidural analgesia compared with placebo or no epidural analgesia

Source:† Anim-Somuah M, Smyth RM, Jones L. Epidural versus non-epidural or no analgesia in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(12):CD000331.

Quality assessment						No. of participants		Effect		Contributo		
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Epidural	Placebo/no epidural	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Pain inte	nsity											
1	RCT	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	none	0/30 (0.0%)	19/30 (63.3%)	RR 0.03 (0.00-0.41)	614 fewer per 1000 (from 0 to 374 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Materna	l pain scor	e in labour										
2	RCTs	serious ^c	seriousd	not serious	serious ^e	none	60	60	-	SMD 9.55 lower (12.91 lower to 6.19 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Need for	additiona	l means of p	pain relief									
2	RCTs	very seriousf	not serious	not serious	very serious ^g	none	0/178 (0.0%)	6/177 (3.4%)	RR 0.16 (0.02-1.38)	28 fewer per 1000 (from 13 more to 33 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Materna	l satisfacti	on with pai	n relief in labour	- proportion ra	ting "excellent	or "very good"						
1	RCT	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	serious ^e	none	33/35 (94.3%)	25/35 (71.4%)	RR 1.32 (1.05-1.65)	229 more per 1000 (from 36 more to 464 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Perceive	d feeling o	f poor cont	rol in labour			,	•		<u>'</u>			<u>'</u>
1	RCT	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	14/35 (40.0%)	17/35 (48.6%)	RR 0.82 (0.48-1.40)	87 fewer per 1000 (from 194 more to 253 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Instrume	ental delive	ery										
4	RCTs	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	very serious ^g	none	11/258 (4.3%)	2/257 (0.8%)	RR 3.41 (0.62-18.80)	19 more per 1000 (from 3 fewer to 139 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Caesarea	an section											
5	RCTs	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	11/289 (3.8%)	24/289 (8.3%)	RR 0.46 (0.23-0.90)	45 fewer per 1000 (from 8 fewer to 64 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	critical

[†] Updated for the purpose of this guideline.

Quality assessment							No. of participants		Effect			
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Epidural	Placebo/no epidural	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Length o	f first stag	e of labour	(minutes)					•				
2	RCTs	serious ^c	serious ^d	not serious	very serious ^g	none	96	93	-	MD 55.09 lower (186.26 lower to 76.09 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Length o	f second s	tage of labo	our (minutes)									
4	RCTs	serious ^c	serious ^d	not serious	serious ⁱ	none	173	171	-	MD 7.66 higher (6.12 lower to 21.45 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Oxytocir	augment	ation										
3	RCTs	serious ^b	not serious	not serious	serious ⁱ	none	31/208 (14.9%)	35/207 (16.9%)	RR 0.89 (0.63-1.24)	19 fewer per 1000 (from 41 more to 63 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Materna	l hypotens	ion as defir	ned by trial autho	ors								
1	RCT	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	1/30 (3.3%)	0/30 (0.0%)	RR 3.00 (0.13-70.83)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Nausea a	and vomiti	ng										
2	RCTs	very seriousa	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	5/80 (6.3%)	0/80 (0.0%)	RR 11.00 (0.62- 193.80)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Fever > 3	88°C								J			
1	RCT	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	5/35 (14.3%)	0/35 (0.0%)	RR 11.00 (0.63-191.69)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Drowsin	ess											
1	RCT	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	3/50 (6.0%)	0/50 (0.0%)	RR 7.00 (0.37-132.10)	O fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Urinary i	retention											
2	RCTs	very serious ^f	not serious	not serious	very serious ^h	none	2/80 (2.5%)	0/80 (0.0%)	RR 3.00 (0.32-28.21)	O fewer per 1000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical
Apgar sc	ore < 7 at !	5 minutes										
1	RCT	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	none	0/30 (0.0%)	0/30 (0.0%)	not estimable		⊕○○○ VERY LOW	critical

Cl: confidence interval; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio; SMD: standardized mean difference.

- ^a All of pooled effect derived from a study at high risk of bias.
- ^b Small sample size and few events.
- 6 Most of the pooled effect derived from studies with moderate or high risk of bias but without a substantial proportion (i.e. with < 50%) from studies with high risk of bias.
- d Severe unexplained heterogeneity.
- e Small sample size.
- $^{\rm f}$ $\,$ 50% of pooled effect derived from a study at high risk of bias.
- Few events and wide confidence interval crossing the line of no effect.
- ^h Small sample size, few events and wide confidence interval crossing the line of no effect.
- Wide confidence interval crossing the line of no effect.