## P.2.1.72 MMSE (<19)

Design	Total N	Sens (95%CI)	Spec (95%CI)		Summary	of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	mprecision	Other considerations	
				Measure	of findings (95%CI)	Risk	Incon	Indire	Impre	Other consid	Quality
										·	
2x _	F00	0.87 (0.78, 0.92)	0.87 (0.83, 0.90)	LR+	6.46 (4.97, 8.38)	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious		MODERAT E
rospective	520			LR-	0.16 (0.09, 0.26)	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	-	MODERAT E
	200	0.56 (0.49, 0.62)	0.97 (0.78, 0.93)	LR+	4.19 (2.39, 7.36)	V. serious	n/a	Not serious	Not serious		LOW
1 study (Flicker 1997) Prospective 2				LR-	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)	V. serious	n/a	Not serious	Serious	-	VERY LOW
rious											
x a	010	0.76 (0.46, 0.93)	0.87 (0.83, 0.89)	LR+	5.95 (4.64, 7.62)	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious		MODERAT E
ospective 619	019			LR-	0.26 (0.10, 0.70)	V. serious	Serious	Not serious	Serious	-	VERYLOW
ro: ric	ospective ous	ospective 299  ous	ospective 299 0.56 (0.49, 0.62)  ous  0.76 (0.46,	ospective 299 0.56 (0.49, 0.97 (0.78, 0.93)  ous  0.76 (0.46, 0.87 (0.83,	1	1	Serious   Seri	Serious   Seri	Serious   Seri	Serious   Seri	Sepective   Sepicive   Sepective   Sepective   Sepective   Sepicive   Se

## Notes on risk of bias

Flicker 1997: Due to non-pre-specification of test thresholds; large number of patients excluded from study; lack of clarity about patient groups included in the analysis and whether the reference standard results were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test.

Cruz-Orduna 2012: Thresholds were not pre-specified but were calculated to give optimum sensitivity and specificity

Carnero-Pardo 2013: Multiple test thresholds were used

<sup>©</sup> NICE 2018. All rights reserved. See Notice of rights.