G.3.2.5 Specialist care in memory clinic versus usual care in memory clinic

Quality assessment						No of patients				
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention (specialist care in memory clinic)	Comparator (usual care in memory clinic)	Effect estimate	Quality	
Outcome: Functional decline at 2 years (ADCS-ADL)										
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	224	257	MD 1.00 (-2.27, 4.27)	Low	
Outcome: Mean time to admission at 2 years (mean number of days)										
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ²	224	257	MD 3.10 (-33.27, 39.47)	Low	

[©] NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.

Quality assessment						No of patients			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention (specialist care in memory clinic)	Comparator (usual care in memory clinic)	Effect estimate	Quality
Outcome: Risk of a	dmission to	care							
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Very serious ³	224	257	HR 0.95 (0.67, 1.36)	Very low
Outcome: Risk of m	nortality								
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Very serious ³	224	257	HR 0.80 (0.51, 1.25)	Very low
Outcome: Admission	ons due to wo	orsening condition	ns						
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	224	257	RR 0.62 (0.52, 0.76)	Modera te
Outcome: Admission	ons due to ca	regiver reasons							
Nourhashemi (2010)	RCT	Serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	181/257 (70.59%)	66/224 (29.41%)	RR 2.39 (1.92, 2.97)	Modera te

^{1.} Large numbers of loss to follow up at 2 years

^{2.} Non-significant result

^{3. 95%} CI crosses two lines of a defined MID interval