## G.12.1.16 Residential care staff training: apathy management

coldential care	otan trai	ming. apatiny m	anagement						
Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectnes	Inconsistenc	Imprecisio	Interventio	Usual	Summary of results	
			s	у	n	n	care		
NPI - affect (hig	her numb	ers favour contro	ol)						
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.91 (-0.63, 2.45)	Low
NPI - apathy (hi	igher num	bers favour cont	rol)						
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.11 (-1.09, 1.31)	Low
NPI - hyperactiv	vity (highe	er numbers favou	ır control)						
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.40 (-2.23, 3.03)	Low
NPI - psychotic	symptom	s (higher numbe	rs favour conti	rol)					

<sup>©</sup> National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectnes s	Inconsistenc y	Imprecisio n	Interventio n	Usual care	Summary of results	
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.60 (-0.70, 1.90)	Low
ADL Katz scale	- toileting	g (higher numbe	ers favour interv	vention)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	119	111	MD -0.18 (-0.29, -0.07)	Moderate
ADL Katz scale	- dressin	g (higher numb	ers favour inter	vention)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD -0.08 (-0.27, 0.11)	Low
ADL Katz scale	- going to	o the toilet (high	ner numbers fav	our intervention	)				
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.13 (-0.08, 0.34)	Low
ADL Katz scale	- transfe	rring (higher nu	mbers favour ir	itervention)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD -0.12 (-0.26, 0.02)	Low
ADL Katz scale	- contine	nce (higher nur	nbers favour in	tervention)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.16 (-0.02, 0.34)	Low
ADL Katz scale	- feeding	(higher numbe	rs favour interv	ention)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.05 (-0.16, 0.26)	Low
Apathy invento	ry – emoti	ional blunting (h	nigher numbers	favour control)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	119	111	MD -0.50 (-0.84, -0.16)	Moderate
Apathy invento	ry – lack d	of initiative (higl	ner numbers fav	our control)					
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD -0.20 (-0.47, 0.07)	Low
Apathy invento	ry – lack d	of interest (high	er numbers favo	our control)				,	
1 (Leone 2013)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	119	111	MD 0.06 (-0.20, 0.32)	Low
	method of ra	andomisation t							

<sup>©</sup> National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017