

### G.6.1.2 NSAIDs versus placebo

		Quality assessment				No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Control	Summary of results	
<b>Cognition – ADAS-cog (6 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	1,097	918	MD -0.00 (-0.53, 0.53)	Low
<b>Cognition – ADAS-cog (12 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
7	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>3</sup>	Serious <sup>2</sup>	1,743	1,541	MD -0.25 (-1.89, 1.40)	Low
<b>Cognition – MMSE (6 months) – higher numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
6	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	292	165	MD -0.33 (-0.81, 0.15)	Low
<b>Cognition – MMSE (12 months) – higher numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
6	RCT	Very serious <sup>1,4</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	1,375	1,231	MD -0.22 (-0.47, 0.03)	Very low
<b>Functional ability – ADCS-ADL (6 months) – higher numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
1 (Green 2009)	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	751	725	MD -0.41 (-1.20, 0.38)	Low
<b>Functional ability – ADCS-ADL (12 months) – higher numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>3</sup>	Not serious	1,350	1,321	MD 1.60 (0.31, 2.90)	Low
<b>Functional ability – ADCS-ADL, IDDD &amp; BADLS (12 months: SMD) – higher numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
7	RCT	Very serious <sup>1,4</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	1,512	1,477	SMD 0.10 (0.02, 0.17)	Moderate
<b>Global assessment – CIBIC+ (6 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
2	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	296	158	MD 0.06 (-0.12, 0.24)	Low
<b>Global assessment – CIBIC+ &amp; CGIC (6 months: SMD) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
3	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>5</sup>	313	172	SMD 0.04 (-0.15, 0.23)	Low
<b>Global assessment – CIBIC+ (12 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	668	528	MD 0.04 (-0.08, 0.16)	Low

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Control	Summary of results	
<b>Behavioural symptoms: NPI (6 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
2	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	787	750	MD -0.01 (-0.91, 0.89)	Low
<b>Behavioural symptoms: NPI &amp; Behave-AD (6 months: SMD) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
3	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	1,062	885	SMD 0.03 (-0.06, 0.12)	Moderate
<b>Behavioural symptoms: NPI (12 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	1,061	1,012	MD -0.32 -0.95, 0.31)	Low
<b>Behavioural symptoms: NPI &amp; Behave-AD (12 months: SMD) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
5	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>3</sup>	Not serious	1,337	1,147	SMD 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10)	Low
<b>Dementia severity: CDR-SB (12 months) – lower numbers favour NSAIDs</b>									
5	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>3</sup>	Serious <sup>2</sup>	1,424	1,379	MD 0.03 (-0.15, 0.21)	Very low
<b>Quality of life: QoL-AD (12 months)</b>									
2	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	810	775	MD 0.31 (-0.26, 0.88)	Low
<b>Any adverse events (12 months)</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	1,561	1,373	RR 1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	Moderate
<b>Serious adverse events (12 months)</b>									
6	RCT	Very serious <sup>1,4</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>6</sup>	1,913	1,673	RR 1.16 (1.02, 1.31)	Very low
<b>Adverse events leading to discontinuation (12 months)</b>									
6	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>6</sup>	1,867	1,666	RR 1.44 (1.20, 1.73)	Low
<b>Mortality (12 months)</b>									
4	RCT	Serious <sup>1</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious <sup>7</sup>	690	458	RR 1.63 (0.71, 3.71)	Very low
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participants were allowed to take other medications (such as antipsychotics, antidepressants and vitamin E supplements) which may have had an impact the outcome measure of interest; however, it was not reported what proportions of participants in each group took these medications.</li> <li>2. Non-significant result.</li> <li>3. I<sup>2</sup>&gt;40%</li> <li>4. Assessors not blinded to group allocation</li> <li>5. Confidence interval crosses one line of a defined minimum clinically important difference (SMDs of -0.2 and 0.2)</li> <li>6. 95% CI crosses one line of a defined MID interval.</li> <li>7. 95% CI crosses two lines of a defined MID interval.</li> </ol>									