G.12.1.8 Residential care staff training: awareness and communication

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectnes s	Inconsistenc y	Imprecisio n	Interventio n	Usual care	Summary of results	
Quality of life (QUALID - I	measured by far	nily member) (ł	nigher values fav	our control)				
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	32	33	MD -3.98 (-7.60, -0.36)	Moderate
Well-being (Pos	sitive Res	oonse Schedule) (higher values	favour interven	tion)				
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	32	33	MD 2.68 (-3.55, 8.91)	Low
Cognitive funct	tion (GAD	S) (higher values	s favour contro	l) (higher values	favour interve	ention)			
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	32	33	MD -1.18 (-3.44, 1.08)	Low
Behaviour - sel	f-care (Be	havioural Asses	sment Scale of	Later Life) (high	ner values favo	our interventio	n)		
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	32	33	MD 0.56 (-1.06, 2.18)	Low
Behaviour - sei	nsory abili	ties (BASOLL) (higher values f	avour interventio	on)				
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	32	33	MD -0.04 (-0.51, 0.43)	Low
Behaviour - mo	bility (BA	SOLL) (higher v	alues favour int	ervention)					
1 (Clare 2013)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	32	33	MD -0.18 (-0.47, 0.11)	Low
	isation by ca ificant resul		a small number o	f homes in the stud	у				

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017