

P.2.8.10 p-tau 181

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
SECONDARY CARE												
3 studies (Boutoleau-Bretonniere 2012; Ibach 2006; Maddalena 2003)	3 x prospective	2249	0.75 (0.64, 0.84)	0.74 (0.61, 0.83)	LR+	2.97 (1.73, 5.09)	V. serious	Serious	Not serious	Serious	-	VERY LOW
					LR-	0.35 (0.21, 0.57)	V. serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious		VERY LOW
Notes on risk of bias												
Maddalena 2003: It was unclear whether inappropriate exclusions had been made; an optimised threshold was used for each test and within each test for different analyses; it was unclear whether the index and reference tests were interpreted independently of each other.												
Ibach 2006: It is unclear whether a consecutive or random sample of patients was enrolled and whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the test thresholds were not pre-specified and it is unclear whether the index test was interpreted without knowledge of the reference diagnosis; a subgroup analysis was used where >10% study population was excluded.												
Boutoleau-Bretonniere 2012: Loss to follow up of 6/69 patients; unclear about consecutive versus random enrolment of patients; reference diagnosis made at 24 month follow up with index tests carried out at baseline and again at 24 months in some cases; subgroup analysis used with >10% study population discarded.												