Table 27: Clinical evidence profile: early management group versus delayed management group 1

Table 27. Chinesi evidence prome, carry management group versus delayed management group 1											
Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Early	Delayed	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	

SSHI (follow-up mean 12 years and 4 years; scale range 0-42; Better indicated by lower values)											
1	Observational studies	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious²	no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median SHHI score was 4.5 points lower in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
ERS (follow-up mean 12 years and 4 years; scale range 0-10; Better indicated by lower values)											
1	Observational studies	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median ERS score was 1 point lower in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
GHSI general (follow-up mean 12 years and 4 years; scale range 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	Observational studies	. ,	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	50	50	-	The median GHSI total score was 10.5 points higher in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
GHSI social si	upport (follow-u	p mean 12 ye	ars and 4 years;	scale range 0-	-100; Better indicate	d by higher valu	ies)				
1	Observational studies	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	50	50	-	The median GHSI total score was 0 points higher in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
GHABP use (follow-up mean 12 years and 4 years; scale range 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	Observational studies		no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median GHABP use score was 29 points higher in the early intervention group	VERY LOW

GHABP benef	it (follow-up me	an 12 years a	nd 4 years; scale	range 0-100;	Better indicated by	higher values)					
1	Observational studies	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious²	no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median GHABP benefit score was 18 points higher in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
GHABP residual disability (follow-up mean 12 years and 4 years; scale range 0-100; Better indicated by lower values)											
1	Observational studies		no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median GHABP residual disability score was 3 points lower in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
GHABP satisf	action (follow-u	p mean 12 yea	ars and 4 years; s	scale range 0-	100; Better indicate	d by higher valu	es)				
1		very serious ¹		serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	49	50	-	The median GHABP satisfaction score was 23 points higher in the early intervention group	VERY LOW
EuroQol thern	nometer (follow-	up mean 12 y	ears and 4 years	; scale range	0-100; Better indica	ted by higher va	lues)				
1		very serious ¹		serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	50	50	-	The median EuroQol thermometer score was 2.5 points lower in the early intervention group	VERY LOW

¹ Not all pre-specified confounders accounted for and different care received, such as different types of hearing aid

² Downgraded by 1 increments because the majority of evidence was from an indirect population/intervention (early versus delayed defined by mode of referral for hearing aid use – early screening or standard referral to hearing aid clinic at older age)