Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Chlorobutanol solution versus Water (repeated applications)	Control	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute	
No longer	r impacted wa	x at 5 days	s (follow-up mean	5 days)							
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	serious ²	serious ³	none	24/40 (60%)	52.6%	RR 1.14 (0.77 to 1.69)	74 more per 1000 (from 121 fewer to 363 more)	VERY LOW

Table 33: Clinical evidence profile: chlorobutanol solution versus water (repeated applications) for earway

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² Downgraded by 1 or 2 increments because the majority of evidence was from an indirect population (age and other factors not defined) ³ Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs