

G.12.1.25 Pooled analysis: person-centred care versus control

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results	
Agitation using CMAI (higher values favour control)									
5 (Chenoweth 2009, Chenoweth 2014, Davison 2007, Deudon 2009, van de Ven 2013)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	548	393	MD -4.70 (-7.75, -1.65)	High
NPI (higher numbers favour control)									
2 (Chenoweth 2009, van de Ven 2013)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ¹	Serious ²	245	183	MD -1.31 (-10.23, 7.61)	Low
Quality of life (higher numbers favour intervention)									

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results	
4 (Chenoweth 2009, Chenoweth 2014, Deudon 2009, van de Ven 2013)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ³	467	361	SMD 0.15 (0.01, 0.29)	Moderate
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. $I^2 > 50\%$ 2. Non-significant result 3. Crosses one line of a defined minimally important difference 									