Table 69: Clinical evidence profile: Motivational engagement versus usual care

		Quality asse	ssment	No of patients		Effect						
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Motivational engagement versus usual care	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Hearing ai	Hearing aid use (hours/day) (follow-up 10 weeks; Better indicated by higher values)											
		· ·		no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.28 higher (1.54 lower to 4.1 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Measure c	Measure of Audiologic Rehabilitation Self-Efficacy for Hearing Aids - Overall (follow-up 10 weeks; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	randomised	very	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 3.93 higher (2.93	VERY	CRITICAL

	trials	serious ¹	inconsistency	indirectness						lower to 10.79 higher)	LOW	
easure (of Audiologic	Rehabilita	ation Self Efficacy	for Hearing Aids	s - Aided list	ening (follow-up 10	weeks; range of scores	:: 0-100; E	Better inc	licated by higher values	5)	I
	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.81 higher (7.05 lower to 8.67 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
easure o	of Audiologic	Rehabilita	ation Self Efficacy	for Hearing Aids	s - Advanced	l handling (follow-u	ip 10 weeks; range of sc	ores: 0-1	00; Bette	er indicated by higher v	alues)	
	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 10.44 higher (4.93 lower to 25.81 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
lasgow	Hearing Aid B	Senefit Pro	ofile - Overall (follo	ow-up 10 weeks;	range of sco	ores: 0-100; Better i	indicated by higher valu	es)	<u> </u>			1
	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.94 lower (11.36 lower to 7.48 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
asgow	Hearing Aid B	enefit Pro	ofile - Benefit (follo	ow-up 10 weeks;	range of sco	ores: 0-100; Better i	indicated by higher valu	es)	1			
	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 2.43 lower (14.11 lower to 9.25 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
lasgow	Hearing Aid B	enefit Pro	ofile - Satisfaction	(follow-up 10 we	eks; range c	of scores: 0-100; Be	etter indicated by higher	values)	<u> </u>			
	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 4.92 higher (6 lower to 15.84 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
loogow	Hearing Aid B	enefit Pro	ofile - Residual dis	ability (follow-ur	10 weeks [.] r	ange of scores: 0-7	100; Better indicated by	higher va	lues)			

1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.11 higher (6.34 lower to 8.56 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Short fo	rm Patient Acti	vation Me	asure (follow-up 1	0 weeks; range o	of scores: 0-	100; Better indicate	ed by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.84 higher (6.36 lower to 10.04 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
Hospital	Anxiety and D	epression	scale - Overall (fo	bllow-up 10 week	s; range of s	cores: 0-56; Better	r indicated by lower value	s)				
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.01 lower (2.72 lower to 0.7 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
Hospital	Anxiety and D	epression	scale - Anxiety (f	ollow-up 10 weel	s; range of s	scores: 0-56; Bette	r indicated by lower value	es)				
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 1.08 lower (2.95 lower to 0.79 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
Hospital	Anxiety and D	epression	scale - Depressio	on (follow-up 10 v	veeks; range	of scores: 0-56; B	etter indicated by lower v	alues)	L			
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.5 lower (2.4 lower to 1.4 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
Satisfac	tion with Ampli	fication in	n Daily Life - Overa	all (follow-up 10 v	veeks; range	of scores: 1-7; Be	tter indicated by higher v	alues)	1			
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.4 higher (0.01 to 0.79 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA
Satisfac	tion with Ampli	fication in	n Daily Life - Posit	ive effect (follow	-up 10 weeks	; range of scores:	1-7; Better indicated by h	igher va	alues)	1		

1	randomised trials	very serious ¹			very serious²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.3 higher (0.14 lower to 0.74 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Satisfacti	Satisfaction with Amplification in Daily Life - Negative features (follow-up 10 weeks; range of scores: 1-7; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	randomised trials	very serious ¹		no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.72 higher (0.02 to 1.42 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Satisfacti	Satisfaction with Amplification in Daily Life - Personal image (follow-up 1-7; range of scores: 1-7; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	randomised trials	very serious¹		no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.43 higher (0.18 lower to 1.04 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Satisfacti	Satisfaction with Amplification in Daily Life - Service and cost (follow-up 10 weeks; range of scores: 1-7; Better indicated by higher values)											
1	randomised trials	very serious¹		no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	28	25	-	MD 0.09 higher (0.33 lower to 0.51 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs