

### G.12.1.11 Residential care staff training: communication skills

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results		
<b>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia - mood related (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -1.41 (-2.20, -0.62)	Moderate	

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results		
<b>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia - behavioural disturbance (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -0.90 (-1.37, -0.43)	Moderate	
<b>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia - physical signs (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -0.83 (-1.37, -0.29)	Moderate	
<b>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia - cyclic disturbance (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -1.11 (-1.63, -0.59)	Moderate	
<b>Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia - ideational disturbance (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -0.51 (-0.82, -0.20)	Moderate	
<b>Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory - aggressive behaviour (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	49	56	MD -1.72 (-4.56, 1.12)	Low	
<b>Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory - physically nonaggressive behaviour (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	49	56	MD -0.40 (-2.76, 1.96)	Low	
<b>Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory - verbally aggressive behaviour (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD -4.95 (-7.91, -1.99)	Moderate	
<b>Use of restraints – mechanical (higher values favour intervention)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD 0.75 (0.12, 1.38)	Moderate	
<b>Use of restraints – chemical (higher values favour intervention)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	49	56	MD 0.37 (-0.38, 1.12)	Low	

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results		
<b>Multidimensional Observation Scale for Elderly Subjects – disorientation (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	49	56	MD 3.60 (0.70, 6.50)	Moderate	
<b>Multidimensional Observation Scale for Elderly Subjects – irritability (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	49	56	MD -1.68 (-3.96, 0.60)	Low	
<b>Multidimensional Observation Scale for Elderly Subjects – withdrawal (higher values favour control)</b>										
1 (McCallion 1999)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	49	56	MD 0.21 (-1.50, 1.92)	Low	
1. Method of randomisation and levels of loss to follow-up unclear 2. Non-significant result										