

#### G.7.4.4 Cognitive impairment in people with multiple sclerosis

##### Cholinesterase inhibitors versus placebo

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	AChEI	Placebo	Summary of results	
<b>Cognitive outcomes – global cognition</b>									
<b>Selective reminding test (higher values = better score)</b>									
2 (Krupp 2011, Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>1</sup>	104	97	MD 0.64 (-0.43, 1.72)	Moderate
<b>Multiple Sclerosis Inventarium Cognition Score (lower values = better score)</b>									

No of studies	Quality assessment					No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	AChEI	Placebo	Summary of results	
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	43	38	MD -0.86 (-3.17, 1.45)	Moderate
<b>Cognitive outcomes – domain specific</b>									
<b>Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test 3 (higher values = better score)</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	43	38	MD 1.71 (-1.41, 4.83)	Moderate
<b>Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test 2+3 (higher values = better score)</b>									
1 (Krupp 2011)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	91	59	MD 0.30 (-4.08, 4.68)	Moderate
<b>Faces Symbol Test (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	43	38	MD 0.14 (-0.36, 0.64)	Moderate
<b>Symbol digit modalities test (higher values = better score)</b>									
2 (Krupp 2011, Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>1</sup>	104	97	MD -1.40 (-3.33, 0.53)	Moderate
<b>Depression</b>									
<b>Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	43	38	MD -1.58 (-3.66, 0.50)	Moderate
<b>Adverse events</b>									
<b>Any adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>2</sup>	35/45	27/41	RR 1.18 (0.90, 1.55)	Moderate
<b>Serious adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
2 (Krupp 2011, Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	3/106	6/100	RR 0.46 (0.12, 1.70)	Low
<b>Discontinuation due to adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	8/45	3/41	RR 2.43 (0.69, 8.55)	Low
<b>MS relapse</b>									
1 (Maurer 2012)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>3</sup>	4/45	6/41	RR 0.61 (0.18, 2.00)	Low
1. Non-significant result. 2. 95% CI crosses one line of a defined MID interval 3. 95% CI crosses two lines of a defined MID interval									

## Memantine versus placebo

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Memantine	Placebo	Summary of results	
<b>Cognitive outcomes - domain specific</b>									
<b>Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (higher values = better score)</b>									
1 (Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	31	31	MD 0.70 (-6.51, 5.11)	Moderate
<b>Multiple sclerosis progression</b>									
<b>Expanded Disability Status Scale (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	34	34	MD -0.47 (-1.08, 0.12)	Moderate
<b>Adverse events</b>									
<b>Any adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	36/48	8/38	RR 3.56 (1.88, 6.74)	High
<b>Discontinuation due to adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
1 (Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>2</sup>	8/50	2/43	RR 3.44 (0.77, 15.34)	Low
1. Non-significant result.									
2. 95% CI crosses two lines of a defined MID interval									

## Network-meta analyses

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Active	Placebo	Summary of results	
<b>Cognitive outcomes – domain specific</b>									
<b>Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (higher values = better score)</b>									
2 (Maurer 2012, Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	74	69	See appendix H	Moderate
<b>Adverse events</b>									
<b>Any adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
2 (Maurer 2012, Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	93	79	See appendix H	High
<b>Discontinuation due to adverse events (lower values = better score)</b>									
2 (Maurer 2012, Saint-Paul 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious <sup>1</sup>	93	79	See appendix H	Moderate
1. Analysis could not differentiate any treatment groups.									