74

Table 17: Clinical evidence profile: positional modifiers vs oral devices (severe severity)

Quality assessment No of patients Effect Qua	y Importance
--	--------------

No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Positional modifiers	Oral devices (severe)	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute		
Change in	Change in total AHI (follow-up mean 2 months; Better indicated by lower values)											
	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	None	15	15	-	mean 9.42 higher (7.19 lower to 26.03 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Change in supine AHI (follow-up mean 2 months; Better indicated by lower values)												
		very serious¹	no serious inconsistency		very serious³	None	15	15	-	mean 7 higher (34.62 lower to 48.68 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² Downgraded by 1 or 2 increments because the majority of the evidence included an indirect or very indirect population respectively ³ Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs. GRADE default MID (0.5XSD) used for AHI.