

**P.2.7.4 99mTc-ECD SPECT, visual assessment method**

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
<b>MULTIPLE CAMERA</b>												
2 studies (Kaneta 2016; Tripathi 2010)	2x prospective	206	0.72 (0.09, 0.99)	0.87 (0.49, 0.98)	LR+	4.56 (0.31, 66.33)	Serious	Serious	Not serious	V serious		VERY LOW
					LR-	0.26 (0.02, 3.24)	Serious	Serious	Not serious	V. serious		VERY LOW
<b>Notes on risk of bias</b>												
Tripathi 2010: 14% of participants were lost to follow up and did not receive a reference standard; it is unclear whether the index test was interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard.												