## P.2.1.82 Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA (<19)

Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MocA (113)											
Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%Cl)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
SECONDARY CARE											
2 × prospective	495	0.93 (0.90, 0.96)	0.81 (0.44, 0.96)	LR+	5.18 (1.32, 20.41)	V. serious	Serious	Not serious	Serious	-	VERY LOW
				LR-	0.09 (0.06, 0.13)	V. serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious		LOW
	Design E	Design Total N	Design N Sens (95%CI)  E  2 × 0.93 (0.90,	Design N Sens Spec (95%CI)  E  2 × 0.93 (0.90, 0.81 (0.44,	Design Total Sens (95%CI) Spec (95%CI) Measure  E  2 × 0.93 (0.90, 0.81 (0.44, 0.96) 0.96)	Total   Sens   Spec   Measure   Summary of findings   (95%CI)	Total   Sens (95%CI)   Spec (95%CI)   Measure   Summary of findings (95%CI)   E	Total   Sens (95%CI)   Spec (95%CI)   Measure   Summary of findings (95%CI)   Serious   Seriou	Total   Sens (95%CI)   Spec (95%CI)   Measure   Summary of findings (95%CI)   Summary of findi	Total   Sens (95%CI)   Spec (95%CI)   Measure   Summary of findings (95%CI)   Summary of findi	Total   Sens (95%CI)   Spec (95%CI)   Measure   Summary of findings (95%CI)   Summary of findi

## Notes on risk of bias

Chen 2011: Unclear whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided or if a pre-specified test threshold was used; unclear whether index and reference tests were interpreted without knowledge of each other and whether all participants were included in the analysis.

Yeung 2014: Unclear whether patients were selected randomly or consecutively or whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the optimal index test thresholds were determined during the study; it is unclear whether the index test results and reference test results were assessed independently of each other: subgroup analysis was carried out with >10% population (MCI) being excluded.

© NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.