P.2.10.5 FTDC criteria for probable bvFTD

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
SECONDARY CARE												
1 study (Vijverberg 2016a)	Prospective	116	0.85 (0.67, 0.94)	0.82 (0.73, 0.89)	LR+	4.74 (2.96, 7.59)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious	-	MODERATE
					LR-	0.18 (0.07, 0.45)	Serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious		MODERATE

Notes on risk of bias

Vijverberg 2016a: 19% study population was excluded from analysis and it is unclear whether a consecutive or random group of patients was enrolled or whether the reference standard results were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test.

[©] NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.