G.9.1.12 Light therapy in people with dementia

Full population

Quality assessment						No of participants		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Light therapy	Control	Summary of results Mean difference (95% CI)	
Cognition: MMSE –	higher valu	es favour inter	vention						
Post-intervention									
2	RCTs	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ¹	31	33	MD 0.68 (-2.46, 3.81)	Low
Follow-up									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	24	MD 0.00 (-3.21, 3.21)	Low
Behavioural and psy	ychological	symptoms: M	OUSEPAD - I	ower values fav	our intervent	ion			
Post-intervention									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	25	MD -0.10 (-3.81, 3.61)	Low
Follow-up									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	23	MD 0.20 (-3.39, 3.79)	Low
Depression: CSDD -	- lower valu	ies favour inte	rvention						
Post-intervention									
2	RCTs	Serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Serious ¹	51	52	MD -3.33 (-9.63, 2.98)	Very low
Follow-up									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	21	24	MD -0.20 (-1.85, 1.45)	Low
Agitation: CMAI – lo	wer values	favour interve	ntion						
Post-intervention									
2	RCTs	Serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Serious ¹	52	56	MD -12.32 (-28.76, 4.12)	Very low
Follow-up									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	24	MD -4.50 (-11.61, 2.61)	Low

© NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.

Quality assessment						No of participants		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Light therapy	Control	Summary of results Mean difference (95% Cl)	
Activities of daily livi	ing: CRBRS	6 – higher valu	ies favour inte	rvention					
Post-intervention									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	25	MD -0.10 (-1.43, 1.23)	Low
Follow-up									
1 (Burns 2009)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	22	21	MD 1.00 (-0.78, 2.78)	Low
Non-significant result l ² >40%									

CMAI: Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory; CRBRS: Crichton Royal Behavior Rating Scale; CSDD: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; MOUSEPAD: Manchester and Oxford Universities Scale for the Psychological Assessment of Dementia

Sensitivity analysis excluding studies only recruiting people with non-cognitive symptoms (e.g. anxiety/depression) at baseline

Quality assessment						No of participants		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Light therapy	Control	Summary of results Mean difference (95% CI)	
Cognition: MMSE – h	nigher valu	es favour inter	vention						
Post-intervention									
1 (Graf 2001)	RCT	Very serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ¹	9	9	MD 2.60 (-3.00, 8.20)	Low
Depression: CSDD –	lower valu	es favour inte	rvention						
Post-intervention									
1 (Onega 2016)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	30	30	MD -6.53 (-8.69, -4.37)	Moderate
Agitation: CMAI – Iov	wer values	favour interve	ntion						
Post-intervention									
1 (Onega 2016)	RCT	Serious	Not serious	N/A	Not serious	30	30	MD -20.39 (-29.57, - 11.21)	Moderate
CMAI: Cohen-Mansfield	Agitation Inv	entory; CSDD: C	ornell Scale for [Depression in Dem	nentia; MMSE: M	lini Mental Sta	ate Examinat	tion	

© NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.