Table B.1.e. Type 2 diabetes incidence: Association between physical activity and Type 2 diabetes incidence among adults

 See the Supplementary materials for description of evidence of US PAGAC by outcome

| Systematic review<br>evidence<br>Review credibility | No. of  | Quality Assessment               |                             |                         |                           |       |   |                          |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------|---|--------------------------|
|   | studies/<br>Study<br>design<br>No. of<br>participants     | Risk of<br>bias                  | Inconsistency               | Indirectness†           | Imprecisio<br>n           | Other | Description of evidence<br>Summary of findings  | Certainty                |
| Boyer 2019 <i>(12)</i><br>Low                       | 27<br>prospective<br>cohort<br>studies<br>N=1,150,<br>574 | No<br>serious<br>risk of<br>bias | Serious<br>inconsistency    | Serious<br>indirectness | Serious<br>imprecision    | None  | Studies examined the relationship between PA and type 2 diabetes in specific racial/ethnicity groups. Duration of follow-up ranged from 2 to 28 years. Method of diabetes ascertainment ranged considerably including medical records, reports of medication or insulin use, OGT tests, FBG, or self-report.<br>A reduced risk of <b>developing diabetes</b> was found when comparing the <b>highest vs. lowest levels of PA</b> among non-Hispanic whites (RR = 0.71 [95% CI 0.60 to 0.85], 8 studies, n=238,719), Asians (RR = 0.76 [95% CI 0.67 to 0.85], 16 studies, n=928,319), Hispanics (RR = 0.74 [95% CI, 0.64 to 0.84], 3 studies, n=7,022). The effect among non-Hispanic blacks was not statistically significant (RR = 0.91 [95% CI 0.76 to 1.08], 5 studies, n=30,452). | VERY<br>LOWª             |
| Dinu 2019 <i>(19)</i><br>Low                        | 4 prospective<br>cohort<br>studies<br>N=102,077           | Serious<br>risk of<br>bias       | Serious<br>inconsistency    | Serious<br>indirectness | No serious<br>imprecision | None  | All studies evaluated the effects of mixed mode (cycling and/or walking)<br>active commuting on health outcomes. Exposure levels of active<br>commuting were variably reported as minutes spent walking or cycling for<br>transportation per day, as dichotomized variables (yes or no), or as METs<br>with the reference category as no active commuting in most studies.<br>Follow-up ranged from 4 to 25 years.<br>There was no significant association between <u>active commuting</u> and<br>diabetes incidence compared with those participating in no active<br>commuting (RR = 0.0.78 [95% CI 0.60 to 1.03], 4 studies).  | VERY<br>LOW <sup>b</sup> |
| Paudel 2019 <i>(54)</i><br>Low                      | 3 cross<br>sectional<br>studies<br>N=14,902               | Serious<br>risk of<br>bias       | No serious<br>inconsistency | Serious<br>indirectness | Serious<br>imprecision    | None  | Examination of the association between PA and incidence of T2D among<br>South Asian adults. All 3 studies found no association between total PA<br>and T2D.   | VERY<br>LOW <sup>c</sup> |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; FBG = fasting blood glucose; OGT = oral glucose tolerance; PA = physical activity; NR = not reported; RR = risk ratio; T2D = type 2 diabetes

<sup>†</sup> Serious indirectness indicates measurement of intermediate/indirect outcomes or heterogeneity in exposures and comparisons assessed; certainty of evidence was not always downgraded for indirectness if it was not judged to impact the certainty in the findings for the outcome evaluated in the review

<sup>a</sup> Certainty of evidence downgraded given serious inconsistency in direction of effects, serious indirectness in comparisons, and serious imprecision in pooled estimates of effects <sup>b</sup> Certainty of evidence downgraded given serious risk of bias (not appropriately adjusting for confounding), serious inconsistency in effects between studies and statistical heterogeneity and

indirectness in comparisons of exposures

<sup>c</sup> Certainty of evidence rated as very low according to authors given serious risk of bias and serious imprecision. Serious indirectness is also present given variability in comparisons