G.11.1.7 Case management

ase manageme								1			
Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results			
Carer burden (lower values favour intervention)											
2	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious ¹	98	70	SMD -0.06 (-0.37, 0.25)	Low		
Carer depression (lower values favour intervention)											
2	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Very serious ¹	98	70	SMD -0.19 (-0.61, 0.23)	Very low		
Carer anxiety (lower values favour intervention)											
1 (Xiao 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	31	30	SMD -0.70 (-1.22, -0.18)	Moderate		
Carer quality of life (higher values favour intervention)											
1 (Jansen 2011)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	54	45	SMD 0.23 (-0.17, 0.62)	Moderate		
Carer self-efficacy (higher values favour intervention)											
3	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ²	Very serious ¹	129	100	SMD 0.34 (-0.64, 1.31)	Very low		

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017

Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect estimate	Quality		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Indirectness	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Intervention	Usual care	Summary of results			
Behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia – severity (lower values favour intervention)											
1 (Xiao 2016)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	31	30	SMD -0.63 (-1.15, -0.12)	Moderate		
Proportion entering long stay care (lower values favour intervention)											
1 (Fortinsky 2009)	RCT	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Serious ³	44	25	RR 0.41 (0.14, 1.15)	Moderate		
 Crosses two lines of a defined MID i²>40% Crosses one line of a defined MID 											

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017