## Comparison: Companionship during labour and childbirth compared with usual practice

Source: Bohren MA, Hofmeyr G, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support for women during childbirth. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;(7):CD003766.

Quality assessment							No. of participants		Effect			
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Companionship during labour and childbirth	Usual practice	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Spontan	eous vaginal bi	rth										
21	RCTs	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	5092/7153 (71.2%)	4898/7216 (67.9%)	RR 1.08 (1.04-1.12)	54 more per 1000 (from 27 more to 81 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Caesare	an birth											
24	RCTs	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	948/7663 (12.4%)	1120/7684 (14.6%)	RR 0.75 (0.64-0.88)	36 fewer per 1000 (from 17 fewer to 52 fewer)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW	critical
Instrum	ental vaginal bi	rth										
19	RCTs	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	1283/7028 (18.3%)	1420/7090 (20.0%)	RR 0.90 (0.85-0.96)	20 fewer per 1000 (from 8 fewer to 30 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Perineal	trauma		'					,	·	<u>'</u>		'
4	RCTs	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	not serious	none	2339/4057 (57.7%)	2396/4063 (59.0%)	RR 0.97 (0.92-1.01)	18 fewer per 1000 (from 6 more to 47 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	critical
Labour l	ength							<u>'</u>				
13	RCTs	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	2732	2697	-	MD 0.69 lower (1.04 lower to 0.34 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	critical
Any ana	lgesia/anaesth	esia										
15	RCTs	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	4455/6173 (72.2%)	4699/6260 (75.1%)	RR 0.90 (0.84-0.96)	75 fewer per 1000 (from 30 fewer to 120 fewer)	⊕⊕⊖⊝ LOW	critical

Quality assessment							No. of participants		Effect			
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Companionship during labour and childbirth	Usual practice	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty (GRADE)	Importance
Regiona	l analgesia/ana	esthesia										
9	RCTs	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	none	3760/5727 (65.7%)	3959/5717 (69.2%)	RR 0.93 (0.88-0.99)	48 fewer per 1000 (from 7 fewer to 83 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Syntheti	c oxytocin duri	ng labour										
17	RCTs	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	2375/6383 (37.2%)	2343/6450 (36.3%)	RR 0.97 (0.91-1.03)	11 fewer per 1000 (from 11 more to 33 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical
Negative	e rating of/nega	ative feelings a	bout birth expe	erience								
11	RCTs	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	653/5583 (11.7%)	982/5550 (17.7%)	RR 0.69 (0.59-0.79)	55 fewer per 1000 (from 37 fewer to 73 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	critical
Postpart	tum report of se	evere labour pa	nin									
4	RCTs	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	not serious	not serious	none	532/1223 (43.5%)	516/1233 (41.8%)	RR 1.00 (0.83-1.21)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 71 fewer to 88 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	critical
Low 5-m	inute Apgar sc	ore										
14	RCTs	serious <sup>d</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	62/6327 (1.0%)	99/6288 (1.6%)	RR 0.62 (0.46-0.85)	6 fewer per 1000 (from 2 fewer to 9 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	critical
Exclusiv	e or any breasti	feeding at any	time point, as d	efined by trial	authors							
4	RCTs	not serious	seriousc	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	none	1707/2855 (59.8%)	1639/2729 (60.1%)	RR 1.05 (0.96-1.16)	30 more per 1000 (from 24 fewer to 96 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	critical

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio. RR: relative risk.

- <sup>a</sup> Hodnett 2002 included high-risk pregnancies.
- <sup>b</sup> Evident asymmetry in funnel plot with at least 10 studies.
- Severe, unexplained heterogeneity ( $12 \ge 60\%$  or Chi2 < 0.05).
- d Most of the pooled effect derived from studies with moderate or high risk of bias but without a substantial proportion (i.e. < 50%) from studies with high risk of bias.
- e Most of the pooled effect derived from studies with moderate or high risk of bias but with a substantial proportion (i.e. > 50%) from studies with high risk of bias.
- f The CI is imprecise.