

P.2.10 bv-FTD versus non-bv-FTD

P.2.10.1 FDG-PET

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
SECONDARY CARE												
1 study (Vijverberg 2016b)	Prospective	111	0.89 (0.71, 0.96)	0.68 (0.57, 0.77)	LR+	2.77 (1.97, 3.88)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Serious	-	LOW
					LR-	0.16 (0.06, 0.48)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious		MODERATE
Notes on risk of bias Vijverberg 2016b: 19% study population was excluded from analysis and it is unclear whether a consecutive or random group of patients was enrolled or whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; all test results (including the index tests) were used to reach the clinical diagnosis.												