D.21 Monitoring for CFRD

g	normorning for or RE		
Item	Details		
Issue in the scope	Surveillance for CF-related diabetes.		
Review question in the scope	How should people with CF be monitored for the onset of CF-related diabetes (CFRD)?		
Review question	1. What criteria should be used to determine the need for insulin therapy to achieve optimal patient outcomes?2. What thresholds of glucose dysregulation are associated with more rapid progression of lung disease?		
Objective	CFRD is a common comorbidity that leads to an increase in morbidity and mortality in people with cystic fibrosis. It is distinct from Type 1 and 2 diabetes and is due to a slowly progressive loss of the insulin-producing β -cells in the pancreas. Early identification of this condition allows for the introduction of insulin therapy which typically leads to an improvement in lung function and reduces the number of acute respiratory infections. There is questionable value of using WHO diagnostic criteria to interpret the results of a 75g 2 hour OGTT as diabetes because of the difference in pathology		

Item	Details
	in those with CF and because a diabetes diagnosis may not be stable. However, the WHO criteria for glucose intolerance are higher than those suggested for the onset of lung pathology in a CF population. The aim of this review is to determine the prognostic information from thresholds of glucose dysregulation that are associated with more rapid progression of lung
	disease and criteria that should be used to instigate treatment with insulin) in a population of adults and children with CF.
Language	English
Study design	For review question 1:
	Systematic reviews
	RCTs (test and treat)
	 Conference abstracts of RCTs (Only if RCTs unavailable and the quality assessment of abstracts will conducted based on the available information and if necessary the authors of abstracts will be contacted).
	 Prospective or retrospective comparative cohort studies (only if RCTs unavailable or limited data to inform decision making)
	For review question 2:
	Prospective or retrospective cohort studies
Population and directness	Infants, children, young people and adults with defined CF, diagnosed clinically and by sweat test or genetic testing.
	Population size and indirectness:
	No sample size specification.
01 (15. 1	Studies with indirect populations will not be included
Stratified, subgroup and	Groups that will be reviewed and analysed separately:
adjusted	age (young people vs adults) alinian signs or right factor (incidental indication of blood glucose dyorsquilation)
analyses	 clinical signs or risk factor (incidental indication of blood glucose dysregulation) Sensitivity analysis:
	In the presence of heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis will conducted including and excluding studies with a high risk of bias.
	State important confounders (when comparative observational studies are included for interventional reviews)
	Steroid use
	Azole (anti-fungal)
	• Age
	Lung disease severity
	Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency
	Enteral tube feeding?
	Transplant
Screening strategy	For review question 1: Any OGTT vs serial/continuous glucose monitoring diagnosis followed up with
	treatment
	For review question 2:
	Continuous glucose monitoring (different groups according to thresholds)
Outcomes	For review question 1:
	Ideally specify a time to event outcome
	Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI)
	Pulmonary exacerbation
	BMI (z-scores for children)
	Adverse events: Appropriate anisodes (with insulin therapy)
	 hypoglycaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy)
	• Fatient acceptability/ Satisfaction (with insulin therapy)

For review question 2: Ideally specify a time to event outcome	lta	Detaile
Ideally specify a time to event outcome Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) Pulmonary exacerbation BMI (z-scores for children) Adverse events: ohypoglycaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Critical outcomes for decision making: Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations Setting All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E. 16 for full strategies Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data:	Item	Details For review question 2:
Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) Pulmonary exacerbation BMI (2-scores for children) Adverse events: hypoglycaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Pulmonary exacerbations Setting All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Sources to be searched: Mediline, Mediline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E. 16 for full strategies Review strategy Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies		·
Pulmonary exacerbation BMI (2-scores for children) - Adverse events: - hypoglycaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) - Patient acceptability' satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Critical outcomes for decision making: - Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) - BMI (2 scores) - Pulmonary exacerbations All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Search strategy Sources to be searched: Mediline, Mediline in-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: - The methodological quality: - The methodological quality: - The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014 The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: - Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses Review process: - A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding Evidence tab		
BMI (z-scores for children) Adverse events: Apolylocaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Critical outcomes for decision making: Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations Setting All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Search strategy Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E. 16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. A l		
Adverse events:		·
o hypoglycaemic episodes (with insulin therapy) Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Ortical outcomes for decision making: Ochange in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations Setting All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Search strategy Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be rounded, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the		
Patient acceptability/ satisfaction (with insulin therapy) Importance of outcomes		
Importance of outcomes Critical outcomes for decision making: Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Apraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence		
Change in lung function (FEV1, FVC, LCI) BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a c		
BMI (z scores) Pulmonary exacerbations All settings in which NH5-commissioned health and social care is provided. Search strategy Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of differe		The state of the s
Pulmonary exacerbations All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Search strategy Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geog	outcomes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Setting All settings in which NHS-commissioned health and social care is provided. Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g., date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: • The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. • The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: • Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. • If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. • Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. • If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geog		
Search strategy Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Appraisal of methodological quality: • The methodological quality: • The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. • The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: • Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. • If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. • Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. • If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist cen		·
Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health Technology Database, Embase Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location.		
Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language filters. Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques were used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Appraisal of methodological quality: • The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. • The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: • Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. • If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. • Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. • If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location.	Search strategy	Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, Health
used. See appendix E.16 for full strategies Review strategy Appraisal of methodological quality: • The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. • The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: • Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. • If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. • Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. • If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional		Limits (e.g. date, study design): Apply standard exclusions and English language
Appraisal of methodological quality: The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		
The methodological quality of each study will be assessed using the checklist created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional		See appendix E.16 for full strategies
created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines Manual 2014. • The quality of the evidence for an outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: • Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. • If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. • Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. • If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.	Review strategy	
assessed using adapted GRADE approach. Synthesis of data: Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		created by Hayden et al. (2013), as set out in the Developing NICE Guidelines
Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate. If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		assessed using adapted GRADE approach.
 If comparative cohort studies are included, the minimum number of events per covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None. 		· ·
covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis. Final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, change scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% Cls cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		·
scores will be used in preference over final scores. If studies only report p-values from parametric analyses, and 95% CIs cannot be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		covariate to be recorded to ensure accurate multivariate analysis.
be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables, but evidence may be downgraded. • If studies only report p-values from non-parametric analyses, this information will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. • Review process: • A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. • Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. None.		scores will be used in preference over final scores.
will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as imprecision cannot be assessed for non-parametric analyses. Review process: A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional None.		be calculated from other data provided, this information will be plotted in
 A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding. Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional 		will be plotted in GRADE tables without downgrading the evidence, as
 Evidence tables and an evidence profile will be used to summarise the evidence. Equalities Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional 		Review process:
evidence. • Psychological and behavioural issues are more likely in people with a lower socioeconomic status • Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care • Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional None.		A list of excluded studies will be provided following weeding.
 socioeconomic status Gender- outcomes are worse for women although there is no evidence that this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional None.		·
 this is a consequence of difference in care Geographical issues – care is given through specialist centres and this may be a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional None.	Equalities	
a problem if a person with CF is living in an isolated location. Notes/additional None.		
		None.