Table D.1.d. Mental health outcomes and physical activity, pregnant and postpartum women

Black font is from original GRADE Evidence Profile from the systematic review (Davenport 2018 (3)) to support the 2019 Canadian Guideline for Physical Activity Throughout Pregnancy. Red font denotes additions based on WHO update using review of existing systematic reviews. One systematic review was identified that addressed the relationship between physical activity and postpartum depression (20).

			Quality assess	ment			№ of participants Effect			ect		
№ of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Association b	etween exercise-or	ly interventio	ns and prenatal d	epressive symp	toms		-					
15 (pooled estimate of effect, n =13; 2 studies reported narratively)	randomized trials	serious ª	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	590 Narrative Synt (n=51) with no an improveme not with other a contrast, one F	585 hesis: A superi n-yoga (n=45) nt in depressive antenatal exerc RCT found no in	- ority RCT comp antenatal exerc e symptoms wit ise (Satyapriya nfluence of prer	SMD 0.39 SD lower (0.51 lower to 0.26 lower) aring yoga ises showed h yoga, but 2013). In natal exercise	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	CRITICAL
						on the severity (exercise = 42	of depressive 9, control = 426					
4	non-randomized intervention studies	serious ^b	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	none	215	205	-	SMD 0.81 lower (1.14 lower to 0.49 lower)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
8 (pooled estimate of effect, n =3; 5 studies	cohort studies	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	serious ^e	none	94	170	-	SMD 0.16 SD lower (0.47 lower to 0.14 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

	Quality assessment						Nº of par	ticipants	Eff	ect		
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
reported narratively							Narrative Synt (n=5,060). 4/5 prenatal exerc 2013; Demissi reported no as physical activit	hesis: Five coh (n=4,982) repo ise on depressi e 2011; Orr 200 sociation betwe ty (Tendais 201	ort studies were rted a favourab ve symptoms (0 b6; Downs 2008 een depression 1).	included le effect of Bjestland). 1/5 (n=78) scores and		
2 (pooled							39	17	-	MD 0.2 lower (0.49 lower to 0.09 higher)		
effect, n =1; 1 study reported narratively	case-control studies no	not serious serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Sum (case, n=80; c meeting the re moderate inter symptoms whe recommendati for age, parity, index. Kolu 20	mary: One case ontrol, n=258) a commendation nsity physical are en compared to ons (OR = 1.94 education and 14)	was included at women ss/week of ar depressive eting the 4.56 adjusted body mass	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL		
4 (pooled estimate of effect, n =1;	cross-sectional	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	not serious	2020	117	86	-	MD 11.26 lower (14.36 lower to 8.16 lower)	000	CRITICAL
3 study reported narratively	studies	3011043					Narrative Synthesis: Three cross-sectional studies were included (n=439). 3/3 indicated an inverse association between prenatal physical activity level and prenatal depressive symptoms (Loprinzi 2012; Petrovic 2016; de Wit 2015).				VERY LOW	CRITICAL
5	randomized trials	serious ^h	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	32/354 (9.0%)	72/329 (21.9%)	OR 0.33 (0.21 to 0.53)	134 fewer per 1 000 (from 90 fewer to 163 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			Nº of pa	rticipants	Eff	ect		
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Association b	etween exercise-on	ly interventio	ns and prenatal d	epression								
1	non-randomized intervention study	serious ⁱ	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	13/50 (26.0%)	41/50 (82.0%)	OR 0.08 (0.03 to 0.20)	553 fewer per 1 000 (from 343 fewer to 700 fewer)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	cohort study	serious ^j	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	3/53 (5.7%)	24/127 (18.9%)	OR 0.26 (0.07 to 0.90)	132 fewer per 1 000 (from 16 fewer to 173 fewer)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	cross-sectional study	serious ^d	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Sun did at least 20 pregnancy we depression (a Depression S (OR 2.23, 95% pregnancy (O	mmary: Bowen (2 minutes of exe ere less likely to ssessed using t cale) than wome %CI 1.26, 3.92) R 3.18, 95%CI	2009) found tha rcise per day du experience pre he Edinburgh P en who exercise or did not exerci 1.47, 6.87).	t women who uring natal ostnatal occasionally ise during	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Association b	etween exercise-on	ly interventio	ns and postnatal	depressive sym	ptoms							
4	randomized trials	serious ^k	not serious	not serious	serious ^e	none	537	496	-	SMD 0.01 lower (0.13 lower to 0.12 higher)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			Nº of participants Effect					
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Nakamura 2019 <i>(20)</i> Moderate 6 randomized trials 11 cohort studies 4 cross-sectional studies		serious ^s	serious °	not serious	not serious	none	17/21 studies were included in meta-analysis (6 trials and 11 observational studies). When all study designs were combined, there was a significant association between <u>physical activity</u> and postpartum depression scores (SMD = -0.22 [95% Cl, -0.42 to -0.01]), $ ^2$ =86.4%). <u>Physical activity interventions</u> showed a significant inverse relationship with PA during pregnancy and symptoms of post-partum depression (MD = -0.58 [95% Cl, -1.09 to - 0.08], $ ^2$ =90.7%). Observational evidence also showed an inverse, but not significant relationship between <u>PA during</u> <u>pregnancy</u> and post-partum depression scores (SMD = - 0.07 [95% Cl, -0.20 to 0.06], $ ^2$ -74.4%).			⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW	CRITICAL	
3 (pooled estimate of effect, n =2; 1 study reported narratively	non-randomized intervention studies	serious ¹	serious ^c	not serious	serious ^e	none	135 Narrative Sum including depre 2015) demons a clinically mea Using regressi was observed the greater rec week.	117 mary: One non- essed women (i trated that a 10 aningful decreas on analysis, a d where the more luction in depres	- ntervention, n= week yoga inte se in depression ose-response r time spent pra ssive symptoms	MD 0.69 lower (1.91 lower to 0.52 higher) ervention 34)(Battle rvention had n severity. elationship cticing yoga, s in a given	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	cohort studies	serious ^m	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	26	8	-	MD 2.71 Iower (4.93 lower to 0.49 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			Nº of pa	rticipants	Eff	ect		
№ of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
1	cross-sectional studies	very serious ⁿ	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Summary: Data from the North Carolina Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System 2004- 2005 found no significant association between third trimester exercise and postnatal depressive symptoms (Ersek 2009). However, women who were physically active both before pregnancy and during the third trimester of pregnancy had a reduction in severity of depressive symptoms (OR 0.66, 95%CI 0.49, 0.87; after controlling for age and marital status).				⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Association between exercise-only interventions and postnatal depression												
2	randomized trials	serious ^k	not serious	not serious	serious ^e	none	7/417 (1.7%)	13/376 (3.5%)	OR 0.48 (0.18 to 1.22)	18 fewer per 1 000 (from 7 more to 28 fewer)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW	CRITICAL
							419/26494 (1.6%)	886/44372 (2.0%)	OR 0.79 (0.70 to 0.89)	4 fewer per 1 000 (from 2 fewer to 6 fewer)		
1	cohort study	serious °	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Summary: Additional data from the Danish National Birth Cohort (Strom 2009) could not be included the the meta-analysis. They showed that women had a decreased odds of postpartum depression diagnosis if the were vigorously active (OR 0.81, 95%CI 0.66, 0.99), exercising 2-3 hours per week (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.58- 0.98) or achieving 8-15 MET h/week (OR 0.79, 95%CI 0.63, 0.99 compared to no exercise). All ORs were adjusted for maternal age, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, alcohol intake, smoking, occupation, education, home ownership, marital status, social support and history of			Danish be included in had a agnosis if they , 0.99), o CI 0.58- 9, 95%CI were ncy BMI, in, home history of	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			Nº of par	ticipants	Eff	ect		
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
1	case-control study	serious °	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Sumi (Sexton 2012) in women who pregnancy (Be postpartum rec 0.92).	mary: One case reported higher were likely to b ck Depression l covery of depres	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL		
1	cross-sectional study	serious °	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Sumi (Guida 2012) s during the third experience pos exercised 5 or 1.62). Exercisin effect on postp 1.32).	mary: One cros howed that wor I trimester of pro- stpartum depress more days per ng 1-4 times pe artum depressi	s-sectional stud men who did no egnancy were n ssion than wom week (OR 1.36, r week had no c on (OR 1.10, 95	y (n=6,330) t exercise hore likely to en who 95% Cl 1.15, observable 5% Cl 0.93,	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Association b	etween exercise-or	nly interventio	ns and prenatal s	tate anxiety syn	nptoms							
6 (pooled estimate of effect, n =5; 1 study	randomized trials	serious ^p	not serious	not serious	serious ^d	none	136	140	-	SMD 0.03 SD higher (0.21 lower to 0.27 higher)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW	CRITICAL
reported narratively)							Narrative Summary: A superiority RCT comparing yoga (n=51) with non-yoga (n=45) antenatal exercises showed an improvement in state anxiety symptoms with yoga, but not other antenatal exercise (Satyapriya 2013).					
1	non-randomized intervention studies	serious ^q	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Summary: Beddoe (2009) showed that seven weeks of a mindfulness-based yoga intervention did not reduced state anxiety symptoms, whether the intervention was introduced in 2nd or 3rd trimester of pregnancy (n=16).				⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			Nº of par	ticipants	Effe	ct		
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
1	cohort studies	serious ^j	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	38	142	-	SMD 0.36 lower (0.72 lower to 0)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	cross-sectional studies	not serious	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	117	86	-	SMD 0.82 lower (1.11 lower to 0.53 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Association b	etween exercise-on	ly interventio	ns and prenatal tr	ait anxiety symp	otoms							
3 (pooled estimate of effect, n =2;	randomized trials	serious ^r	not serious	not serious	serious ^e	none	49	41	-	SMD 0.21 SD lower (0.63 lower to 0.2 higher)	@@ 00	CRITICAL
reported narratively)						Narrative Sum (n=51) with nor an improvement not other anter	mary: A superio n-yoga (n=45) a nt in trait anxiet natal exercise (ring yoga ses showed n yoga, but).				
1	non-randomized intervention study	serious ^q	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	Narrative Sum of a mindfulnes anxiety sympto the third (but n	mary: Beddoe (ss-based yoga oms when the ir ot second trime	(2009) reported t intervention redu ntervention was i ester) (n=16).	that 7 weeks uced trait introduced in	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	cross-sectional study	not serious	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	117	86	-	SMD 0.82 SD lower (1.11 lower to 0.53 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
1	case-control study	not serious	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	17	39	-	MD 0.19 lower (0.4 lower to 0.02 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

			Quality assess	ment			№ of participants		Effect			
Nº of studies Review (AMSTAR 2 rating)	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	prenatal exercise	no exercise	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Quality	Importance
Association b	between exercise-on	ly interventio	ns and postnatal	State anxiety sy	mptoms							
1	randomized trial	serious ^p	serious ^f	not serious	not serious ^g	none	39	40	-	SMD 0.01 higher (0.43 lower to 0.45 higher)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	CRITICAL

* Unless otherwise stated, all studies are included in the pooled estimate.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; MD = mean difference; OR = odds ratio; SMD = standardised mean difference;

^a Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias and attrition bias. Unclear risk of selection bias; it was unknown if allocation adequately concealed. Reporting bias was an issue in one study and one study did not have a non-exercise control group (superiority trial); results were reported narratively.

^b Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (compliance to the intervention not reported; women who did not complete the majority of the intervention [>75%] were excluded) and attrition bias.

^c Serious inconsistency. High heterogeneity (I2 > 50%).

^d Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (potentially flawed measurement of the exposure; unknown validity of physical activity measure) and reporting bias (incomplete reporting of data in four studies such that they could not be included in the meta-analysis; results were reported narratively).

e Serious imprecision. The 95% CI crosses the line of no effect, and is wide, such that the interpretation of the data would be different if the true effect were at one end of the CI or the other.

^fSerious inconsistency. Only one study was included.

⁹No serious imprecision; only one study but already downgraded for serious inconsistency for this reason.

^h Serious risk of bias. High risk of attrition bias. Unclear risk of selection bias; it was unknown if allocation was adequately concealed.

¹Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance and attrition bias (all women who did not complete the majority of the intervention [80%] were excluded). Unclear risk of selection bias; it was unknown if the methods of sequence generation and allocation concealment were adequate.

^j Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (potentially flawed measurement of the exposure; unknown validity of physical activity measure).

^kSerious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias.

¹Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance and attrition bias (women who did not complete the majority of the intervention [>75%] were excluded; active and inactive groups made on the basis of compliance to physical activity recommendation at the end of the intervention). Reporting bias was an issue in one study (incomplete reporting of data such that it could not be included in the meta-analysis; results were reported narratively).

^m Serious risk of bias. High risk of attrition and of other bias (extreme imbalance in baseline data between the groups likely to influence the outcome).

ⁿ Very serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (potentially flawed measurement of the exposure; unknown validity of retrospective physical activity measure), detection bias (potentially flawed measurement of the outcome; unknown validity of postnatal depression symptoms measure). Reporting bias was an issue in this study (incomplete reporting of data such that it could not be included in the meta-analysis; results were reported narratively).

^o Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (potentially flawed measurement of the exposure; unknown validity of physical activity measure). Reporting bias was an issue in one study (incomplete reporting of data such that it could not be included in the meta-analysis; results were reported narratively).

^p Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias and attrition bias. Unclear risk of selection bias; it was unclear if sequence generation and allocation concealment were adequate.

^q Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance and attrition bias. This study has no control group such that it could not be included in the meta-analysis; results were reported narratively.

^r Serious risk of bias. High risk of attrition bias. Unclear risk of selection bias; it was unclear if sequence generation was adequate.

^s Serious risk of bias. High risk of performance bias (potentially flawed measurement of the exposure; unknown validity of physical activity measure), attrition bias, and reporting bias.