

Table F.1.h. People with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), relationship between physical activity and health-related outcomes

Questions: What is the association between **physical activity** and health-related outcomes?

Population: People with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)

Exposure: Greater volume, duration, frequency, or intensity of physical activity

Comparison: No physical activity or lesser volume, duration, frequency, or intensity of physical activity

Outcome: Cognitive function

Outcome	Systematic review evidence Review credibility	No. of studies/ Study design No. of participants	Quality Assessment					Summary of findings	Certainty	US PAGAC evidence (39)
			Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness †	Imprecision	Other			
Cognitive function	Ashdown-Franks 2019 ^a , ^d (2) Moderate	1 ESR (5 RCTs, N=NR)	NA ^b					One low credibility review of 5 RCTs found evidence to suggest that exercise is more effective than usual are or education in children (mean age 11 years) on measures of attention (SMD = 0.84 [95% CI, 0.48 to 1.20], trials NR) and executive function (SMD = 0.58 [95% CI, 0.15 to 1.00], 3 trials, n=102), and social disorders (SMD =0.59 [95% CI 0.03 to 1.16], 2 RCTs, n=53).	LOW ^c	2 ESRs Moderate evidence indicates that moderate-to-vigorous physical activity can have beneficial effects on cognition in individuals with diseases or disorders that impair cognitive function, including attention deficit hyperactivity disorder , schizophrenia, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, and stroke. PAGAC Grade: Moderate.

Abbreviations: ESR = existing systematic review; NR = not reported; PAGAC = Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee; RCT = randomized clinical trial; QOL = quality of life

† Serious indirectness indicates measurement of intermediate/indirect outcomes or heterogeneity in exposures and comparisons assessed; certainty of evidence was not always downgraded for indirectness if it was not judged to impact the certainty in the findings for the outcome evaluated in the review

^a Review-of-reviews

^b Not able to assign given review-of-review methodology

^c Certainty of evidence downgraded given small number of trials and unknown risk of bias, consistency, and precision

^d Two additional reviews (7 Suarez-Manzano, 2018 #113) were identified but were rated as critically low credibility and are not included