Table 4: Clinical evidence tables

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details	Participants			interventions	Wethous	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
ECPPA: randomised trial of low dose aspirin for the prevention of maternal and fetal complications in high risk pregnant women. ECPPA (Estudo Colaborativo para Prevenção da Pré-eclampsia com Aspirina) Collaborative Group, British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 103, 39-47, 1996 Ref Id 787414 Country/ies where the study was carried out Brazil	Total population N = 1009 (n = 4 aspirin, n = 511 placebo) Women with ch N = 473 (n = 24 aspirin, n = 231 placebo) Characteristics Demographics entire population subgroup of wohypertension. Age, mean ± SD, years Estimated gestation at randomisation	198 random randomise pronic hyper 12 randomise randomise are reporte	tension: sed to d to	Aspirin group: 60mg aspirin to be taken daily Placebo group: identical appearing placebo tablets containing cornstarch and microcrystalline cellulose.	Women were instructed to take their allocated intervention daily from 12 weeks (or immediately after randomisation, if this was later than 12 weeks gestation) until delivery. Computer generated randomisation lists were prepared by the Clinical Trial Service Unit, Oxford University. Baseline details of the women were recorded directly on the lists, and only after complete baseline information had been provided was a specific numbered trial treatment pack allocated. The study was analysed on an intention to treat basis. The study was double blind, with the contents of the treatment pack not to be revealed unless there was a clear medical reason for the treatment to be known.	Pre-eclampsia in women with chronic hypertension† Aspirin group: 23/231 Placebo group: 16/224 Preterm delivery < 37 weeks in women with chronic hypertension‡ Aspirin group: 56/231 Placebo group: 70/225 IUGR <3rd centile for sex and estimated maturity in women with chronic hypertension Aspirin group: 26/233 Placebo group: 26/226	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (computer generated randomisation lists prepared by third party) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (no details reported. Authors state that allocation was only revealed if medically necessary during the trial, but no information as to how this data was released and who had access to the data) Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blinded trial)

Study details	Participants		Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details Study type Multicentre RCT Aim of the study To determine whether low dose aspirin is effective in women at coarticularly high risk of adverse outcomes associated with core-eclampsia. Study dates December 1989 to March 1993. Source of funding Sterling Drugs crovided funding, and also supplied thee intervention and placebo drugs. Authors state that the study was designed, conducted, analysed and interpreted	mean ± SD, weeks < 12 weeks†, n (%) 12 ≤ 20 weeks, n (%) > 20 ≤ 28 weeks, n (%) > 28 weeks, n (%) > 28 weeks, n (%) Systolic BP, mean ± SD, mmHg < 120 mmHg, n (%) 153	(4) 20 (4) 6 (37) 161 (32) 4 (39) 233 (46) 0 (20) 97 (19) 7.3 ± 126.8 ± 20.5 3 (31) 159 (31) 1 (34) 183 (36)		A sample size calculation is not reported. Pre-eclampsia was defined as the development of hypertension plus the detection of protein in the urine after randomisation. Hypertension was defined as a rise of ≥25 mmHg to a level of 90mmHg or higher for those with a baseline diastolic BP of <90mmHg. For those with a baseline diastolic of 90mmHg or above, an increment of 15mmHg was required.	Stillbirths and neonatal deaths in women with chronic hypertension Aspirin group: 22/233 Placebo group: 17/226 † data included in the individual participant meta-analysis by Askie 2007 ‡n.b. these data are not included in the individual participant meta-analysis by Van Vliet 2017. This is presumed to be because data on spontaneous onset of delivery versus induction were unavailable.	Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-out 4% and no difference between groups) Selective reporting: low risk Other information Note pharmaceutical company funded trial.

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Diastolic BP, mean ± SD, mmHg	81.3 ± 15.0	80.3 ± 14.8				
	< 90 mmHg, n (%)	314 (63)	333 (65)				
	90 - 109 mmHg, n (%)	155 (31)	159 (31)				
	≥ 110 mmHg, n (%)	29 (6)	19 (4)				
	Chronic hypertension, n (%)	242 (49)	231 (45)				
	† women rando were to start th weeks' gestation	e intervention					
	Inclusion crite						
	Women betwee gestation	en 12 and 3	2 weeks'				
	Women between 12 and 32 weeks' gestation At sufficient risk of pre-eclampsia or its sequelae for the use of low dose aspirin to be contemplated, but without clear indications for or against its use (in the view of the responsible clinician). Reasons included, for example, chronic						

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	hypertension, primigravity (especially with other risk factors such as extremes of age), diabetes, renal disease, previous preeclampsia or IUGR. Exclusion criteria Women with an increased risk of bleeding, asthma, allergy to aspirin, gastric ulcer and placenta praevia.				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Askie, L. M., Duley, L., Henderson- Smart, D. J., Stewart, L. A., Antiplatelet agents for prevention of pre- eclampsia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data, Lancet, 369, 1791-1798, 2007 Ref Id 787498 Country/ies where the study was carried out Multicentre	Data for primary outcome (primary prevention of preeclampsia) Total sample size N = 30822 (n = 15481 randomised to anti-platelet agents, n = 15341 randomised to control) Subgroup analysis for participants with chronic hypertension: N = 3303 (n = 1678 randomised to anti-platelet agents, n = 1625 randomised to control) Characteristics Demographics reported for entire population only, not for subgroup of women with chronic hypertension. 54% primigravida	Antiplatelet group: aspirin was given alone in 27 of the included studies, in doses ranging from 50 to 150mg per day (accounting for 98% women in the dataset). Aspirin was given in combination with dipyridamole in three trials (n = 177). Three further trials used different antiplatelet	Randomisation and therapy began before 20 weeks' gestation in 59% of the women enrolled. Data provided to the authors were checked for internal consistency, consistency with published reports and missing items. Inconsistencies of missing data were discussed with the trialists and amended as necessary. Quality and integrity of the randomisation processes were assessed by reviewing the chronological randomisation sequence and pattern of assignment, as well as the balance of	Development of pre- eclampsia in women with pre-existing hypertension Antiplatelet group: 293/1678 Control group: 295/1625 Relative risk 0.97 (0.84 to 1.12)	Assessed using the ROBIS tool Study eligibility criteria: Low risk of bias (clear inclusion/exclusion criteria with appropriate exclusions only) Identification and selection of studies: Low risk of bias (Cochrane database searched, supplemented by hand searching) Data collection and study appraisal: Unclear risk of bias (low risk generally, but method for assessing individual study quality is not reported)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised controlled trials Aim of the study To assess the use of antipolatelet agents for the primary prevention of preclampsia and identify which women are likely to benefit the most from their use. Study dates Included trials were identified, 31 of which included data relevant for primary prevention of precedants.	92% singleton pregnancy 70% aged 20 to 35 years 90% had at least one risk factor for pre-eclampsia (which could include primiparity) Inclusion criteria Studies were included if they met the following criteria:	agents (dypiridamole and/or heparin, ozagrel, n = 362).	baseline characteristics across treatment groups. The primary outcome (preeclampsia) was defined as hypertension with new onset proteinuria at or beyond 20 weeks' gestation.		Synthesis and findings: Low risk of bias (prespecified analyses reported) Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					
The main funding source was the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia, through a 3-year project grant and a Sidney Sax Public Health Postdoctoral Fellowship for the first author. Additional support was provided by the Resource Centre for Randomised Trials and the UK Cochrane Centre(Oxford, UK); the Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit (London, UK); and the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre (University of Sydney, Australia).					

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Kennedy, S.,	N=29 women hypertension atenolol and r placebo) Characteristi	(n=15 rand n=14 rando	omised to	Atenolol 50 mg po daily. Number of tablets was increased at each visit until	Method of randomisation or concealment allocation was not reported. Study was double blind. Follow-up length: 20 weeks	Neonatal outcomes Stillbirth Atenolol:1/ 15	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias
during pregnancy, BMJ, 301, 587-9, 1990		Atenolol (n =15)	Placebo (n =14)	BP < 140/90 mmHg/ dose of 200 mg was reached.	Concurrent treatment, use of steroids, or whether a sample size calculation was	Placebo: 0/14 Small-for-gestational- age (BW<10th centile)	Random sequence generation: unclear risk (randomisation method was not reported)
Ref Id 659083	Age, years (mean, SD)	NR	NR	No intervention: placebo tablets	performed was not reported.	Atenolol:10/15 Placebo:0/14	Allocation concealment: unclear
	No. with chronic hypertension n (%)	15 (100)	14 (100)	placeno tablets		Birth weight Atenolol:2620 g (SDs not	risk (not reported) Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blinded trial)
Study type RCT Aim of the study	Gestational age at admission, weeks	15.8	15.9			Placebo:3530 g (SDs not reported) MD -910, 95% CI: -440 to 1380, p<0.001	Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding (performance
To assess the effectiveness of atenolol in women with	(mean) Mean sBP/dBP at entry	144/86	148/86			Gestational age at delivery Atenolol: 39.5 (no SD was reported)	bias and detection bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome
chronic hypertension Study dates	aChronic hypertension definition:sBP 140 to 170 and dBP 90 to 110 mmHg on 2 occasions separated by at least 24 hours					Placebo: 38.5 (no SD was reported)	data: low risk (drop- out<20% and difference between groups <20%) Selective reporting: high risk (basic demographic information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding Not reported	sBP 140 to 170 and sBP 90 to 110 mmHg on 2 occasions separated by at least 24 hours. Women were recruited when they were between 12 and 24 weeks' gestation. Exclusion criteria Contraindications to the use of Beta-Blockers				and SD of the continuous outcomes have not been reported) Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
the effects of	See Redman 1976 Characteristics See Redman 1976 Inclusion criteria See Redman 1976 Exclusion criteria See Redman 1976	See Redman 1976	See Redman 1976	See Redman 1976	See Redman 1976 Other information See Redman 1976
Ref Id					
787716					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the study was carried out					
Study type					
See Redman 1976					
Aim of the study					
See Redman 1976					
Study dates					
See Redman 1976					
Source of funding					
See Redman 1976					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Gracia, P. V. D.,	N= 39(n= 20 randomised to	Amlodipine	Randomisation was	Neonatal outcomes	Methodological limitations assessed
Dominguez, L., Solis, A.,	amlodipine, and n=19 randomised to aspirin)	5mg/day PO	performed to each of the treatments in a 1:1:1 ratio	Stillbirth	using the Cochrane
Management of chronic	Characteristics	Aspirin 75 mg/day PO	using a computer generated code with block size of six.	Amlodipine: 0/20	collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias
hypertension during pregnancy		If BP ≥160/110,	Allocation was concealed using sealed envelopes.	Aspirin: 1/19	Random sequence
with furosemide,		women were admitted to the	Open-label trial.	Neonatal death	generation: low risk (randomisation was
amlodipine or aspirin: A pilot		hospital and		Amlodipine: 0/20	(randonnisation was

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
clinical trial, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 27, 1291-1294, 2014		Amlodipine (n =20)	Aspirin (n=19)	bolus doses of hydralazine or labetalol were administered to control severe hypertension,	No details regarding use of concurrent treatment, use of antenatal steroids, duration of follow-up, or whether a sample size calculation was	Aspirin: 0/19 Small-for-gestational-age (BW<10th centile) Amlodipine: 2/20	performed with computer generated code) Allocation concealment: low risk (opaque sealed
Ref Id 337195 Country/ies	Age, years (mean, SD)	34.1 (5.3)	33.9 (4.2)	and the medication they were originally randomised to was not	performed.	Aspirin: 2/19 Birth weight	enveloped were used) Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk (open-label trial)
where the study was carried out Panama	No. with chronic	20 (100)	19	continued.		Amlodipine: 2873 (526) Aspirin: 2936 (740) Preterm birth (weeks not	Blinding of outcome assessment: high risk (open-label trial) Blinding (performance
Study type RCT Aim of the study	hypertension a n (%)		(100)			specified) Amlodipine: 3/20 Aspirin: 1/19	bias and detection bias): high risk (see above information)
To assess the efficacy of amolodipine, furosemide, and aspirin in women with chronic	Gestational age at treatment, weeks (mean, SD)	17.6 (2.2)	17.1 (2.6)			Maternal outcomes: Severe hypertension (sBP/dBP ≥ 160/110 mmHg)	Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop- out<20% and difference between groups <20%) Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not reported but it appears
hypertension during pregnancy Study dates	Primiparous	2 (10)	3 (10.5)			Amlodipine: 7/20 Aspirin: 6/19	that all outcomes reported) Other information
January 2010 to September 2012 Source of funding	sBP at entry	130.5 (9.4)	135.2 (9)			Placental abruption Amlodipine: 1/20	
<u>-</u>						Aspirin: 0/19	

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Not reported	a Chronic hypertension: BP >140/90 present before pregnancy or for first time before the 20th week of gestation. Mild/moderate chronic hypertension: sBP between 140–159mmHg or dBP between 90–109 mmHg. Inclusion criteria Women with singleton or twin pregnancy and mild/moderate chronic hypertension at ≤ 20 weeks of gestation with live pregnancy Exclusion criteria Chronic hypertension with sBP/dBP≥160/110 mmHg; renal failure; pre-existing renal disease; diabetes mellitus; autoimmune disease; major fetal abnormalities; deficiency of amniotic fluid.				Mode of birth (C-section) Amlodipine: 12/20 Aspirin: 10/19		
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Hamed, H. O., Alsheeha, M. A., Abu-Elhasan, A. M., Abd Elmoniem, A. E., Kamal, M. M., Pregnancy	N=76 (n=38 rainduction of lal randomised to management) Characteristic	bour and n=3 expectant		was planned to take place immediately	Concurrent treatment: women in both groups were advised to continue their previous antihypertensive treatment, with a modification of dose to achieve control of blood pressure. <i>De novo</i>	Neonatal outcomes Perinatal mortality Induction of labour: 2/38	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods			Outcomes and Results	Comments
outcomes of expectant management of stable mild to moderate chronic hypertension as compared with planned delivery, International Journal of		Induction of labour (n =38)	Expectant management (n =38)	gestational weeks, provided no maternal or fetal complications were present (such as, superimposed pre-eclampsia;	BP ≥150/100 mmHg (methyldopa was the first line of choice, see the distribution in the table below). The target BP was to maintain it between 130/80 to 140/90 mmHg.		Expectant management: 1/38 Birth weight Induction of labour: 2800 (600) Expectant management: 3200 (600)	Random sequence generation: low risk (randomised using a computer generated table) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (not reported)	
Gynecology and Obstetrics, 127, 15-20, 2014	Age, years (mean, SD)	28.4 (5.7)	29.2 (6.6)	severe superimposed pre-eclampsia [BP ≥ 160/110;		Induction	Expectant	Gestational age at delivery	Blinding of participants and personnel: unclear risk (not reported)
Ref Id 337201 Country/ies	No. with chronic hypertension ^a	38 (100)	38 (100)	proteinuria >5g/24 hours]; severe chronic hypertension	None	17 (44.7)	16 (42.1)	Induction of labour: 35.7 (1.2) Expectant management:38.1 (2.7)	Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear risk (not reported)
where the study was carried out	n (%) Parity 0-1	2 (5.3)	5 (13.2)	with a persistent high pressure [BP ≥	Methyldopa	13 (43.2)	13 (34.2)	Preterm birth (weeks were not reported)	bias and detection bias): unclear risk (see above information)
Egypt and Saudi Arabia	Parity 2-4	22 (57.9)	23 (60.5)	160/110] not responding to antihypertensiv	Labetalol	2 (5.3)	2 (5.3)	Induction of labour: 10/38	Incomplete outcome
Study type RCT	Parity ≥ 5	14 (36.8)	10 (26.3)	e medications or prepartum fetal asphyxia).	Combination	4 (10.5)	3 (7.9)	Expectant management:12/38	data: low risk (drop- out<20% and difference between groups <20%)
Aim of the study	sBP ≥ at entry	153.2 (6.4)	154.8 (5.2)	For women with bishop score >	Women were	randon	nised	Admission to neonatal unit	Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not
To compare the outcomes between	dBP ≥ at entry	97.3 (5.1)	98.4 (4.5)	8, labour was induced by oxytocin infusion and	table and allocation to induction	ction of labour or		Induction of labour: 12/38 Expectant	reported but it appears that all outcomes reported)
induction of labour and expectant management in pregnant women	^a sBP between and dBP betwe mmHg least 6 l first half of preg	en 90 and hours apart	110		spontaneous onset of labour up to 41 weeks, whichever came first.			management:3/38 Maternal outcomes:	Other information

Study dotails	Participante	Interventions	Mothods	Outcomes and Posuits	Comments
with mild to moderate chronic hypertension. Study dates 1st of April 2012 to 31st of October 2013 Source of funding Qassim University	Inclusion criteria Mild to moderate chronic hypertension (dBP between 90 and 110 mmHg and sBP between 140 and 160 mmHg at least 6 hours apart in the first half of pregnancy) without proteinuria, singleton pregnancy, gestational age between 24 and 36 weeks. Exclusion criteria Severe chronic hypertension (dBP/sBP ≥ 160/110 mmHg); gestational hypertension; newly onset pre-eclampsia in a previously normotensive woman; women with secondary hypertension	ripening was induced by vaginal misoprostol at a dose of 50µg every 6 hours up to 200µg.	participants would be needed to demonstrate a statistical difference between both groups with 80% power and type 1 error probability of 5%. Duration of follow-up was not reported	Severe chronic hypertension (dBP between 90 and 110 mmHg and sBP between 140 and 160 mmHg at least 6 hours apart in the first half of pregnancy) Induction of labour: 5/38 Expectant management: 3/38 Superimposed preeclampsia Induction of labour: 12/38 Expectant management: 13/38 Placental abruption Induction of labour: 3/38 Expectant management: 3/38 Expectant management: 3/38	Comments

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				pressure [BP ≥ 160/110] not responding to antihypertensive medications, or prepartum fetal asphyxia).			
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Burgos, C. S. G., Do Nascimento,	N=116 (n=58 ra exercise group n=58 randomis intervention gro	and ed to the no oup)		women rode a stationary bike once a week during 30 mins under the supervision of a physical therapist every week until the end of	performed using sequentially numbered by a statistical program and opaque envelopes Sample size calculations were performed. For a significance level of 5% and a power of 80%, n= 58 participants per arm would need to be included.	Neonatal outcomes Birth weight (<2500) Exercise: 9/56 No intervention: 11/53	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (sequentially numbered enveloped using a statistical program) Allocation concealment: low risk (sealed opaque envelopes were used) Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk (not blinded)
perinatal outcomes of exercise in pregnant women with chronic hypertension		Exercise (n = 58)	No intervention (n =58)			Birth weight (2500-3999) Exercise: 41/56 No intervention: 35/53 Birth weight (≥4000)	
and/or previous preeclampsia: A randomized controlled trial,	Age, years < 19 (n,%)	1 (1.7)	1 (1.7)	a wristband Control group:	(approximately) Concurrent treatment and use of steroids was not	Exercise: 5/56 No intervention: 11/53	
ISRN Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2013, 857047, 2013	Age, years 20-29 (n,%)	21 (36.2)	20 (34.5)	not engaged in any physical exercise	reported	Admission to neonatal unit	
Ref Id	Age, years 30-39 (n,%)	27 (46.6)	31 (53.5)			Exercise: 12/56 No intervention: 13/53	Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear
776154							

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the study	Age, years ≥ 40 (n,%)	9 (15.5)	6 (10.3)			Mode of birth (C-section) Exercise: 36/56	risk (no information was provided)
was carried out Brazil Study type	Chronic hypertension ^a n (%)	51 (87.9)	54 (93.1)			No intervention: 41/53	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): unclear risk (see above details)
RCT Aim of the study	Previous pre- eclampsia ^b n (%)	7 (12.1)	4 (6.9)				Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop- out<20% and difference between groups <20%)
To assess whether exercise improves outcomes in women with chronic hypertension	Gestational age at treatment, weeks (mean, SD)	17.3 (3.4)	23 (39.7)				Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not reported) Other information
Study dates	Ethnicity:	41 (70.7)	35 (60.3)				
January 2008 to November 2011	Ethnicity: non- white	17 (29.3)	22				
Source of funding	Parity 0	13 (22.4)	9 (15.5)				
Not reported	Parity ≥1	45 (77.6)	19 (84.5)				
	^a Chronic hyper BP ≥ 140/90 m before pregnan week's gestatio definition: not re	mHg diagno cy or befor n. ^b Pre-ec	osed e 20				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Inclusion criteria 12 to 20 week's gestation, ≥18 y/o, and presenting with chronic hypertension (BP ≥ 140/90 mmHg) diagnosed before pregnancy or before 20 week's gestation. Previous pre-eclampsia and proterinuria after 20 weeks' gestation was considered a reported history of hypertension. Exclusion criteria Not to be engaged in any supervised physical exercise at the time of the study.				
Full citation Magee, L. A., von Dadelszen, P., Rey, E., Ross, S., Asztalos, E., Murphy, K. E., Menzies, J., Sanchez, J., Singer, J., Gafni, A., Gruslin, A., Helewa, M., Hutton, E., Lee, S. K., Lee, T., Logan, A. G., Ganzevoort, W., Welch, R.,	tight control and n=488 randomised to tight control) Characteristics ((88	Interventions Less-tight control: aiming for a target diastolic blood pressure, 100 mm Hg Tight control: aiming for a target diastolic blood pressure, 85 mm Hg	Details No concurrent medications were used. Randomisation was stratified according to centre and type of hypertension. It was central and performed in permuted blocks of random size with the use of a telephone computerised randomisation service at the Data Co-ordinating Centre. Open trial.	Results Neonatal outcomes Stillbirth Less-tight control: 12/493 Tight control:7/488 Neonatal death up to 7 days Less-tight control: 2/493 Tight control:4/488 Small-for-gestational-age (BW<10th centile)	Limitations Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (a telephone computerised randomisation service at the Data Co-ordinating Centre was used) Allocation concealment: low

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Thornton, J. G., Moutquin, J. M., Less-tight versus tight control of hypertension in	Age at expected day of birth, years (mean, SD)	34 (5.7)	33.7 (5.8)		A sample size of 514 was estimated for 80% power at a two-tailed alpha level of 0.05 Duration of follow-up	Less-tight control: 79/491 Tight control:96/488 Birth weight (mean, SD)	risk (central randomisation) Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk (not blinded)
pregnancy, New England Journal of Medicine, 372, 407-17, 2015	No. with chronic hypertension a n (%)	371 (74.6)	365 (74.5)		(median):12.1 weeks (IQR 6.4 to 18.8) in the less-tight control group and 11.4 weeks (IQR 6.6 to 19)	*Median (IQR) transformed to mean using the calculator developed by Hozo et al., 2005 (equations 4 and	Blinding of outcome assessment: high risk (not blinded)
377652 Country/ies where the study	Gestational hypertension b n (%)	126 (25.4)	125 (25.5)			Less-tight control: 2920.34 (305.90)	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): high risk (see above details)
was carried out Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile,	Gestational age at treatment, weeks (mean, SD)	23.7 (6.3)	24.2 (6.3)			Tight control: 2951.41 (261.61) Gestational age at delivery	Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-outs were reported in both groups, however ITT analysis was used)
Colombia, Estonia, Hungary, Israel, Jordan, New Zealand,	Nulliparous	161 (32.4)	168 (34.3)			Less-tight control: 36.8 (3.4) Tight control: 37.2 (3.1)	Selective reporting: low risk if (protocol reported and all outcomes
Poland, The Netherlands, UK, USA	Ethnicity: Caucasian	298 (60)	315 (64.3)			Admission to neonatal unit	included) Other information
Study type	Ethnicity: Black		61 (12.4)			Less-tight control:141/480	
Aim of the study	Ethnicity: Asian	62 (12.5)	46 (9.4)			Tight control:139/479	
To assess the effects of tight versus less tight control of	,		63 (12.9)			Maternal outcomes: Severe hypertension (BP ≥ 160/110 mmHg)	

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
hypertension in pregnancy outcomes Study dates 26th March to 2nd of August 2012 Source of funding	Ethnicity: other SBP 1 week before randomisation	17 (3.4) 140.4 (9.7)	5 (1) 139.7 (9.8)	Interventions	Methods	Less-tight control: 200/493 Tight control:134/488 HELLP	Comments
	dBP 1 week before randomisation	92.6 (4.8)	92.2 (5.2)			Less-tight control: 9/493 Tight control:2/488 Placental abruption	
Canadian Institutes of Health Research	a Chronic hypertemmHg before pro 20 + 0 days gest hypertension: dE weeks or more of gestation Inclusion criterian Non-severe, non with either pre-extension or gestation) or gestation) or gestation) or gestation) or gestation) or gestation of the weeks or more of 90 to 105 mmHg antihypertensive with live singleton gestational age of the freceiving antihmedication, entry 85 to 105 mmHg	egnancy of ation; b Ge ation; b Ge ation; b Ge ation; b Ge ation and a second and a second and a second and a second ation and a second a second and a second an	or before estational nHg at 20 days nHg at 20 n), dBP ving on, and h a +6.			Less-tight control: 11/493 Tight control:11/488 Onset of labour (spontaneous onset) Less-tight control: 109/493 Tight control:104/488 Onset of labour (induced onset) Less-tight control: 224/493 Tight control:218/488 Onset of labour (no labour - caesarean prior to labour) Less-tight control: 159/493	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria sBP ≥ 160 mmHg (although these patients were recruited subsequently if sBP <160 mmHg and met all other inclusion criteria); proteinuria; used an ACE inhibitor a 14 weeks+ 0 days gestation or later a pre-existing condition; needed to be delivered for maternal or fetal reasons, had a fetus with a major anomaly, or had previously participated in CHIPS.			Tight control:164/488 Mode of birth (C-section) Less-tight control: 231/493 Tight control:250/488	
Full citation Moore, G. S., Allshouse, A. A., Post, A. L., Galan, H. L., Heyborne, K. D., Early initiation of low-dose aspirin for reduction in preeclampsia risk in high-risk women: a secondary analysis of the MFMU High-Risk Aspirin Study, Journal of	Sample size Total sample size: N = 523 (n = 265 randomised to aspirin, n = 258 randomised to placebo) Women with pre-existing chronic hypertension: N = 186 (n = 93 randomised to aspirin, n = 93 randomised to placebo) Characteristics Demographics are reported for the full study group, not only for those women with chronic hypertension. Aspirin Placebo	Interventions Aspirin group: 60mg aspirin daily Control group: received a lactose containing, identical appearing placebo tablet daily	Details Aspirin and placebo packets were prepared and labelled at a central location. A computer generated permuted block randomisation sequence was used, stratified according to clinical centre and risk group. Packages were shipped to the clinical centres and each woman received the next labelled packet. Sample size calculation: an overall sample size of 2600	Results Development of preeclampsia in women with chronic hypertension† Aspirin group: 23/93 Control group: 32/93 Preterm delivery at <34 weeks (due to preeclampsia) in women with chronic hypertension‡ Aspirin group: 6/93	Limitations Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (computer generated block randomisation sequence, with stratification for centre and co-morbidities) Allocation concealment: low risk (placebo/active

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
official journal of the California Perinatal Association, 35, 328-31, 2015	Age, mean (SE), years	26.7 (0.38)	27.5 (0.36)		detection of a 50% reduction in the risk of preeclampsia within each of the four risk groups, with a type I error of 0.05 (two sided) and 80%	Control group: 3/93 Infants born small for	packaged identically and centrally, then despatched to the individual centres. Women were given the
Ref Id 657977 Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type RCT (multicentre) Aim of the study To assess whether low dose aspirin gives protection from pre-eclampsia when initiated prior to 17 weeks gestation, and to further characterise which women most benefit from low dose aspirin during pregnancy. Study dates 1991 to 1995	hypertension, n (%) Gestational age at randomisation mean (SE), days Proteinuria, n	93 (35.09) 106 (0.49) 69 (70.41) 29 (29.59) 94 (35.47) 21 (7.92)	93 (36.05) 106 (0.50) 59 (67.82) 28 (32.18) 96 (37.21) 22 (8.53)		power.	gestational age in women with chronic hypertension defined as <10th percentile for gestational age, based on normative singleton birth weights Aspirin group: 8/93 Control group: 11/93 † data included in the individual participant meta-analysis by Askie 2007 ‡ data included in the secondary analysis by Van Vliet (2017) of the above individual participant meta-analysis	next labelled package for their centre) Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (missing data for 1.5% in aspirin group, 1.3% in placebo group) Selective reporting: low risk (study considers secondary outcomes of the original trial, but within a pre-specified subgroup of interest) Other information
1991 (0 1990							

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
(this publication is a secondary analysis of the original trial - the High Risk Aspirin Study, performed by the Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network) Source of funding This analysis was supported by the University of Colorado Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. The original study was funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.		ria up analysis pertension se who us re agent, o pressure ≥ ccasions a ner prior to uring preg as gestation study: gestationa s mellitus, r multifetal reeclamps ancy eria abetes and ere include oup, theref	were ed an r who had 140/90 at least 4 nancy n. al, insulin- or chronic ia in a chronic ed in the ore are				

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Moore, M. P., Redman, C. W. G., The treatment of hypertension in pregnancy, Current Medical		o and n=34 the methy		mg/4 times per day Communication No. Communicati	No information about concurrent treatment, use of statins, randomisation	Neonatal outcomes: Stillbirth Labetalol: 0/38	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias
Research and Opinion, 8, 39-46, 1982 Ref Id		Labetalol (n =38)	Methyldopa (n =34)	per day Both antihypertensiv e medications were increased as needed to	calculations was reported.	Methyldopa: 0/34 Neonatal death up to 7 days Labetalol: 2/38 Methyldopa: 0/34	Random sequence generation: unclear risk (method was not reported) Allocation concealment: unclear
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Age, years (mean, SD)	NR	NR	maintain BP at about 140/90 mmHg.		Small-for-gestational- age	risk (method for allocation concealment was not reported)
UK Study type	No. with chronic hypertension	22 (57.9)	25 (73.6)			Labetalol: 13/38 Methyldopa: 15/34	Blinding of participants and personnel: unclear risk (not reported)
RCT Aim of the study	Pre- eclampsia ^b	16 (42.1)	25 (73.6)			Birth weight Labetalol: 2356 (724)	Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear risk (not reported)
To assess the effectiveness of methyldopa as compared with	sBP/dBP at	170.1 (11)/111. 7 (6.4)	173.4 (14.9)/11 1.3(9.1)			Methyldopa: 2349 (863) Gestational age at delivery	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): unclear risk (see above details)
labetalol for the treatment of women with chronic hypertension Study dates	NR not reported a Chronic hypertension:s mmHg on two before 20 wee	sBP/dBP ≥ separate c	ccasions			Labetalol: 36.2 (2.3) Methyldopa: 36.1 (3.2) Admission to neonatal unit (report for medium	Incomplete outcome data: low risk (no drop outs were reported) Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Not reported Source of funding New Zealand Medical Research Council	pre-eclampsia: definition was not reported Inclusion criteria sBP/dBP ≥110/170 mmHg on two separate occasions Exclusion criteria Multiple pregnancy, insulindependent diabetes, rhesus isoimmunisation, those >36 weeks' GA.	Interventions	Methods	care, high care, and intensive care) Labetalol: 19/38 Methyldopa: 16/34 Maternal outcomes: Maximum sBP after entry (mean, SD) Labetalol: 167.6 (15.6) Methyldopa: 164.9 (20.6) Maximum dBP after entry (mean, SD) Labetalol: 110 (8.7) Methyldopa: 110.9 (12.7) Onset of labour (induced) Labetalol: 20/38 Methyldopa: 14/34 Mode of birth (lower segment C-section in labour and not in labour) Labetalol: 19/38 Methyldopa: 20/34	reported but it appears that all outcomes reported) Other bias: 4 of the participants assigned to labetalol switched to methyldopa, and it is unclear whether this could have introduced bias as it was not reported whether patients were analysed per protocol or intention to treat Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Parazzini, F., Benedetto, C., Frusca, T., Gregorini, G., Bocciolone, L., Marozio, L., Romero, M., Danesino, V., De Gaetano, G., Gastaldi, A., Massobrio, M., Remuzzi, G., Tognoni, G., Guaschino, S., Bianchi, C., Valcamonico, A., Giambuzzi, M., Ammendola, D., Casucci, F., Lowdose aspirin in prevention and treatment of intrauterine growth retardation and pregnancy- induced hypertension, Lancet, 341, 396- 400, 1993 Ref Id 788545	Total population N = 1106 (n = aspirin, n = 52 control) Women with coor nephropath N = 240 (n = 7 aspirin, n = 98 control) Characteristi For chronic hy Gestational age, n (%) 16 to 24 weeks 25 to 32 weeks Other demogrates of the subgrate chronic hyperical control chyperical chicagon control chyperical chicagon ch	583 rando 23 randomic chronic hypoly: 141 random o randomiso cs vpertensior Aspirin (n = 141) 115 (82) 26 (18) raphic feature entire pooup of worr	ertension nised to ed to group: Control (n = 99) 78 (78) 21 (21) ares are opulation, then with	Aspirin: 50mg aspirin daily from randomisation until delivery Control: no treatment (no placebo was given)	Randomisation was performed by two randomisation centres, and participants were allocated by telephone. No details are provided as to the development of the randomisation lists. Analysis was conducted on an intention to treat basis. Sample size was calculated on the ability to detect a reduction of about one third in the frequency of babies born small for gestational age. The study had 80% power, with an α level of 0.05 (two tailed) to detect this change. The study was open label, with no placebo given.	Number of infants born small for gestational age (<10th centile) in women with chronic hypertension† Aspirin group: 25/134 Control group: 22/98 † denominator less than total group allocation, presumed due to exclusion of women who had miscarriage and those with no outcome data available	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: unclear risk (randomisation method was not reported. Authors report an error in randomisation process - same randomisation sheets were used by different centres. This reduces confidence in the process) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (not reported) Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk (open label trial, no blinding) Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear risk (open label trial, no blinding but outcome measures not heavily influenced by subjectivity) Blinding (performance bias and detection

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the study was carried out		Aspirin (n = 583)	Control (n = 523)				bias): high risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-out
Study type	Age, mean ± SD, years	30.7 ± 6.4	30.5 ± 6.7				6% and difference between groups 5.7%)
Multicentre RCT Aim of the study	Systolic BP, mean ± SD, mmHg	129 ± 17	128 ± 19				Selective reporting: high risk (basic demographic information and SD of the continuous
To determine the effect of aspirin in women at intermediate risk	Diastolic BP, mean ± SD, mmHg	81 ± 11	81 ± 13				outcomes have not been reported) Other information
of pre-eclampsia or IUGR, and in women treated because of early signs of these disorders.	Pregnant wom 32 weeks of goone or more of criteria:	en betweer	o satisfied				Note: subgroup analysis included women with hypertension or nephropathy, and numbers of women with each specific diagnosis
Study dates September 1988 until September 1991. Source of	For those treated prophylactically: age <18 or >40 years mild/moderate chronic hypertension (diastolic BP 90 to 100mmHg) nephropathy with normal renal function and normal BP history of PIH with/without						are not reported.
funding Not reported							
	proteinuria, de weeks in a pre history of IUGF	vious pregi	nancy				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	multiple (twin) pregnancy For those treated therapeutically PIH (diastolic BP between 90 and 100mmHg) Early signs of IUGR (fetal abdominal circumference ≤2SD below the mean for gestation) Exclusion criteria History of chronic disease (other than hypertension, renal disease or diabetes without hypertension/nephropathy). Allergy to aspirin. Documented fetal malformations.				
Full citation Poon, L. C., Wright, D., Rolnik, D. L., Syngelaki, A., Delgado, J. L., Tsokaki, T., Leipold, G., Akolekar, R., Shearing, S., De Stefani, L., Jani, J. C., Plasencia, W., Evangelinakis, N., Gonzalez-	randomised to aspirin, n = 822 randomised to placebo) Subgroup of women with chronic hypertension: N = 110 (n = 49 randomised to aspirin, n = 61 randomised to placebo) Characteristics	Interventions Aspirin group: 150mg aspirin per day from randomisation until 36 weeks (or onset of labour, in the event of early delivery) Placebo group: identical appearing placebo to be	Details Randomisation was performed in a 1:1 manner with the use of a web based system (Sealed Envelope). Stratification was performed according to participating centre. Sample size calculation was performed on the hypothesis that low dose aspirin would reduce the incidence of preterm pre-eclampsia by 50%. Enrollment of 1600	Results Development of preterm pre-eclampsia† in women with chronic hypertension Aspirin group: 5/49 Control group: 5/61 Odds ratio 1.30 (0.33 to 5.12)	Limitations Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (web based randomisation program used)

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Vanegas, O., Persico, N., Nicolaides, K. H.,	Demographics population, not with chronic hyp	subgroup (taken daily, as per the intervention group.	treatment effect with a two-		Allocation concealment: unclear risk (no details reported)
		Aspirin n = 798	Placebo n = 822				Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blinded trial)
	Gestational age at randomisation median (IQR), weeks	12.7 (12.3 - 13.1)	12.6 (12.3 - 13.0)				Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding (performance bias and detection
	Age, median (IQR), years	31.5 (27.3 - 35.8)	31.4 (26.9 - 35.8)				bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-out
Obstetrics and Gynecology, 217, 585, 2017	Ethnicity, n						<1%) Selective reporting: low risk (full demographic
Ref Id 788591	White	528 (66.2)	559 (68.0)	-			details reported in primary paper, published protocol available)
Country/ies where the study	Black	208 (26.1)	201 (24.5)				Other information
was carried out Multicentre	South Asian	37 (4.6)	37 (4.5)				Note: supplementary information obtained from primary trial publication,
Study type Multicentre RCT	East Asian	13 (1.6)	16 (1.9)				Rolnik et al. 2017
Countries included: UK,	Mixed race	12 (1.5)	9 (1.1)				

Otanda datalla	Paulialianuta	L-4	Made	0.1	0
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Deigiani, Creece	Inclusion criteria				
and Israel.	Maternal age ≥ 18 years				
Aim of the study	Singleton pregnancy with live fetus				
To examine whether there are differences in the	Estimated risk of preterm PE of >1 in 100				
effect of aspirin on the incidence	Exclusion criteria				
of preterm pre- eclampsia in	Unconsious/severely ill status				
subgroups defined according	Major fetal abnormality identified at 11-13 weeks scan				
to maternal characteristics, and medical and	Learning difficulties or serious mental illness				
obstetrical history.	Regular treatment with aspirin in the 28 days preceding screening				
Study dates Trial commenced	Bleeding disorder e.g. von Willebrand's disease				
April 2014, but stopped in June	Peptic ulcer				
2014 (after recruitment of 56	Hypersensitivity to aspirin				
participants) because of	Lon term use of non-steroidal anti- inflammatory medication				
administrative difficulties with the supply of the trial products.	Participation in another drug trial within 28 days of screening				
The trial was restarted in July 2015 and					

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
continued until April 2016.							
Source of funding							
Grants from the European Union Seventh Framework Program and from the Fetal Medicine Foundation.							
Full citation	Sample size			Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Redman, C. W., Fetal outcome in trial of antihypertensive treatment in	N= 208 (n=107 methyldopa an to no interventi	id n=101 r ion)		Methyldopa: dose and route of administration was not reported No intervention	antihypertensive medications, such as hydralazine, were used as needed to control blood pressure in the methyldopa group. All women were managed in a special antenatal hypertension clinic, and most of them were managed as outpatients.	Neonatal outcomes Stillbirth Methyldopa: 1/98	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias
treatment in pregnancy, Lancet (London, England), 2, 753- 6, 1976 Ref Id 776552		Methyldopa (n = 107)	No intervention (n = 101)			No intervention:9/92 Birth weight (kgs) Methyldopa: 3.13 (0.50) No intervention:3.09 (0.60)	Random sequence generation: unclear risk (not reported) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (not reported)
Country/ies where the study was carried out UK	Age, years (mean, SD)	28.6 (6.2)	27.9 (5.5)		Randomisation method, sample size calculations, and use of statins were not reported	Gestational age at delivery Methyldopa: 267 (12) [n=103 ~ 4 excluded due	Blinding of participants and personnel: unclear risk (not reported)

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details Study type RCT Aim of the study To assess the effectiveness of methyldopa in pregnancy outcomes of women with chronic hypertension Study dates Not reported Source of funding Merck, Sharp and Dohme Ltd.	No. with chronic hypertension an (%) Gestational age at entry, weeks (mean, SD) Parity >4 a sBP>140 or coccasions at lebefore 28 wee Inclusion crite sBP>140 or deat least 24 houweeks' gestation weeks' gestation crite women with sets.	20.5 (4.5) 6 (5.6%) dBP>90 of east 24 ho ks' gestateria BP>90 on urs apart to onal age teria evere hyperiane in the constant age teria	ours apart ional age 2 occasion perore 28 pertension		Methods	Outcomes and Results to mid trimester miscarriages] No intervention: 267 (11) [n=101] Impaired hearing (At 7 1/2 years old; criteria was not reported) *[data extracted from Cockburn 1982] Methyldopa: 7/96* (*the hearing test was not done in 2 children) No intervention:6/92 Impaired vision (At 7 1/2 years old; criteria was not reported)*[data extracted from Cockburn 1982] Methyldopa: 7/98 No intervention:14/92	Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear risk (not reported) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): unclear risk (see above details) Incomplete outcome data: low risk if (dropout<20% and difference
	(≥170/110 mm more than 4 hd 120 mmHg on than 5 minutes obstetric risk fa multiple pregna immunisation)	Hg on 2 cours apar 2 occasion 3 apart); wactors (dia ancy, rhe	occasions t; or 180 or ons more vomen with abetes, sus				this could have introduced bias as it was not reported whether patients were analysed per protocol or intention to treat Other information Trial sponsored by 3 pharmaceutical

Study details	Participants				Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
								companies (Merck, Sharp, Dohme Ltd.)
Full citation	Sample size	·				Details	Results	Limitations
	N=263 (N=90 intervention; n methyldopa art to labetalol) Characteristic Age, years (mean, SD) No. with chronic hypertension a n (%)	=88 ran nd n=86 s No intervention 29 (0.6)	athyldopa = 88)	d to nised	Interventions Methyldopa: 750 mg/day an increased as needed up to 4g/day. Labetalol: 300 mg/day increased up to 2400 mg/day. If maximum doses of either medication were not sufficient to control blood pressure (sBP/dBP<140/90), hydralazine was added to a maximum oral dose of 300 mg/day	Randomisation was done with a computer-generated list of random numbers. No details were provided regarding use of concurrent medication; sample size calculation; use of statins or duration of follow-up	Neonatal outcomes Perinatal deaths No intervention:1/90 Methyldopa: 1/88 Labetalol: 1/86 Small-for-gestational-age No intervention:8/90 Methyldopa: 6/88 Labetalol: 7/86 Preterm birth (<37 weeks) No intervention:9/90 Methyldopa: 11/88 Labetalol: 10/86	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: low risk (randomisation was done with a computergenerated list of random numbers) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (method for allocation concealment was not reported) Blinding of participants and personnel: unclear risk (not reported) Blinding of outcome assessment: unclear
was carried out US	Gestational age at entry, weeks (mean, SD)	11.3 (0.2)	11.2 (0.2)	11.2 (0.2)	No intervention: patients were managed without		Maternal outcomes: Superimposed pre-	risk (not reported) Blinding (performance bias and detection
Study type RCT					medications, although if		eclampsia No intervention:14/90	bias): unclear risk (see above details)

Study details	Participants				Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To assess the effectiveness of methyldopa and labetalol as compared to no intervention in pregnancy outcomes of women with chronic hypertension Study dates Not reported Source of funding Not reported	a Definition for was not reported to 13 weeks a history of characteristic of the contraction of the contract	ted teria ' gestat tronic hy reporte	(0.7) c hyperto	e with	patients presented with severe hypertension (sBP >160 or dBP>110 mmHg) received methyldopa		Methyldopa: 16/88 Labetalol: 14/86 Placental abruption No intervention:2/90 Methyldopa: 1/88 Labetalol: 2/86 Mode of birth (C-section) No intervention:29/90 Methyldopa: 31/88 Labetalol: 30/86	Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-outs were reported, but these account for <20% in each of the groups and the difference between groups was < 20%) Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not reported but it appears that all outcomes reported) Other bias: some of the participants assigned to the no intervention group (N was not reported), switched to methyldopa, but for the analysis, remained in the non treatment group. It is unclear whether this could have introduced bias as it was not reported whether patients were analysed per protocol or intention to treat Other information
Full citation	Sample size (See also entr	y for As	skie 200	7)	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
van Vliet, Elvira O. G., Askie, Lisa A., Mol, Ben W. J., Oudijk, Martijn A., Antiplatelet Agents and the Prevention of Spontaneous Preterm Birth: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 129, 327-336, 2017 Ref Id 788974 Country/ies where the study was carried out Multicentre Study type Meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised controlled trials (see also entry for Askie 2007). Aim of the study	Data for primary outcome (risk of spontaneous preterm birth) Total sample size N = 27510 (n = 13825 randomised to antiplatelet treatment, n = 13685 randomised to control arm) Subgroup analysis for participants with chronic hypertension: N = 2518 (n = 1266 randomised to antiplatelet agent, n = 1252 randomised to control) Characteristics Demographics reported for entire population only, not for subgroup of women with chronic hypertension. 57% primigravida 96% singleton pregnancy 62% aged 20 - 35 years Inclusion criteria For the purpose of this analysis, only studies that reported on the primary outcome measure were included (spontaneous onset of labour as compared with induction/pre-labour caesarean section, and gestational age at delivery). Exclusion criteria	Antiplatelet group: aspirin was given alone in 15 of the included studies, in doses ranging from 60 to 150mg per day (accounting for 96%† of women in the dataset). One trial gave aspirin in combination with dipyridamole, and one trial gave dipyridamole alone. Control group: women received either placebo, or no treatment (number not reported) † calculated by the NGA from data reported in the article:	followed prelabour premature rupture of membranes, or spontaneous labour with intact membranes (i.e. no induced labour and no nonlabour caesarean delivery).	Control group: 94/1252 Relative risk 0.73 (0.53 to 0.999) Spontaneous preterm	Assessed using the ROBIS tool Study eligibility criteria: Low risk of bias (clear inclusion/exclusion criteria with appropriate exclusions only) Identification and selection of studies: Low risk of bias (Cochrane database searched, supplemented by hand searching) Data collection and study appraisal: Unclear risk of bias (low risk generally, but method for assessing individual study quality is not reported) Synthesis and findings: Low risk of bias (prespecified analyses reported) Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Quasirandom study designs.	13294/13825 women in the intervention arm		Control group: 9/1252 Relative risk 0.56 (0.19 to 1.68)	
Study dates					
Included studies were identified in the period between 1985 and 2005. 17 trials were identified which included data on the primary outcome (spontaneous onset of labour versus induction/non-labour caesarean delivery).					
Source of funding					
The first author was supported with a travel grant from the Dutch Ter Meulen Fund of the Royal Netherlands					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Academy of Arts					
and Sciences.					
ana colonidos.					
The main funding					
source for the					
original study					
(Perinatal					
Antiplatelet					
Review of					
International					
Studies) was the					
National Health					
and Medical					
Research Council					
(NHMRC) of					
Australia, through					
a 3-year project					
grant and a					
Sidney Sax					
Public Health					
Postdoctoral					
Fellowship.					
Additional support					
was provided by					
the Resource					
Centre for					
Randomised					
Trials and the UK					
Cochrane Centre					
(Oxford, UK); the					
Medical Research					
Council Clinical					
Trials Unit					
(London, UK);					
and the NHMRC					
Clinical Trials					
Centre (University					

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of Sydney, Australia).							
Full citation Viinikka,L., Hartikainen- Sorri,A.L., Lumme,R., Hiilesmaa,V., Ylikorkala,O., Low dose aspirin in hypertensive pregnant women: effect on pregnancy outcome and prostacyclin- thromboxane balance in mother and newborn, British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 100, 809-815, 1993 Ref Id 78531 Country/ies where the study was carried out	SD, years Gestation at randomisation, mean ± SD, weeks Pre-existing hypertension, n (%) Severe preeclampsia in previous pregnancy, n (%)	Aspirin n = 103 33.2 ± 4.9 15.3 ± 1.8 89 (86.4)	Placebo n = 105 32.7 ± 5.4 15.5 ± 1.9 96 (91.4)	Interventions Aspirin group: 50mg aspirin to be taken daily Control group: identically appearing and tasting tablets were to be taken daily	Participants were randomly allocated to the groups by the use of sealed envelopes (no further details were provided). Sample size was calculated on the basis of the risk of blood pressure elevation of 50%, and the protective effect of aspirin being at least 50%. The study population was calculated to be large enough to reveal the effect of aspirin with 95% probability. No further details were provided.	Results Development of preeclampsia (study outcome reported as "exacerbation of hypertension with proteinuria") Aspirin group: 9/97 Control group: 11/100 Exacerbation of hypertension (defined as a level of >160/120mmHg, necessitating initiation of antihypertensives, or an increase in dose of antihypertensives, or a rise in BP to >160/110 in those participants without chronic hypertension) Aspirin group: 21/97 Control group: 25/100	Limitations Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: unclear risk (insufficient details provided) Allocation concealment: unclear risk (no details reported) Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blinded trial) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (drop-out
Finland	Diastolic BP at entry to study,		88.8 ± 9.9				<6% and difference between groups <2%)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type Single centre RCT Aim of the study To study the effect of aspirin on the complications in	mean ± SD, mmHg Inclusion criteria Chronic hypertension prior to pregnancy (BP >140/90 mmHg without treatment), or severe preeclampsia in a previous pregnancy.			Diastolic BP at 36th week of pregnancy, mean ± SD, mmHg Aspirin group: 90.1 ± 12.5 Control group: 90.3 ± 10.9	Selective reporting: low risk (main outcomes fully reported, demographic details reported) Other information
pregnancy of women with high risk pregnancy. Study dates Not reported. Source of	Exclusion criteria Presence of proteinuria (>300m 24 hr) prior to pregnancy.	g/		Gestational age at delivery, mean ± SD, weeks Aspirin group: 38.6 ± 2.1 Control group: 38.2 ± 2.0	
funding Academy of Finlan and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. Medication was provided by Orion Ltd.				Spontaneous onset of labour (comparator: induction or elective caesarean section) Aspirin group: 45/97 Control group: 40/100	
				Infant birthweight, mean ± SD (grams) Aspirin group: 3348 ± 707 Control group: 3170 ± 665	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Number of infants born small for gestational age (≤2 SD below the mean) Aspirin group: 4/97 Control group: 9/100	
				Admission to neonatal unit	
				Aspirin group: 10/97	
				Control group: 21/100	
				Perinatal death	
				Aspirin group: 2/97	
				Control group: 0/100	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Myers, J. E., Nelson-Piercy,	N=114 (n=56 randomised to the labetalol group and n=58 randomised to the nifedipine group)	Labetalol: 100 mg BID up to 1800 mg (600	Concurrent treatment: women could be prescribed additional antihypertensive	Neonatal outcomes Stillbirth	Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane
C., Harding, K., Kennedy	Characteristics	mg TID)	treatment in order to reach the BP target (dBP ≤85	Labetalol: 2/55	collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias

Study details	Participants			Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Cruickshank, J., Watt-Coote, I., Khalil, A., Wiesender, C., Seed, P. T., Chappell, L. C., Labetalol Versus Nifedipine as		Labetalol (n = 56)	Nifedipine (n =58)	Nifedipine: 10 mg BID up to 80 mg (40 mg BID)	mmHg). Women also received 75mg/day aspirin for prevention of preeclampsia Randomisation was performed via MedSciNet online minimisation protocol. Stratification was performed by gestational age at randomisation, maternity centre, sBP, and ethnicity. Treatment was open-label. No information was reported regarding sample size calculations, use of statins or duration of follow-up	Neonatal death Labetalol: 0/55 Generation: low (randomisation w performed using MedSciNet online)	MedSciNet online minimisation protocol)
Antihypertensive Treatment for Chronic	Age, years (n,%)	36 (32 to 39.1)	35 (30.3 to 38.5)			SGA (BW< 10th centile) Labetalol: 16/55	Allocation concealment: unclear risk (not reported)
Hypertension in Pregnancy: A Randomized Controlled Trial, Hypertension, 70, 915-922, 2017 Ref Id	Chronic hypertension ^a n (%)	56 (100)	56 (100)			Nifedipine: 17/57 Birth weight	Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk (open-label trial) Blinding of outcome assessment: high risk (open-label trial) Blinding (performance bias and detection
	Gestational age at treatment, weeks (mean, SD)	16.6 (13.7 to 21.3)	16.9(14. 6 to 21.1)			Labetalol: 2957 (790) Nifedipine: 2732 (883) Admitted to neonatal unit	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Ethnicity: White	17 (30)	18 (31)			Labetalol: 11/55 Nifedipine:15/57	bias): high risk (see above information)
UK Study type	Ethnicity: Black	30 (54)	32 (55)			Preterm birth (<37 weeks)	data: low risk (drop outs were not reported, ITT analysis was used)
RCT Aim of the study	Ethnicity: Asian	6 (11)	3(5)			Labetalol: 12/55 Nifedipine: 20/57	Selective reporting: low risk (protocol reported and all
To assess the efficacy of labetalol as	Other	3 (5)	5 (9)			Preterm birth (<34 weeks) Labetalol: 10/55	outcomes were covered) Other information
compared to nifedipine in	Nulliparous	14 (25)	13 (22)			Nifedipine: 11/57	

Study details Participants Interventio	ods Outcomes and Results	Comments
pregnancy outcomes of women with chronic hypertension Study dates August 2014 to October 2015 Source of funding King's Health Partners Research and Development Challenge Fund and Tommy's Charity Source of regard and Tommy's Charity Source of funding King's Health Partners Research and Development Challenge Fund and Tommy's Charity Source of funding King's Health Partners Research and Development Challenge Fund and Tommy's Charity Source of funding antihypertension or BP ≥140/90 before 20 weeks gestation requiring antihypertension or BP ≥140/90 before 20 weeks gestation requiring antihypertensive treatment before 27 + 6; singleton pregnancies; gestation between 12+0 and 27+6 weeks. Exclusion criteria Contraindication to the use of nifedipine or labetalol.	Mother outcomes Gestational age at delivery *[means calculated from medians using the calculator developed be Hozo et.al., 2005 (equations 4 and 12) Labetalol: 38.5 (0.44) Nifedipine: 37.87 (0.71) Mode of delivery (spontaneous) Labetalol: 22/55 Nifedipine: 21/57 Mode of delivery (assisted vaginal delivery) Labetalol: 2/55 Nifedipine: 4/57	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Mode of delivery (elective prelabour LSCS)	
				Labetalol: 9/55	
				Nifedipine: 13/57	
				Mode of delivery (emergency prelabour LSCS) Labetalol: 14/55 Nifedipine: 11/57	
				Mode of delivery (emergency LSCS in labour) Labetalol: 8/55	
				Nifedipine: 8/57	
				Superimposed pre- eclampsia	
				Labetalol: 8/55	
				Nifedipine: 15/57	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Superimposed pre- eclampsia < 34 weeks Labetalol: 6/55 Nifedipine: 6/57 Eclampsia Labetalol: 0/55 Nifedipine: 0/57 Maternal death Labetalol: 0/55	
				Nifedipine: 0/57	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Weitz, C., Khouzami, V., Maxwell, K., Johnson, J. W., Treatment of hypertension in pregnancy with methyldopa: a randomized double blind study, International	N=25 (n=13 randomised to the methyldopa group and n=12 randomised to the placebo group) Characteristics Characteristics (L=13) (Characteristics (D=13) (Characteristics (Characteristics (Characteristics) (Characteristics)	Methyldopa: 250 mg PO TID Placebo: one tablet PO TID	Concurrent medication: other antihypertensive medications (hydralazine and magnesium sulphate) were used if severe superimposed preeclampsia developed Patients were randomly allocated, double blind trial. No information was reported regarding sample size		Methodological limitations assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias Random sequence generation: unclear risk (method was not reported)

Study details	Participants			rticipants Interventions Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments	
Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, 25, 35-40, 1987	Age, years (median)	25.4	23.7		calculations, use of statins or duration of follow-up.	Placebo: 0/12 Gestational age at delivery	Allocation concealment: unclear risk (method was not reported)
Ref Id 392871 Country/ies	No. of women with chronic hypertension a n (%)	13 (100)	12 (100)			Methyldopa: 273 (2.93) Placebo: 263 (3.48)	Blinding of participants and personnel: low risk (double blind)
where the study was carried out US	Ethnicity: black	9 (62)	8 (67)			Maternal outcomes: Superimposed pre-	Blinding of outcome assessment: low risk (double blind)
Study type RCT Aim of the study To asses the efficacy of	Primipara a BP ≥140/90 r occasion at lea Inclusion crite BP ≥140/90 mi	ast 6 hours eria mHg on 2	apart			eclampsia Methyldopa: 5/13 Placebo: 4/12	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): low risk (see above information) Incomplete outcome data: low risk (no drop outs were reported)
methyldopa in the pregnancy outcomes of women with chronic hypertension	evidence of proprotein < 100m chronic hyperto	oteinuria (2 ng); presur ension	24 h urine				Selective reporting: unclear risk (protocol not reported but all outcomes appear to have been reported) Other information
Study dates Not reported	Not reported						Other information
Source of funding Not reported							