

I.1 Excluded clinical studies

Table 6: Studies excluded from the clinical review

Study	Exclusion reason
Alaour 2018 ¹	Incorrect study design: non-randomised with no adjustment for confounders
Alsaddique 2016 ²	Not guideline condition. Inappropriate comparison. No suitable outcomes
Black 1983 ³	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Borregaard 2019 ⁴	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Cheng 2008 ⁵	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Cho 2015 ⁶	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Choi 2018 ⁷	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Collas 2015 ⁸	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Drury 1987 ⁹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Egbe 2018 ¹⁰	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Ellis 1995 ¹¹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Fraser 1992 ¹²	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Fritzsche 2005 ¹⁴	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Fritzsche 2007 ¹³	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Fritzsche 2007 ¹⁵	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Gallo 2020 ¹⁶	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Gerber 2019 ¹⁷	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Gillham 2007 ¹⁸	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Goncalves 2017 ¹⁹	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Grefe 2008 ²⁰	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Gripari 2018 ²¹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions. No suitable outcomes
Hansson 2013 ²²	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Horstkotte 2016 ²³	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison. No suitable outcomes
Hulshof 2019 ²⁴	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Ikaheimo 1977 ²⁵	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Jilaihawi 2012 ²⁶	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Johl 2017 ²⁷	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Katsanos 2015 ²⁸	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Lee 2015 ²⁹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Leitch 1991 ³⁰	Not review population. Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Levy 2004 ³¹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Lie 2017 ³²	Incorrect interventions

Study	Exclusion reason
Lund 2003 ³³	Inappropriate comparison. No suitable outcomes
Mastoris 2014 ³⁴	Systematic review is not relevant to review question or unclear PICO
Mccrindle 1991 ³⁵	Tricuspid stenosis and pulmonary valve disease. Adults with congenital heart disease (excluding bicuspid aortic valves).
Mclachlan 2015 ³⁶	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Melacini 1993 ³⁷	Inappropriate comparison
Melan 2013 ³⁸	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Nanda 1991 ³⁹	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Ozkan 2011 ⁴¹	Incorrect interventions. No suitable outcomes
Papanastasiou 2019 ⁴²	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions. No suitable outcomes
Parpiyev 2011 ⁴³	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Parro 2004 ⁴⁴	Incorrect study design
Pislaru 2016 ⁴⁵	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Ramondo 1991 ⁴⁶	Incorrect interventions: monitoring during procedure rather than as follow-up after procedure
Reid 1991 ⁴⁷	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Roudaut 1992 ⁴⁸	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Singh 2009 ⁴⁹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions. No suitable outcomes
Sinning 2017 ⁵⁰	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Sokalskis 2017 ⁵¹	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Soon 2017 ⁵²	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Soschynski 2018 ⁵³	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Stassano 1993 ⁵⁴	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Sucha 2015 ⁵⁶	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Sucha 2016 ⁵⁵	Incorrect study design: narrative review
Symersky 2009 ⁵⁷	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Tanguturi 2017 ⁵⁸	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions. No suitable outcomes
Teeter 2017 ⁵⁹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Tsai 2009 ⁶⁰	Incorrect interventions. Inappropriate comparison
Varma 2005 ⁶¹	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Weintraub 1990 ⁶²	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions
Wood 2009 ⁶³	Inappropriate comparison. Incorrect interventions