| Field | Content | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| PROSPERO registration | Not registered | | |
| number Review title | | | |
| | Positional modifiers | | |
| Review question | What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of interventions to modify sleeping position for people with obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome? | | |
| Objective | To determine is the clinical and cost effectiveness of interventions to modify sleeping position for people with obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS). | | |
| Searches | The following databases (from inception) will be searched: | | |
| | Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) | | |
| | Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) | | |
| | • Embase | | |
| | MEDLINE | | |
| | • Epistemonikos | | |
| | Searches will be restricted by: | | |
| | • English language studies | | |
| | Other searches: | | |
| | The searches may be re-run 6 weeks before the final committee meeting and further studies retrieved for inclusion if relevant. | | |
| | The full search strategies will be published in the final review. | | |
| Condition or domain being studied | Obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome is the most common form of sleep disordered breathing. The guideline will also cover obesity hypoventilation syndrome and COPD-OSAHS overlap syndrome (the coexistence of obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). | | |
| Population | Inclusion: | | |
| | People (16 and older) with supine (doubling AHI in supine compared with non-supine position) OSAHS (only if formal diagnosis methods) | | |
| | Population will be stratified by: | | |
| | Mild vs moderate vs severe (based on AHI/ODI) | | |
| | Phenotype – with sleepiness vs without sleepiness | | |
| | Severity: | | |
| | Mild OSAHS: AHI >5 but <15 | | |
| | Moderate OSAHS: AHI >/= 15 but <30 Severe OSAHS: AHI >/= 30 | | |

Table 9: Review protocol: Positional modifiers

| | When a mixed severity population is included the severity of the majority | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | of the population will be used by taking the mean AHI of the patients | | |
| | included and the study will be downgraded for indirectness. | | |
| Intervention/Exposure/Test | Interventions to modify sleeping position (for example tennis ball technique, Zzoma belt, sleep position trainer) | | |
| Comparator/Reference standard/Confounding factors | Any of the above vs other treatments for OSAHS Any of the above vs no intervention/sham intervention | | |
| Types of study to be included | RCTs only Parallel or crossover to be included Minimum duration of follow-up 1 months | | |
| Other exclusion criteria | - | | |
| Context | - | | |
| Primary outcomes (critical outcomes) | Generic or disease specific quality of life measures (continuous) Mortality (dichotomous) | | |
| | Outcomes will be separated into short term (latest follow-up to 6 months) and long term (latest follow-up beyond 6 months) | | |
| Secondary outcomes | Sleepiness scores (continuous, e.g. Epworth) | | |
| (important outcomes) | Apnoea-Hypopnoea index or respiratory disturbance index (continuous) | | |
| | Supine AHI (continuous) | | |
| | Oxygen desaturation index (continuous) | | |
| | Treatment success (reduction in supine sleeping, continuous/dichotomous) | | |
| | Minor adverse effects of treatment (rates or dichotomous) | | |
| | Adherence (continuous) | | |
| | Driving outcomes (continuous) | | |
| | Neurocognitive outcomes (continuous) | | |
| | Patient preference (continuous) | | |
| | Impact on co-existing conditions: | | |
| | HbA1c for diabetes (continuous) | | |
| | Cardiovascular events for cardiovascular disease (dichotomous) Systolic blood pressure for hypertension (continuous) | | |
| | Outcomes will be separated into short term (latest follow-up to 6 months) and long term (latest follow-up beyond 6 months) | | |
| Data extraction (selection and coding) | EndNote will be used for reference management, sifting, citations and bibliographies. All references identified by the searches and from other sources will be screened for inclusion. 10% of the abstracts will be reviewed by two reviewers, with any disagreements resolved by discussion or, if necessary, a third independent reviewer. The full text of potentially eligible studies will be retrieved and will be assessed in line with the criteria outlined above. | | |
| | EviBASE will be used for data extraction. | | |

| Dick of biog (guality) | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Risk of bias (quality) assessment | Risk of bias will be assessed using the appropriate checklist as described in Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. | |
| | For Intervention reviews | |
| | Systematic reviews: Risk of Bias in Systematic Reviews (ROBIS) | |
| | Randomised Controlled Trial: Cochrane RoB (2.0) | |
| | 10% of all evidence reviews are quality assured by a senior research fellow. This includes checking: | |
| | papers were included /excluded appropriately | |
| | a sample of the data extractions | |
| | correct methods are used to synthesise data | |
| | a sample of the risk of bias assessments | |
| | Disagreements between the review authors over the risk of bias in particular studies will be resolved by discussion, with involvement of a third review author where necessary. | |
| Strategy for data synthesis | Pairwise meta-analyses will be performed using Cochrane Review Manager (RevMan5). | |
| | • GRADEpro will be used to assess the quality of evidence for each outcome, taking into account individual study quality and the meta- analysis results. The 4 main quality elements (risk of bias, indirectness, inconsistency and imprecision) will be appraised for each outcome. Publication bias is tested for when there are more than 5 studies for an outcome. | |
| | The risk of bias across all available evidence was evaluated for each outcome using an adaptation of the 'Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) toolbox' developed by the international GRADE working group <u>http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/</u> | |
| | Where meta-analysis is not possible, data will be presented and quality assessed individually per outcome. | |
| | • WinBUGS will be used for network meta-analysis, if possible given the data identified. | |
| | Heterogeneity between the studies in effect measures will be assessed using the l ² statistic and visually inspected. An l ² value greater than 50% will be considered indicative of substantial heterogeneity. Sensitivity analyses will be conducted based on pre-specified subgroups using stratified meta-analysis to explore the heterogeneity in effect estimates. If this does not explain the heterogeneity, the results will be presented pooled using random-effects. | |
| Analysis of sub-groups | Subgroups that will be investigated if heterogeneity is present: | |
| | High risk occupational groups (for example heavy goods vehicle drivers) vs general population | |
| | Sleepiness – Epworth >9 vs Epworth 9 or less | |
| | Coexisting conditions – type 2 diabetes vs atrial fibrillation vs hypertension vs none | |
| | • BMI – obese vs non-obese | |
| | Intervention – passive/physical vs training (e.g. electronic training devices) | |

| Type and method of review | \boxtimes | Intervention | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Diagnostic | | |
| | | Prognostic | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Qualitative | | |
| | | Epidemiologic | | |
| | | Service Delivery | | |
| | | Other (please specify) | | |
| Language | English | | | |
| Country | England | | | |
| Anticipated or actual start date | NA – not registered on PROSPERO | | | |
| Anticipated completion date | NA – not registered on PROSPERO | | | |
| Named contact | 5a. Named contact | | | |
| | National Guidelir | ne Centre | | |
| | | | | |
| | 5b Named contact e-mail | | | |
| | SleepApnoHyp | o@nice.org.uk | | |
| | | | | |
| | - | al affiliation of the review | | |
| | National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the National Guideline Centre | | | |
| Review team members | From the Nationa | al Guideline Centre: | | |
| | Carlos Sharpin, Guideline lead | | | |
| | Sharangini Rajesh, Senior systematic reviewer Audrius Stonkus, Systematic reviewer Emtiyaz Chowdhury (until January 2020), Health economist | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | David Wonderlin | g, Head of health economics | | |
| | | formation specialist (till December 2019) | | |
| | Jill Cobb, Informa | ation specialist | | |
| Funding sources/sponsor | This systematic review is being completed by the National Guideline Centre which receives funding from NICE. | | | |
| Conflicts of interest | NICE guidelines witnesses) must NICE's code of p Any relevant inte publicly at the sta meeting, any pot guideline commit | amittee members and anyone who has direct input into (including the evidence review team and expert declare any potential conflicts of interest in line with practice for declaring and dealing with conflicts of interest. erests, or changes to interests, will also be declared art of each guideline committee meeting. Before each ential conflicts of interest will be considered by the ttee Chair and a senior member of the development team. exclude a person from all or part of a meeting will be | | |

| | documented. Any changes to a member's declaration of interests will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. Declarations of interests will be published with the final guideline. |
|--|--|
| Collaborators | Development of this systematic review will be overseen by an advisory committee who will use the review to inform the development of evidence-based recommendations in line with section 3 of <u>Developing NICE guidelines: the manual</u> . Members of the guideline committee are available on the NICE website: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-ng10098 |
| Other registration details | NA – not registered |
| Reference/URL for published protocol | NA – not registered |
| Dissemination plans | NICE may use a range of different methods to raise awareness of the guideline. These include standard approaches such as: |
| | notifying registered stakeholders of publication |
| | publicising the guideline through NICE's newsletter and alerts |
| | issuing a press release or briefing as appropriate, posting news articles on the NICE website, using social media channels, and publicising the guideline within NICE. |
| Keywords | - |
| Details of existing review of same topic by same authors | NA |
| Additional information | - |
| Details of final publication | www.nice.org.uk |