## G.11.1.5 Respite care

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results	
Carer burden ver	sus usual d	are (lower values	favour interventi	on)					
1 (Wishart 2000)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>1</sup>	11	10	SMD -0.67 (-1.55, 0.22)	Low
Carer depression	versus us	ual care (lower val	ues favour interv	vention)					
1 (Grant 2003)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>1</sup>	32	23	SMD -0.03 (-0.56, 0.51)	Low
Carer depression	versus po	larity therapy (low	er values favour	intervention)					
1 (Korn 2009)	RCT	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	N/A	Serious <sup>3</sup>	18	20	SMD 0.66 (0.01, 1.32)	Low
Carer anxiety ver	sus usual d	care (lower values	favour interventi	on)					
1 (Grant 2003)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very serious <sup>1</sup>	32	23	SMD 0.01 (-0.53, 0.54)	Low
Carer stress vers	us polarity	therapy (lower val	ues favour inter	vention)					
1 (Korn 2009)	RCT	Not serious	Serious <sup>2</sup>	N/A	Serious <sup>3</sup>	18	20	SMD 0.82 (0.15, 1.48)	Low
	nerapy not a	a defined MID a relevant comparate	or for the UK						

<sup>3.</sup> Crosses one line of a defined MID

<sup>©</sup> National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017