

**Table 39: Clinical evidence profile: People with mild cognitive impairment vs control**

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Mild cognitive impairment	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
<b>AHI (Better indicated by lower values)</b>												
1	observational studies	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious <sup>2</sup>	None	46	40	-	MD 2.3 higher (3.2 lower to 7.8 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

<sup>1</sup> Risk of bias was assessed using the QUIPS checklist. Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias

<sup>2</sup> Default MID (0.5XSD) used to assess imprecision. Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs .