G.11.1.4 Supportive interventions

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results	
Carer burden (lo	wer values	favour intervention	n)						
5	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ¹	166	165	SMD -0.10 (-0.31, 0.12)	Moderate
Carer depression	ı (lower valı	ues favour interve	ntion)						
5	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Serious ¹	240	235	SMD -0.21 (-0.51, 0.10)	Low
Carer anxiety (lo	wer values	favour interventio	n)						
3	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Very serious ³	61	58	SMD 0.08 (-0.63, 0.79)	Very low
Carer stress (low	er values fa	avour intervention)						
1 (Quayhagen 2000)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious ³	22	15	SMD -0.36 (-1.03, 0.30)	Low
Carer quality of I	ife (higher v	/alues favour inter	vention)						
2	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Very serious ³	121	132	SMD 1.34 (-0.91, 3.60)	Very low
Carer social sup	port (higher	values favour inte	ervention)						
2	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious ³	123	138	SMD -0.02 (-0.26, 0.23)	Low
Revised memory	and behav	iour problems che	cklist – severity	(lower values favo	ur intervention)				
3	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious ³	72	70	SMD 0.04 (-0.29, 0.37)	Low

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results	
1. Crosses one line of a defined MID									
2. i ² >40%									
 Crosses t 	wo lines of	a defined MID							

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017