D.2 Timing: association between duration of symptoms before laparoscopy and treatment outcomes

Item	Details
Area of the scope	Timing of interventions
Review question in the scope	Does early laparoscopy and treatment improve outcomes?
Review question	Is there an association between duration of symptoms before laparoscopy and /or treatment and treatment outcomes?
Objective	The aim of this review is to determine whether there is an association between duration of symptoms before laparoscopy and /or treatment and treatment outcomes?
Language	English
Study design	Systematic reviews RCTs Comparative cohort studies Case-control studies using multivariable adjustment In the absence of full text published RCTs, conference abstracts will be considered. Cross over RCTs will be considered where it is appropriate
Population and directness	 Women with endometriosis of any stage or severity Studies with indirect populations (such as women with dysmenorrhea, women with non-confirmed pelvic pain, or post-menopausal women) will not be considered Women with a suspected diagnosis of endometriosis (definition: suspected diagnosis based on the history of the patient, pelvic examination and other tests such as ultrasound, MRI and the CA-125 blood test) Exclusions: Women with chronic pelvic pain which was known to be due to causes other than endometriosis

Item	Details
item	
	 Those suspected based solely on a CA-125 test with no other contributing factor, CA-125 should be used in combination with other evaluative measures.
Stratified,	Groups that will be reviewed and analysed separately:
subgroup and adjusted	Women who want to preserve fertility
analyses	Stratification:
	Type of treatment (surgical or medical)
	Age (adolescent vs adult)
	• Severity
	Important confounders:
	Severity and type of pain
	Type of treatment
	Age (adolescent vs adult)
	Severity
	• BMI
Intervention	Duration of symptoms followed by early laparoscopy and treatment
Comparison	Duration of symptoms followed by later laparoscopy (at least 1 year later)
Outcomes	Pain relief (measured either by visual analogue scale (VAS), other validated
	scales, or as a dichotomous outcome, for example improved or not improved)
	Quality of life (measured using a validated scale, for example the SF36) That an deliberation (measured as grant of the grant
	 Effect on daily activities (measured as proportion of women who reported activity restriction)
	Participant satisfaction with treatment (measured as proportion of women who
	reported improvements and satisfaction with their treatment)
Importance of	Preliminary classification of the outcomes for decision making:
outcomes	Critical (up to 3 outcomes):
	• pain
	quality of life
	effect on daily activities Important but not critical (up to 3 outcomes):
	Important but not critical (up to 3 outcomes): • participant satisfaction with treatment
Setting	No particular setting specified.
Search strategy	Sources to be searched: Medline, Medline In-Process, CENTRAL, CDSR,
Gearch strategy	DARE, HTA, Embase
	Limits (e.g. date, study design): Limit to English language and human-only
	studies where appropriate
	Supplementary search techniques: No supplementary search techniques will be used.
	See appendix for full strategies
Review strategy	Appraisal of methodological quality:
riorion chalogy	The methodological quality of each study should be assessed using quality
	checklists (eg AMSTAR for systematic reviews, Cochrane RoB tool for RCTs,
	CASP for cohort and case control studies) and the quality of the evidence for an
	outcome (i.e. across studies) will be assessed using GRADE. Synthesis of data:
	Meta-analysis will be conducted where appropriate.
	Default MIDs will be used: 0.8 and 1.25 for dichotomous outcomes; 0.5 times SD
	for continuous outcomes.to assess imprecision.

Item	Details
	When meta analysing continuous data final and change scores will be pooled and if any study reports both, the method used in the majority of studies will be analysed.
	If studies only report p-values, this information (including the sample size) will be provided in GRADE tables with a note that imprecision could not be assessed
Equalities	Adolescents are noted as a specific subgroup requiring consideration in the equalities impact assessment