G.4.1.5 Follow-up individualised care plan versus usual care

Follow-up illulvidualised care plan versus usual care											
Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Interventio n	Comparator				
Outcome: Early ER re-hospitalisation rate (pre- post intervention)											
Villars (2013)	Before/after	Very serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Very serious ²	13/168 ^a (7.47%)	33/390 ^a (8.39%)	RR 0.91 (0.49, 1.69)	Very low		
Outcome: Early re- hospitalisation rate in any ward (pre-post intervention)											
Villars (2013)	Before/after	Very serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	22/168 ^a (13.19%)	63/390 ^a (16.07%)	RR 0.81 (0.52, 1.23)	Very low		
Outcome: ER re-hospital rate at 3 months follow up (pre-post intervention)											

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Interventio n	Comparator				
Villars (2013)	Before/after	Very serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	39/168 ^a (23.58%)	113/390a (28.98%)	RR 0.80 (0.58, 1.09)	Very low		
Outcome: Re-hospitalisation in any ward at 3 months follow up (pre-post intervention)											
Villars (2013)	Before/after	Very serious ¹	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	21/168 ^a (12.70%)	64/390 ^a (16.39%)	RR 0.76 (0.48, 1.21)	Very low		

- 1. Selective reporting and limited outcomes (non-randomised study).
- 2. 95% CI crosses two lines of a defined MID interval
- 3. 95% CI crosses one line of a defined MID interval
- a. Calculations based on percentages reported in published paper.