

**P.2.24.2 FDG-PET**

Studies	Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)	Measure	Summary of findings (95%CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Quality
<b>SECONDARY CARE</b>												
2 studies (Arslan 2015; Ossenkoppele 2013)	1 × prospective; 1 × retrospective	146	0.40 (0.25, 0.57)	0.78 (0.49, 0.93)	LR+	1.78 (0.91, 3.51)	V. serious	Not serious	Serious	Serious	-	VERY LOW
					LR-	0.78 (0.59, 1.03)	V. serious	Not serious	Serious	Not serious		VERY LOW
<p><b>Notes on risk of bias</b>                      Ossenkoppele 2013: It is unclear whether a consecutive or random sample of patients was enrolled and whether inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the index test was interpreted with knowledge of the reference diagnosis; a subgroup analysis was used where &gt;10% study population was excluded.                      Arslan 2015: Unclear whether: a consecutive or random sample of eligible patients was enrolled and inappropriate exclusions were avoided; the index test was interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard and if the imaging patterns were pre-specified; the reference standard results were interpreted independently of the index test results.</p> <p><b>Notes on indirectness</b>                      Ossenkoppele 2013: It is unclear whether the LeARN cohort consisted of people with suspected cognitive impairment.</p>												