Table 5: Clinical evidence tables

Table 5: Clinical (
Study details	Participants	Methods	Results				Limitations
Full citation Auger, Nathalie,	Inclusion criteria Women with pregnancies extending over 20 weeks' gestation, who gave birth to a	Factors included in adjustment Baseline age, pre-existing diabetes, pre-existing cardiovascular disease,	Results Cumulative in 2 25 years po		Details Based on the NICE manual		
Fraser, William D., Schnitzer, Mireille, Leduc, Line, Healy- Profitos, Jessica, Paradis, Gilles, Recurrent pre- eclampsia and subsequent	live or stillborn infant between the 1989 and 2013 in hospitals in Québec (Canada) Exclusion criteria Not reported	socioeconomic deprivation and time period Follow-up Median 15.5 years	Outcome	Recurre nt; parity≥ 2 (N=6066)		No pre- eclampsi a; parity≥ 2 (N=567 261)	2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor
cardiovascular risk, Heart (British Cardiac Society), 103, 235-243, 2017	Sample size N=1 108 581		MACE	281.4 (224.1 to 341.3)	167.7 (158.2 to 177.4)	72.6 (70.9 to 74.2)	measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk
Ref Id 775637	Maternal characteristics		Stroke	20.7 (13.7 to 30)	10.5 (8.4 to 13)	5.9 (5.5 to 6.3)	Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Parity = 1 Parity ≥2		Hypertensi on	258.7 (200.7 to 320.3)	135.2 (126.1 to 144.5)	40.2 (38.7 to 41.6)	risk Overall risk of bias: low risk
Study type			HR (95% CI) f				

Study details	Participants			ethods Results			Lim
Retrospective cohort study	Age at first	18938	45854	relative to eclampsia	omen with no his parity ≥2)	story of pre-	
	delivery <20, n (%)	(3.8)	(7.6)		Recurrent;	Non- recurrent;	
Study dates 1989-2013	Age at first delivery 20-24,	77818	166632	Outcome	parity≥ 2	parity≥ 2	
	n (%)	(15.5)	(27.5)		(N=6066)	(N=33493)	
Source of funding Canadian Institutes of Health Research	Age at first delivery 25-29, n (%)	162151 (32.3)	250340 (41.3)	MACE	3.9 (3.6 to 4.2)	2.3 (2.2 to 2.4)	
	Age at first delivery 30-34, n (%)	155039 (30.9)	119426 (19.7)	Stroke	3 (2.3 to 4.1)	1.6 (1.4 to 1.9)	
	Age at first	72070	23235	Hypertens	7.2 (6.6 to 7.8)	3.7 (3.5 to 3.9)	
	delivery 35-39, n (%)	(14.4)	(3.8)		for women with		
	Age at first delivery ≥40, n	15745 (3.1)	1333		relative to women		1
	Recurrent PE, n	, ,	,		Pre- eclampsia;	No pre- eclampsia;	
	(%)	-	6066 (1)	Outcome	parity=1	parity = 1	
	Non-recurrent	-	33493		(N=24799)	(N= 476 962)	
	PE, n (%)		(5.5)	MACE	3.1 (3 to 3.3)	1.3 (1.2 to 1.3)	

Study details	Participants			Methods		Results			Limitations
	Isolated PE, n (%)	24799 (4.9)	-			Stroke	3.1 (2.7 to 3.7)	1.4 (1.3 to 1.5)	
	No PE, n (%)	476962 (95.1) of mild, sev	567261 (93.5) vere, or			Hypertension	4.8 (4.5 to 5)	1.4 (1.3 to 1.4)	
	superimposed PE								
Full citation Bellamy, L., Casas, J. P., Hingorani, A.	Inclusion criteria Prospective and retrospective cohort studies including women of any parity or age and any severity of pre-eclampsia			Factors ad	ncluded in adjustment djusted for by name of study ension outcome	Results RR (95% CI) (ra women who ha	ad PE		Details ROB assessed using AMSTAR checklist
D., Williams, D. J., Pre-eclampsia and risk of cardiovascular	within 3 months of		ciampsia	Study	Factors	Hypertension, F *The outcomes stroke were not already included	Total score: 11/16 The following items were not met by the study authors:		
disease and cancer in later life: Systematic review	Exclusion criteria Case-control studi	-	with	Adams 1961	-	alleady illoidde	unclear whether data		
and meta-analysis, British Medical Journal, 335, 974-	historical controls	·		Epstein 1964	-		extraction was performed in duplication		
977, 2007 Ref Id	Sample size K=13 studies relevant for this systematic review. N= 21030 women with PE included for the outcome hypertension			Sibai 1986	-		no list of excluded studies was provided		
842383 Country/ies where the study was				Carleto n 1988	ВМІ				no risk of bias assessment was provided
carried out	Maternal characteristics								sources of funding of the included

Study details	Participants			Methods		Results	Limitations
	Studies include outcome	d for the	hypertension	Nisell			studies were not reported
Study type Systematic review	Study	Country	No with PE/ No of women	1995	-		risk of bias was not taken into account when discussing the
and meta-analysis of prospective and retrospective cohort	Adams 1961		54/334	North 1996	-		study results
studies	Epstein 1964	USA	48/162	Laivuori 1996	-		
Study dates	Sibai 1986	USA	406/815	Hannafo			
Any study up to December 2006 was	Carleton 1988	USA	23/46	rd 1996	Smoking, SES		
included	Nisell 1995	Sweden	45/89	Marin	BMI,SES,hypercholesterol emia, type 2 diabetes		
Source of funding	North 1996	NZ	50/100	2000	mellitus		
Part of the funding was received by UCLH/UCL from the	Laivuori 1996	Finland	22/44	Shamma s 2000	-		
Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research	Hannaford 1996	UK	2371/17202	Hubel 2000	-		
Centre	Marin 2000	Spain	80/166	Sattar	BMI, smoking, SES		
	Shammas 2000	Jordan	47/93	2003			
	Hubel 2000	Iceland	30/60	Wilson 2003	SES		
	Sattar 2003	Scotland	40/80				

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
	Wilson 2003 Scotland 443/1839	Follow-up Mean follow-up 14.1 y		
Full citation Benschop, Laura, Duvekot, Johannes J., Versmissen, Jorie, van Broekhoven, Valeska, Steegers, Eric A. P., Roeters van Lennep, Jeanine E., Blood Pressure Profile 1 Year After Severe Preeclampsia, Hypertension (Dallas, Tex.: 1979), 71, 491-498, 2018 Ref Id 842387 Country/ies where the study was carried out	Inclusion criteria Women referred to the follow-up pre- eclampsia outpatient clinic in Erasmus Medical Center and presented with severe pre-eclampsia Exclusion criteria Women with acute fatty liver disease, mild PE during the index pregnancy, pregnant during follow-up or pregnant between follow-up and index pregnancy Sample size N=200 Maternal characteristics Total Na 200	Follow-up 1 year	Results N (%) for hypertension* measured in different settings Daytime hypertension with ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (135/85 mmHg): 64 (32) Night-time hypertension with ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (120/70 mmHg): 85 (42.5) Hypertension with office BP monitoring (140/90 mmHg): 48 (24) *Hypertension includes sustained hypertension, masked hypertension or white coat hypertension	manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: mode rate risk (some factors, such as pre- existing hypertension) were obtained through questionnaires and cross-check with medical records, but it is unclear whether there is any information part of the prognostic factor measurement that
The Netherlands	Age, years, mean (SD) 31.6 (4.	8)		was only obtained through questionnaires and

Study details	Participants		Methods	Results			Limitations
Study type Retrospective cohort study	Pre-exiting hypertension, n (%) GA at diagnosis of PE	29 (14.6)					therefore subject to reporting/recall bias Outcome measurement: low risk
Study dates April 2011- September 2017	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD) ACOG 2002 definition of seve eclampsia.	31.7 (3.7)					Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: moderate risk
Source of funding Not reported							inouerate risk
Full citation Black, Mary Helen, Zhou, Hui, Sacks, David A., Dublin, Sascha, Lawrence,	Inclusion criteria Normotensive parous women birth to a singleton neonate a weeks GA and experienced a hypertensive disorder of preg	t least 20	Factors included in adjustment Ethnicity, maternal age, parity, smoking, pre-pregnancy weight, gestational age, gestational diabetes	hypertensive di pre-eclampsia/e	R, 95% CI) betwee isorders of pregna eclampsia with n or hypertension	ncy and	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS
Jean M., Harrison, Teresa N., Reynolds, Kristi, Hypertensive	Exclusion criteria		Follow-up 1 year	1st pregnancy	2nd pregnancy		Study participation: low risk (although note
disorders first identified in	Women with chronic hyperter hypertension or gestational	nsion, pre-	T you		Prevalence	RR (95% C	that the majority [76.67%] of women included in the study
pregnancy increase risk for incident	hypertension, women with a spressure measurement in the	pre or		Any HDP			were of Hispanic ethnicity, which may
prehypertension and hypertension in the	pertension in the was abnormal			No	450/4813 (9.34%)	Reference	raise concerns regarding
year after delivery, Journal of				Yes	81/292 (27.73%)	2.23 (1.62-3	generalisability of the results)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results			Limitations		
	Sample size N= 5960				PE/E	Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low				
	Maternal cha	racteristics			Yes		Reference 2.23 (1.62-3	risk Outcome measurement: low		
Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type Retrospective cohort		women with HDP during pregnancy	Women without HDP during pregnancy (N=5602)		*These data doe pressure measu	es not take into acco rements obtained 12 855 women were ex	unt blood 2 weeks	risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias:		
study	Age, years, mean (SD)	27.7 (6.1)	28.9 (6)					low risk (high quality study)		
Study dates 30 October 2005-31 December 2010	Pre/early- pregnancy sBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	112.3 (9.4)	108.4 (9.3)							
Source of funding Kaiser Permanente Southern California Direct Community Benefit Fund	Pre/early- pregnancy dBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	69.6 (7)	66.7 (7)							
	ICD 9 criteria									

Study details	Participants				Methods	R	esults						Limitations	
Full citation Boghossian, Nansi S., Albert, Paul S., Mendola, Pauline, Grantz, Katherine L., Yeung, Edwina, Delivery Blood	Inclusion criteria Nulliparous women wit deliveries in their first 2 delivered at least twice times.	2 pregna	ancies v	who	Factors included in adjustment Not applicable Follow-up Subsequent pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported	Results Recurrence rate in subsequent pregnancy by hypertensive disorder in 1st pregnancy 2nd pregnancy							Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation:	
Pressure and Other First Pregnancy Risk	Exclusion criteria Unclear hypertensive disorder during pregnancy; hypertensive disorder not specified; women with a history of chronic hypertension prior to the first pregnancy Sample size N= 26787						1st pregnancy	Normotensive (N=25475)	Gestational hypertension (N=642)	Pre-eclampsia (N=493)	Chronic hypertension and superimposed pre-	Incidence/recurrence*	moderate risk of bias (study sample represents the population of interest, however the population is not adequately described during their first pregnancy)	
842418 Country/ies where the study was carried out	Maternal characteristics Maternal characteristics of the 2nd pregnancy by the HDP of the 1st pregnancy						Normotensi ve (n=23913)	2330 1 (97.4)	284 (1.2)	253 (1.1)	57 (0.24)	612 (2.6)	study attrition: low risk of bias (no loss to follow-up has been described) Prognostic factor	
United States Study type Retrospective cohort study	Normotensive	Gestational hypertension	Pre-eclampsia Chronic	hypertension		h	Gestational hypertension (n=1538)	1195 (77.7)		86 (5.6)	44 (2.9)	343 (22.3)	measurement: low risk of bias (prognostic factor is adequately measured and described) Outcome	
Study dates													measurement: mode	

Study details	Participants					Methods	Results						Limitations
Source of funding	Age, years, mean (SD) Preterm <34 weeks in 1st		(4.3)	4	26.5 (4.3) 366 (1.6)		Pre- eclampsia (n=1319)		156 (11.8)	150 (11.4)	25 (1.9)	351 (26.6	rate risk of bias (the outcome of interest is adequately measured, although the follow-up length has not been
Human Development	Spontaneou s preterm	299 (81.7	14	4	10 (71.4		Chronic hypertensio n (n=114)	-	-	-	176 (100)	-	reported) Study confounding: low risk of bias (not applicable) Statistical analysis
	Indicated preterm	40 (10.9)	3 (21.4)	1 (6.7)	0		*Incidence/recu developed ges eclampsia, ecla superimposed pregnancy	tationa ampsia	l hyper , chron	tensioi iic hyp	n, pre- ertensi		and reporting: low risk of bias (statistical analyses are appropriate for the design of the study) Overall risk of bias: Moderate risk of bias (moderate quality evidence)
	Inclusion crite Exposure grou	p: won				Factors included in adjustment NA	Results	Ехро	sure				Details Based on the NICE
Teunissen, Pim W., Franssen,	gestation) Control group:	Control group: women with				Follow-up		group (early		t Z	00111101		manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS
Kesteren, Floortje, Kamp, Otto, Ganzevoort, Wessel,	ancomplicated					Not reported	Hypertension	50 (3	8.2)	8	3 (14.3)	Study participation: low risk

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
Paulus, Walter J., de					a Current use of antihypertensive medicat	
Groot, Christianne J.	Exclusion cr				and/or sBP/dBP ≥140/90 mmHg	risk
M., Effect of early-	7 '		r first sBP/dBP			Prognostic factor
onset preeclampsia on cardiovascular	measuremen pregnancy ≥1					measurement: low
risk in the fifth	pregnancy; w					risk
decade of life,			sment; fetus with			1
American Journal of	congenital ab					Outcome
Obstetrics and	mellitus; gest					measurement: mode
Gynecology, 216, 523.e1-523.e7, 2017	diseases; and		, including renal			rate risk (women were
523.e1-523.e1, 2011	related medic					selected as having
Ref Id	pregnancy					hypertension if they
0.40.400						were taking
842420						antihypertensive
Country/ies where	Sample size					medication, but blood
the study was	N=246 wome	n with ear	ly-onset pre-			pressure
carried out	eclampsia an					measurements were
The Netherlands	uncomplicate	d pregnan	cies			not taken)
The Netherlands						Study confounding:
Study type						moderate risk
Prospective	Maternal cha	aracteristi	cs			(confounding factors
observational study		Comb.				were assessed with a
			Uncomplicated			questionnaire)
			pregnancy			Statistical analysis
Study dates 1998-2005		PE (N=131)	(N=56)			and reporting: low
1330-2003	Age,					risk
						Overall risk of
Source of funding	years, mean (SD)	30.9 (5)	32.3 (4.1)			bias: moderate risk
Dutch Heart	inean (SD)					(moderate quality)
Association						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations		
	sBP at booking, mmHg, mean (SD)	117 (10.2)	109 (9.9)					
	dBP at booking, mmHg, mean (SD)	72 (7.9)	65 (7)					
	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD)	30.5 (2.1)	40 (1.4)					
	ISSHP 2001	criteria						
Full citation	Inclusion cri			Factors included in adjustment	Results			Details
Bramham, Kate, Briley, Annette L.,	weeks' gestat		clampsia at <37 most recent	NA		Previous delivery	for PE	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist
Seed, Paul, Poston, Lucilla, Shennan, Andrew H., Chappell, Lucy C., Adverse maternal and	Exclusion cr Women with		regnancies	Follow-up Any subsequent pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported	Any subsequent pregnancy outcome	<34 wk (N=304)	34-37 wk (N=196)	for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: high risk of bias (no
perinatal outcomes in women with previous preeclampsia: a prospective study, American Journal of	Sample size N=500				Recurrent PE, mean (SD)	106 (34.8%)	47 (23.9%)	demographic characteristics were provided for women who developed severe pre-eclampsia or

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results		Limitations
Obstetrics and Gynecology, 204, 512.e1-9, 2011	Maternal chara	acteristics			Recurrent gestational hypertension,	85 (43.3%)	gestational hypertension in the subsequent
Ref Id 775716 Country/ies where the study was carried out UK		Women without PE in subseque nt pregnancy (N=383)	Women with PE in subseque nt pregnancy * (N=117)		mean (SD)	<u> </u>	pregnancy) Study attrition: low risk of bias (no loss to follow-up has been reported) Prognostic factor measurement: low
Study type Prospective cohort study	Age, years, mean (SD)	31.1 (5.5)	31.9 (5.4)				risk Outcome measurement: low
Study dates	Baseline sBP <130 mmHg, mean (SD)		58 (50)				risk (outcome was adequately measured, but note that follow-up
August 2003-June 2005	Baseline sBP 130-139 mmHg, mean (SD)	64 (17)	31 (26)				length has not been reported) Study confounding: low risk (not
Source of funding Wellcome Trust with additional support from Tommy's the baby charity	Baseline sBP ≥140 mmHg, mean (SD)	54 (14)	28 (24)				applicable) Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk
	Baseline dBP <80 mmHg, mean (SD)	253 (66)	55 (47)				Overall risk of bias: moderate risk of bias (moderate quality evidence)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
	Baseline dBP 80-89 mmHg, mean (SD)	100 (26)	46 (39)			
	Baseline dBP ≥ 90 mmHg, mean (SD)	30 (8)	16 (14)			
	GA at randomisatio n, weeks, mean (SD)	18.2 (15.7-20.6)	18.1 (15.6-20.4)			
	Previous eclampsia	28 (7)	5 (4)			
	Chronic hypertension	112 (29)	49 (42)			
	*Only the detail: experienced pre subsequent pre reported. No de those who deve eclampsia and o	e-eclampsia i gnancy have tails were pre- eloped severe	in the been ovided for pre-			
Full citation Callaway, L. K., Mamun, A.,	Inclusion crite Information rega presence/abser disorders of pre	arding the nce of hypert		Factors included in adjustment Age, education, ethnicity, alcohol use, exercise, smoking status, BMI.	Results Of those who had hypertension during pregnancy, 63 out of 191 (33%) presented with hypertension post delivery	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
McIntyre, H. D., Williams, G. M., Najman, J. M., Nitert, M. D., Lawlor, D. A., Does a history of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy help predict future essential hypertension? Findings from a prospective pregnancy cohort study, Journal of Human Hypertension, 27, 309-14, 2013 Ref Id 812761 Country/ies where the study was carried out Australia Study type Prospective cohort study	pregnancy and information regarding BP measurements 21 years after the	Follow-up 21 years	Adjusted OR of hypertension at 21 years post delivery= 2.46 (1.70-3.56) Hypertension was defined as dBP ≥90 mmHg at least twice beyond 20 weeks gestational age, associated with proteinuria (2 of protein on dipstick testing) and or excessive fluid retention (defined as excessive weight gain or generalised oedema)	for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor
Study dates				

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results				Limitations
1981-1983 Source of funding Not reported							
Full citation	Inclusion criteria	Factors included in adjustment	Results				Details
Canoy, D., Cairns, B. J., Balkwill, A., Wright, F. L., Khalil, A., Beral, V., Green, J., Reeves, G., Hypertension in	Parous women aged 50 to 64 at the time of recruitment Exclusion criteria Women with a hospital record of stroke,	SES, parity, current smoking status, BMI, engage in strenuous exercise, alcohol drinker, previous use of hormone treatment, diabetes treatment at baseline, hypercholesterolemia at baseline		Exposure group (N=290 008)	Control group (N=815 560)	RR (95% CI)	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation:
pregnancy and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: A prospective study in a large UK cohort,	heart disease or cancer (except non melanoma skin cancer), nulliparous women or women with missing data on parity	Follow-up 11.6 years (SD=2.3)	MACE (ICD-10 codes 120 to 125)	21581	46580	1.29 (1.27 to 1.31)	Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: high risk of bias (method
International Journal of Cardiology, 222, 1012-1018, 2016 Ref Id	Sample size N=1 105 568		Cerebrovascular disease (ICD-10 codes 160 to 169)	6771	16226	1.23 (1.20 to 1.27)	for prognostic factor measurement is subject to recall bias as it was based on a questionnaire
842452 Country/ies where the study was carried out	Maternal characteristics Maternal characteristics at recruitment		Death due to coronary heart disease (ICD-10 codes 120 to 125)	2520	5216	1.35 (1.29 to 1.42)	completed at recruitment) Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding:
UK							high risk of bias (the measurement of

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results		Limitations
Study type Retrospective cohort study Study dates Not reported		Women without hypertension in their index pregnancy	Women with hypertension in their index pregnancy		Death due to cerebrovascular disease (ICD-10 codes 160 to 169)	4032 1.16 (1.09 to 1.23)	confounders is not reliable as it is based on a questionnaire completed at recruitment) Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias:
Source of funding	Age, years, mean (SD)	56 (4.8)	55.9 (4.7)				high risk of bias (low quality evidence)
Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council, Oxford University BHF Centre of Research Excellence	Being treated for hypertension, n (%)	82145 (10.1)	79163 (27.3)				
Drost, Jose T., Arpaci, Ganiye, Ottervanger, Jan Paul, de Boer, Menko Jan, van Eyck, Jim, van der Schouw, Yvonne T., Maas, Angela H. E.	Inclusion criter Women with ear registered on the database', and v pregnancy from database' regist period (1991-20	rly pre-eclame e 'early pre-e women with u the 'general ered during t 07)	clampsia uneventful obstetric	Factors included in adjustment Age, years postpartum and smoking status Follow-up 10 years	Results Adjusted ORs for the pres hypertension in women w during pregnancy 3.59 (2.48-5.20)		Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
FEMales study (PREVFEM), European Journal of	Sample size N=339 women wh prior to 32 weeks uncomplicated pre hypertensive diso	no had pre-e and n=332 egnancy (no	eclampsia women with			Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk Study
Ref Id	Maternal charact	eristics				confounding: low risk
842558 Country/ies where the study was carried out		Women with PE at index pregnanc y (N=339)	Women without PE at index pregnanc y (N=332)			Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: low risk (high quality study)
The Netherlands Study type Retrospective cohort	Age, years, mean (SD)		39.3 (4.4)			,
study	Hypertension, n (%)	146 (43.1)	57 (17.2)			
Study dates Not reported	Antihypertensi ve medication, n (%)	69 (20.6)	6 (2.1)			
Source of funding None	Family history of	255 (75.5)	212 (63.9)			

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results			Limitations
	cardiovascular risk, n (%) sBP/dBP ≥140 90 with proteinuria (≥0.3 g/ 24 h)					
Full citation	Inclusion criteria Women with a first and second singleton	Factors included in adjustment Not applicable	Results	1		Details Based on the NICE
Ebbing, Cathrine,	birth registered within the study			2nd pregnar	ncy	manual
Rasmussen, Svein, Skjaerven, Rolv, Irgens, Lorentz M.,	dates with known gestational age at delivery.		1st pregnancy	GH	PE (any GA)	2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS
Risk factors for recurrence of hypertensive	Exclusion criteria	Follow-up Subsequent pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported	No HDP (N=699 270, 94.1%)	6190 (1.1%)	8973(1.2%)	Study participation: high risk (participant's characteristics have
disorders of pregnancy, a population-based cohort study, Acta	Not reported		GH (N=13287, 1.8%)	1439 (10.8%)	1046(7.8%)	not been adequately described) Study attrition: low risk
Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 96,	Sample size N=724 980		PE GA 37w+ (N=25105, 3.4%)	1569 (6.2%)	3229(12.8%)	Prognostic factor measurement: low
243-250, 2017 Ref Id	Maternal characteristics		PE GA 33-36w (N=3877, 0.5%)	287 (7.4%)	891 (22.8%)	Outcome measurement: low
842568			PE GA 25-32w (N=1441, 0.2%)	94 (6.5%)	474(32.98%)	risk Study confounding: low risk
Country/ies where the study was carried out						Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: moderate risk

Study details	Partic	ipants				Methods	Results	Limitations
Study type Retrospective cohort study Study dates 1967-2012	Maternal age (n,%)	No HDP*	HDP* in index and second pregnancy	HDP* only in the index pregnancy	HDP* only in the second pregnancy			(moderate quality evidence)
Source of funding Western Norway Health Authority	<20	7882 (1.2%)	33 (0.4%)	308	80			
	20- 24	151795 (22.2%)	1360 (13.1%)	6881 (19.9%)	2453 (16.2%)			
	25- 29	277436 8 (40.1%)	3385 (36.8%)	13662 (39.6%)	5625 (37.1%)			
	30- 34	187651 (27.4%9	2942 (50.7%	10085 (29.2%	4791 (31.6%)			
	35- 39	55360 (8.1%)	1133 (17.5%)	3158 (9.1%)	1867 (12.3%			
	40+	7205	176 (3.1%)	433	330			

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
	*HDP included gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia			
Full citation	Inclusion criteria	Factors included in adjustment	Results	Details
Ehrenthal, Deborah B., Rogers, Stephanie,	Non-pregnant parous women with and without pregnancies complicated by hypertensive disorders of pregnancy who had consented to study participation	Not applicable	Exposure group (N=31) Control group(N=40)	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies
Goldstein, Neal D., Edwards, David G., Weintraub, William S., Cardiovascular risk factors one year after a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy, Journal	Exclusion criteria Women < 18 years old, non-English speakers, with chronic hypertension or gestational diabetes	Follow-up 1 year	Hypertension or BP ≥140/90 5 (16.1) 1 (2.5), p=0.04	and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk
of women's health (2002), 24, 23-9, 2015 Ref Id 742778	Sample size N= 71 women Maternal characteristics			Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low
Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type	Women with HDP during their index pregnancy (N=31) Women without HDP during their index pregnancy (N=40)			risk Overall risk of bias: low risk

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
Prospective cohort study	Age, years, mean (SD)	32 (6.6)	30.6 (5.2)			
Study dates 2011-2012	Nulliparous (pre- pregnancy), n (%)	14 (45.2)	15 (37.5)			
Source of funding National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health	Delivered preterm (pre- pregnancy), n (%)	6 (19.4)	2 (5)			
	BMI (pre- pregnancy)	30 (8.2)	30.2 (8)			
	Definition of H ≥140 90 mmH gestation. Pre- the presence of in a 24 h urine 160 110 mmH	g after 20 w eclampsia v of ≥300 mg o collection o	eeks was defined as of proteinuria r sBP/dBP ≥			
Grandi, S. M.,	Inclusion crit Women with ≥ time in the Uni Practice Rese Exclusion cri	2 years of o ited Kingdon arch Datalin	n's Clinical	Factors included in adjustment For the hypertension outcome, the following factors have been adjusted for: age, smoking status, BMI, alcohol abuse, year of cohort entry, region of residence, multiple pregnancy at first pregnancy, depression, dyslipidaemia,	Results Risk (adjusted HR [95% CI]) of CVD and hypertension in women with hypertensive disorders during pregnancy Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS

Study details	Participants	S		Methods	Results			Limitations		
Disorders in prior to 18 weeks of GA for the index pregnancy and the Risk of Subsequent pregnancy, history of CVD, ≥2 measures of BP≥140/90 mmHg before 18 weeks				thromboembolism, gestational diabetes, diabetes mellitus, renal disease, migraines, family history of	Cardiovascular disease		0.6 (0.2-	Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk		
Cardiovascular Disease, Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 31, 412-421, 2017	GA, <15 year	ars or >45 year sive medicatio	s and used	different drug classes prescribed, use of statin, aspirin and anti-depressant medications in the year prior to pregnancy For the CVD outcome, the above	Other gestational hypertension	Other gestational Other pestational 2.3 (1.8- Outline pestational	Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low			
Ref Id 842661	Sample size	e			Hypertension		5.2 (4.3-	risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis		
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Maternal characteristics			contraceptives, anti-migraine medications in the year before pregnancy	PE/E Other gestational	133 5.2 (4.3-6.1) 888 4.6 (4.3-5)	and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: low risk (high quality			
Canada Study type Retrospective cohort study		Exposure Group		Follow-up Median 4.7 years (IQR 1.9 to 9.1)	hypertension		(1.0 6)	study)		
Study dates January 1990-	Age, years, mean (SD)	29.8 (6)	29.2 (6.1)							
December 2013 Source of funding	Family hx of CVD, n (%)	732 (13.6)	16 456 (11.6)							
Funding was not reported, but the authors are	with a HDP	re group consis in any pregnan ollowing criteria	cy meeting							

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results				Limitations
supported by the following organisations: Fonds de reserche du Quebec-Sante (FQRS) and Canadian Institutes of Health Rearch (CIHR)	between 18 weeks' GA and 6 weeks post-delivery): 1) a diagnosis of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, including GH, PE, eclampsia, hypertension complicating pregnancy, toxoaemia, transient hypertension in pregnancy, benign essential hypertension in pregnancy, and hypertension combined with proteinuria; 2) a new diagnosis of hypertension in women with normal BP before 18 weeks' GA; 3) sBP/dBP ≥140/90 mmHg measured twice; 4) a first dBP reading ≥ 110 mmHg; 5) new use of an anti-hypertensive medication.						
Full citation Hermes, W, Franx, A, Pampus, Mg, Bloemenkamp, Kw,	Inclusion criteria Exposure group:women with gestational hypertension or pre-eclampsia at term Control group: women with normotensive pregnancies at term		Results	Exposure group (N=306)	Control (N=99)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies
Bots, MI, Post, Ja, Porath, M, Ponjee, Ga, Tamsma, Jt, Mol, Bw, Groot, Cj,	Exclusion criteria	Follow-up 2.5 years	Hypertension ≥140/90	105 (34)	1 (1)	47.5 (6.5- 350)	and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: high
Cardiovascular risk factors in women who had hypertensive disorders late in pregnancy: a cohort study, American Journal of Obstetrics	Exposure group: regnant or lactating women, those who were taking antihypertensive medication for chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, gestational diabetes treated with insulin, renal disease, previous C-section, HELLP, oliguria < 500 ml/24 h, fetal anomalies,IUGR, abnormal fetal-heart						risk (n=175 women were lost to follow-up and no reasons were provided, n=168 women refused participation)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
and Gynecology, 208, 474.e1-8, 2013 Ref Id 842717 Country/ies where the study was carried out The Netherlands Study type Prospective cohort study	rate monitoring, I or cyanosis, use medication Control group: HI hypertension, PE disease, heart didelivery Sample size N=405	of IV antihypo ELLP, gestati E, diabetes, IL sease, HV, pi	onal IGR, renal			Prognostic factor measurement: risk Outcome measurement: risk Study confounding:ld Statistical anal and reporting: risk Overall risk of moderate risk of (moderate qualistudy)
Study dates June 2008- November 2010	Maternal baselii index pregnanc	ne character	Control (N=99)			
Source of funding Nuts Ohra Foundation	Age, years, mean (SD)	31 (5.1)	31 (4.5)			
	Nulliparous, n (%)	211 (69)	30 (30)			
	sBP at booking, mmHg, mean (SD)	120 (12)	113 (11)			

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
	dBP at booking, mmHg, mean (SD) 73 (9) 66 (7.6)					
	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD) 39.4 (1.3) 39.9 (1.2)					
Pre-eclampsia: a measured twice a combination with occurrences of p dipstick, or>300 collection within a creatinine ratio > Gestational hyper dBP ≥95 mmHg 6 hours apart with		at least 6 hou, proteinuria (2 rotein on a mg of total pr 24h, or protein 30 mg/mmol, rtension: measured twi	rs apart, in 2 2 rotein n:) ce at least			
Full citation Li, X. L., Chen, T. T., Dong, X., Gou, W. L., Lau, S., Stone,	Inclusion criteria Not reported Exclusion criteria Not reported		Factors included in adjustment Not applicable	Results 55 out of 92 (59.8%) of women developed recurrent pre-eclampsia	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies	
P., Chen, Q., Early onset preeclampsia in subsequent pregnancies			Follow-up Subsequent pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported		and QUIPS Study participation: high risk of bias	
correlates with early onset preeclampsia in first pregnancy,	Sample size N=55					(inclusion and exclusion criteria have not been described)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, & Reproductive Biology, 177, 94-9, 2014	Maternal chara Maternal chara pregnancy)		ndex			Study attrition: low risk of bias (no loss to follow-up have been described) Prognostic factor
Ref Id 385751	Recurrent No recurrent					measurement: low risk of bias (prognostic factor is adequately
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Age, years, mean (SD)		PE (N=37) 25 (19-33)			measured) Outcome measurement: low
China Study type Retrospective cohort	Pre- eclampsia, n	55 (100)	37 (100)			risk of bias (outcome is adequately measured, with follow- up length reported)
study Study dates	sBP, mmHg, median (range)	160 (140- 185)	160 (140- 200)			Study confounding: low risk of bias (not applicable)
January 2008- December 2012	dBP, mmHg, median (range)	100 (90- 110)	100 (90- 130)			Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk of bias Overall risk of bias:
Source of funding National Key Discipline of Obstetric of China	GA at delivery, weeks, median (range)	36 (23-41)	36 (32-42)			moderate risk of bias (moderate quality evidence)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results		Limitations
	Maternal chai	racteristics (second				
		Recurrent PE (n=55)	No recurrent PE (n=37)				
	Age, years, mean	32 (20-40)	27 (22-36)				
	sBP, mmHg, median (range)	165 (130- 220)	125 (110- 135)				
	dBP, mmHg, median (range)	110 (90- 140)	75 (65-85)				
	GA at delivery, weeks, median (range)	35 (24-41)	39 (36-41)				
Full citation Mahande, Michael J., Daltveit, Anne K.,	Women with a during the stud	Inclusion criteria Women with at least 2 singleton births during the study period		Factors included in adjustment Maternal age and education	Results First	subsequent (95	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for
Mmbaga, Blandina T., Masenga, Gileard, Obure, Joseph, Manongi, Rachel, Lie, Rolv T.,	Exclusion criteria Women referred from rural areas,			Follow-up Any future pregnancy, median follow-up: 6.5 years	pregnancy (n)	pregnancy (CI)	prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results		Limitations
Recurrence of preeclampsia in northern Tanzania: a registry-based cohort study, PLoS ONE, 8, e79116, 2013					Pre- eclampsia (171) Chronic hypertension (63)	42 (24.6)	Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk
803647		No PE	PF				low risk
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Age, years, mean (SD)	25.9 (4.9)	27.4 (4.9)				Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias
Tanzania Study type Prospective cohort	Gestational hypertension, n (%)	14 (0.3)	4 (22)				low risk (high quality study)
study	Chronic hypertension, n (%)	36 (0.9)	11 (23.4)				
Study dates 2000-2010	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD)	38.9 (2.7)	37.0 (3.3)				
Source of funding Norwegian Council for Higher Education's Program for Development Research or Nasjonalt program for Utviklimg,							

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results				Limitations
Forskning og Utdanning (NUFU) and Quota Scholarship Scheme							
Full citation Mannisto, T., Mendola, P., Vaarasmaki, M., Jarvelin, M. R.,	Inclusion criteria Singleton women who gave birth to liveborn and stillborn infants of >28 weeks gestational age who had a birth weight ≥600 g	Factors included in adjustment Pre-pregnancy BMI, smoking, parity, diabetes mellitus before pregnancy, and socioeconomic status	Results 1st pregnancy			Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation:	
Hartikainen, A. L., Pouta, A., Suvanto, E., Elevated blood pressure in pregnancy and subsequent chronic disease risk,	Exclusion criteria Those with missing blood pressure measurements, those who died.	Follow-up Median 39.4 (range 3-43.6 years)		Normotensive (n=6552)	Gestational hypertension	Chronic hypertension (n=668)	low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome
Circulation, 127, 681-90, 2013	Sample size N= 8453 (n= 6552 were normotensive; n= 991 presented with gestational hypertension; n= 668 presented with		MACE				measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk
419049 Country/ies where the study was	chronic hypertension)		Prevalence	1633 (24.9)	357 (36.1)	377 (50.4)	Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias:
carried out Finland Study type	Maternal characteristics		HR (95% CI)	Reference	(1.29-	1.66 (1.46- 1.88)	low risk

Study details	Participant	ts			Methods	Results				Limitations
Prospective cohort study		ensive)	nal	nsion		Stroke				
Study dates 1972-2008		Normotensive (n=6552)	Gestational hypertension (n=991)	Chronic hypertension		Prevalence	300 (4.6)	84 (8.5)	26 (12.9)	
Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of	Age at birth, mean (SD)	26.6 (6.2)	27.8 (7.3)	31.5 (7.		HR (95% CI)	Reference		1.80 (1.39- 2.34)	
	Nullipara, n (%)	(30.9)		142 (21.3)		Hypertension				
Development,	Normotensive: BP <145/95 (because in the 1960s, clinical blood pressure used to be rounded up to the nearest 5 mmHg Gestational hypertension: new-onset					Prevalence	1374 (21)		415 (62.1)	
	hypertension after 20 weeks gestation with no proteinuria Chronic hypertension: hypertension before 20 week gestation continuing throughout the pregnancy, and up to 6 weeks after pregnancy; or a history of chronic hypertension and/or antihypertensive use without evidence of proteinuria			eation on ing o to 6 ory of		HR (95% CI)	Reference	1.7.72	Not estimated	
Full citation	Inclusion o	criteria			Factors included in adjustment	Results Adjusted relati	ve risks			Details

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results		Limitations
McDonald, Sarah D., Malinowski, Ann,	Cohort or case-control studies, published in any language, including >9 participants which examined the	Factors varied across studies but, overall, studies controlled for the following factors: age, age at delivery,	Outcome	RR (95% CI)	ROB assessed using AMSTAR checklist Total score: 13/16 The following items were not met by the study authors:
Zhou, Qi, Yusuf, Salim, Devereaux, Philip J.,	development of cardiac mortality > 6 weeks postpartum in women with a history of pre-eclampsia or eclampsia	socioeconomic status, co-occurring conditions, pre-term delivery, and smoking status	MACE	2.33 (1.95-2.78)	
Cardiovascular sequelae of preeclampsia/eclamp sia: a systematic	compared to women who were normotensive during pregnancy	Follow-up	Stroke	2.03 (1.54-2.67)	no list of excluded studies was provided
review and meta- analyses, American	Exclusion criteria Studies not adjusting for confounders	Please see 'maternal characteristics' section	Cardiovascular mortality	2.29 (1.73-3.04)	sources of funding of the included studies were not reported included
Ref Id	Sample size				risk of bias was not taken into account when discussing the
842945	10 observational studies were included (n= 118 407)				when discussing the study results
Country/ies where the study was carried out					
Canada	Maternal characteristics				
Study type Systematic review and meta-analysis	Study Country No of cases No of controls Follow-up				
Study dates Studies published between 1996 and 2006 were published					

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
Source of funding Regional Medical Association; Hamilton Health Sciences; Canadian Institutes of Health Research	Iceland 203 7340 Mean 42 y			
	England 3000 18451 25-26 y (unclear whether mean or median)			
	Norway 2415 60211 Median 7 13 y			
	Scotland 7 84487 15-19 y			
	USA 2055 13206 Mean 7.8 y			

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
	Scotland 1043 796 10-48 y (unclear whether mean or median)			
	Jerusale m 1055 36858 Median 30 y			
	Finland 397 3162 28 (uncle ar whether mean or median)			
	Canada 2 98928 Median 8.7 y			
	Sweden 1253 38308 1 19-28 y (uncle ar whether mean or median)			
Full citation	Inclusion criteria	Factors included in adjustment Not applicable	Results	Details

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results			Limitations
McDonald, Sarah D., Ray, Joel, Teo, Koon, Jung,	Exposure group:women who had PE during their index pregnancy Control group: women without any history of PE in any previous pregnancy	_{CV} Follow-up		Exposure group (N=109)	Control group (N=219)	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies
Hyejung, Salehian, Omid, Yusuf, Salim, Lonn, Eva, Measures of cardiovascular risk and subclinical atherosclerosis in a	Exclusion criteria Exclusion criteria for exposure and control groups: women with gestational	Median 20 years	sBP/dBP ≥140/90	14 (12.8)	15 (6.9)	and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor
a remote history of preeclampsia, Atherosclerosis, 229, 234-9, 2013	hypertension, chronic hypertension, known CVD, liver disease, renal disease, or any other chronic conditions, hypothyroidism, women who had been pregnant within 6 months of the current study visit					measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding:
Ref Id 813422 Country/ies where the study was carried out	Sample size N=328					low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: low risk
Canada	Maternal characteristics					
Study type Nested cohort study	resence of PE in revious regnancy (N=109) Absence of PE in previous					
Study dates January 1986- December 1995	Presence of PE in previous pregnancy (N=109) Absence of PE in previous previous					

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results			Limitations	
Source of funding Heart and Stroke Foundation,	Age at recruitment, years, median (IQR)	49 (44- 55)	49 (45- 56)						
Canadian Institutes of Health Research	Chronic hypertension before pregnancy, n (%)	35 (32.1)	22 (10.1)						
Full citation	Nulliparous women, diagnosed with PE between 1996 and 2008. A control group of nulliparous women who did not			Factors included in adjustment	Results	Results			
Melamed, Nir, Hadar, Eran, Peled, Yoav, Hod, Moshe,			Follow-up Subsequent pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported	Subsequent pregnancy			Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies		
Wiznitzer, Arnon, Yogev, Yariv, Risk for recurrence of preeclampsia and outcome of	Exclusion criteria Women with pre-term births prior to 24			Outcome	Exposure group (N=289)	Control (N=896)	and QUIPS Study participation: low risk of bias Study attrition: low		
subsequent pregnancy in women with preeclampsia in	I	weeks, birthweight < 500g,			Chronic hypertension	17 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	risk of bias (no loss to follow-up have been reported)	
their first pregnancy, The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine:	Sample size 600 women diagnosed with PE, matched with a control group of nulliparous women who did not develop PE in a 3:1 ratio (N=1800)				Gestational hypertension	23 (8.0)	8 (0.9)	Prognostic factor measurement: low risk of bias	
the official journal of the European Association of					Pre-eclampsia	17 (5.9)	7 (0.8)	Outcome measurement: low	
Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies,	Maternal characteris	stics						risk of bias (although follow-up length has not been reported)	

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
the International Society of Perinatal	Maternal charact pregnancy)	teristics (inc	dex			Study confoundin low risk of bias (not
Obstetricians, 25, 2248-51, 2012 Ref Id		Previous PE (N=289)	Control (N=896)			applicable) Statistical analysis and reporting: low
Country/ies where the study was carried out Israel Age, years, mean (SD) Severe PE, n		28.6 (5.8)	28.4 (4.7)			risk of bias Overall risk of bias: Low (high quality
	Severe PE, n (%)	196 (32.7)	N/A			evidence)
Study type Retrospective cohort study	GA at delivery < 37 weeks	285 (47.5)	166 (9.2)			
	GA at delivery < 34 weeks	117 (19.5)	43 (2.4)			
Study dates 1996-2008	GA at delivery < 32 weeks	54 (9.1)	22 (1.2)			
Source of funding Not reported	GA at delivery < 28 weeks	10 (1.7)	3 (0.2)			
	Placental abruption, n (%)	14 (2.3)	10 (0.6)			
	Chronic hypertension	23 (3.8)	0 (0.0)			

Study details	Participants		Methods	Results				Limitations	
	Inclusion criteria Exposure group: pregnant wome had hypertensive disorders of pre (pre-eclampsia or gestational hypertension; 2015 Best Practice	egnancy e <i>Guide</i>	Factors included in adjustment Age, BMI, family history of hypertension, and salt intake		Exposur e group	group	Adjuste d OR	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS	
Atsuko, Ichihara, Atsuhiro, Matsuoka, Ryu, Sekizawa, Akihiko, Ohya, Yukihiro, Kitagawa,	for Care and Treatment of Hyper in Pregnancy criteria) Control group: women with norm deliveries		Follow-up 5 years	Llymartanaia	6 (24)	19 (2.5)p<0.00	7.1 (2.0-	Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low	
Michihiro, Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a strong risk factor for subsequent hypertension 5 years after delivery, Hypertension research: official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension, 41, 141-146, 2018	Exclusion criteria Multiple pregnancies, women whiscarriages or stillbirths, women chronic hypertension, diabetes midney disease before pregnancy hypertension (sBP/dBP ≥140/90) documented BP before 20 weeks Sample size N=751	n with nellitus, y,), no						risk Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: low risk	
Ref Id 842975	Maternal characteristics Maternal characteristics at ind pregnancy	lex							
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Women with HDP	Control							
Japan									

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations	
Study type Retrospective cohort study	Age, years, mean (SD)	35.3 (5)	33.9 (3.9)				
Study dates	Maximum sBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	124.7 (13)	115.4 (10.3)				
October 2003- December 2005	Maximum dBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	77.6 (9.2)	70.7 (7.7)				
Sciences Research Grant from the	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD)	37.1 (3.2)	39.2 (1.6)				
Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan and National Center for Child Health and Development of Japan							
Mongraw-Chaffin, Morgana L., Cirillo, Piera M., Cohn,	Inclusion criteria Women with no proheart conditions		gnosed	Factors included in adjustment Not reported, but the authors report that HRs have been adjusted for confounders	Results HR (95% CI) for cardiovascular mortality HR = 2.14 (1.29-3.57) HR <34 weeks of gestation = 9.54 (4.50-20.26)	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk	
Barbara A., Preeclampsia and cardiovascular	Exclusion criteria Multiple births, pre parity, pregnancies	gnancies wi		Follow-up Median 37 years			

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
disease death: prospective evidence from the child health and development	abortion or still birth prior 20 weeks gestational age			Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low
(Dallas, Tex. : 1979).	Sample size N=14403, of which N=481 had pre- eclampsia			risk Outcome measurement: low risk
Ref Id				Study confounding: high risk (authors do
1042302	Maternal characteristics Information regarding maternal age or			not report the factors the analyses were
Country/ies where the study was carried out	gestational age has not been reported. Median age at enrolment was 26 years old and median age of death was 65 years. No definition for pre-eclampsia			adjusted for) Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk
	was provided			Overall risk of bias: moderate risk
Study type Prospective cohort study				
Study dates 1959-1967				
Source of funding The National Institute of Health				

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
in women with previous gestational hypertension: A	Inclusion criteria Pregnant women with a history of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy Exclusion criteria Women with chronic hypertension, women after 20 weeks gestation, with chronic hypertension, renal or liver disease, multiple pregnancy, or current pregnancy complicated by fetal anomaly or miscarriage Sample size N=773 Maternal characteristics Maternal characteristics of women who had complications during the subsequent pregnancy* and who did not have complications during the subsequent pregnancy	Follow-up Any future pregnancy. Follow-up length was not reported		Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: low risk (high quality evidence)

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
January 2011 and January 2016 Source of funding Not reported		Women without complications during subsequent pregnancy (N=398)	Women with complications during subsequent			
	Age, years, median (IQR)	32.0 (29- 36)	33.0 (29- 37)			
	Gestational age of onset of hypertension in previous pregnancy, mean (SD)	36.1 (4.7)	35.7 (4.7			
	GA < 34 w, n (%)	31 (22.9)	103 (27.4			
	GA 34-37 w, n (%)	79 (19.9)	81 (21.5)			
	GA 37.1-40 w, n	111 (28.0)	95 (25.3)			
	GA > 40 w, n (%)	116 (29.2)	97 (25.8)			

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results		Limitations		
	Booking sBP, mmHg, median (IQR)	110 (100- 119)	115 (110 122)						
	Booking dBP, mmHg, median (IQR)	67.0 (60- 71)	70.0 (65- 78)						
	*The study aimed had a range of con subsequent pregn and maternal), alti table only the one hypertensive disor captured	mplications du nancy (obstetr hough in this s related with	uring ic, fetal evidence						
Full citation Scholten, R. R.,	Inclusion criteria Parous, non-pregi presented with pre	nant women v e-eclampsia d		Factors included in adjustment Not applicable	Results Prevalence of by GA of wo		Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist		
Sweep, F. C. G. J., Vlugt, M. J. V. D., Dijk, A. P. V., Oyen, W. J., Lotgering, F. K., Spaanderman, M. E. A., Co-occurrence of cardiovascular		is defined as mmHg meas lours apart, ar mg for 24 hould age in previous	nd rs after 20	Follow-up 6-12 months after pregnancy		weeks		≥37 weeks (N=233	for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: moderate risk (4.85%
and prothrombotic risk factors in women with a history of preeclampsia,	Exclusion criteria	a							of the women included in the original sample were excluded

Study details	Participants		Methods	Results	Limitations
Obstetrics and Gynecology, 121, 97-105, 2013 Ref Id 843185 Country/ies where	Sample size N=1234 Maternal characteristics			Hypertension 46 (32.1) 107 (29.9) 122 (43 (18.3) 107 (29.9) 107 (29.9) 107 (19.9) 107 (because of missing data, but no attempt was made to assess whether the characteristics of these women differ
the study was carried out The Netherlands		Total N= 1234		vascular resistance (>1600 dynes x sec/cm5), or both	from the ones studied) Prognostic factor measurement: low risk
Study type	Age, years, mean (SD)	32 (4)			Outcome
Retrospective cohort study	Use of antihypertensive medication, n (%)	180 (15)			measurement: low risk Study confounding:
Study dates January 2004-	Additional dx of HELLP, n	654 (53)			low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low
December 2010	Additional dx of growth- restricted neonate, n (%)	432 (35)			risk Overall risk of
Source of funding Not reported	sBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	120 (15)			bias: moderate risk of bias (moderate quality evidence)
	dBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	73 (11)			
	GA at delivery, weeks, median (range)	33 (29- 36)			
Full citation	Inclusion criteria		Factors included in adjustment	Results	Details

Study details	Participants	Methods	Re	sults					Limitations
L., Yeung, K., Lupton, S. J., Thornton, C., Makris, A., O'Loughlin, A., Hennessy, A., Lind, J. M., High blood pressure during pregnancy is associated with future cardiovascular	Women ≥45 y/o; having gave birth between 18 and 45 yo, normotensive prior their index pregnancy, not having had a hysterectomy or both ovaries removed Exclusion criteria Women who had invalid or missing data in the questionnaire that it was conducted, women who were told that they had HBP but were not treated for it	Country of origin, SES, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, degree of physical activity, family hx of stroke, hx of COC use, hx of menopausal hormone therapy, and number of children Follow-up Not reported		Subsequent pregnancy outcome	Age threshold	Women with HDP at their index pregnancy	Women without HDP at their index pregnancy	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: high risk of bias (method for prognostic factor measurement is subject to recall
e002964, 2013 Ref Id 843297	Sample size N= 71819		bl	igh lood ressure	<58	31935	3854	3.79 (3.38- 4.24)	bias as it was based on a questionnaire completed at recruitment. No
	Maternal characteristics No data regarding age, different categories of HDP, BO, or GA at delivery				≥58	32178	3852	2.83 (2.58- 3.12)	definition for HDP was provided.) Outcome measurement: high risk of bias (the
Australia Study type Retrospective cohort	was provided. No definition of the different HDP was provided		St	troke	<58	35613		1.69 (1.02- 2.82)	method of outcome measurement is not reliable and subject to recall bias as it was
study								1.46 (1.13- 1.88)	based on a questionnaire completed at recruitment. No
Study dates January 2006- April 2009			No	definitio	n for str	oke or HBF	was provi	ded	definition for stroke or HBP was provided)

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
Source of funding Sax Institute, Cancer Council in NSW, National Heart Foundation of Australia, NSW Ministry of Health, beyondblue, the national depression initiative, Ageing, Disability and Home Care, NSW Family and Community Services, Austrlian Red Cross Blood Service and Uniting Care Ageing				high risk of bias (the measurement of confounders is not reliable as it is based on a questionnaire completed at recruitment) Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: very high risk of bias (very low quality evidence)
Makris, Angela, Ogle, Robert, Korda, Andrew, Hennessy, Annemarie, All Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy Increase	Inclusion criteria Women who had been diagnosed with any HDP during the antenatal, peripartum, intrapartum or postnatal period according to the ICD-9 criteria and who gave birth during the study period at a metropolitan tertiary hospital in Sydney Exclusion criteria	Factors included in adjustment Age, gestation and parity Follow-up Not reported	Results Adjusted OR (95% CI) for presence of future hypertension, MADE or stroke in women with PE and gestational hypertension PE OR (95% CI) GH OR (95% CI)	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: low risk

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results			Limitations
Cardiovascular Disease, Hypertension (Dallas, Tex.: 1979),	Not reported Sample size		Hypertension	3.06 (2.18- 4.29)	4.08 (3.23- 5.10)	Prognostic factor measurement: low risk Outcome
70, 798-803, 2017 Ref ld	N= 1158		MACE	2.67 (1.49- 4.81)	3.19 (2.11- 4.83)	measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk
756245 Country/ies where the study was carried out	Maternal characteristics Of the women included, N=162 (13.9%) had PE, N= 322 (27.8%) had GH, N= 56 (4.8%) had CHT and N=43 (3.7%) had		Stroke	2.03 (0.75- 5.49)	0.57 (0.14- 2.31)	Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias:
Australia Study type Retrospective cohort study	PE superimposed on CHT Other details regarding maternal age or gestational age have not been reported					low risk
Study dates January 1980 to December 1989						
Source of funding The main author received a scholarship from Preeclampsia Research Laboratories (PEARLS)						

Study details	Participants					Methods	Results	Limitations		
Full citation Tooher, Jane, Thornton, Charlene, Makris, Angela, Ogle, Robert, Korda, Andrew, Horvath, John, Hennessy, Annemarie, Hypertension in pregnancy and long- term cardiovascular mortality: a retrospective cohort study, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 214, 722.e1-6, 2016	Not reported Sample size N= 4387 women with hypertension in their pregnancy					Factors included in adjustment Not applicable Follow-up 9 years	Results Mortality due to cardiovascular disease (ICD-9 AM criteria) OR (95% CI) 1.93 (1.05-3.55)	Details Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation: low risk Study attrition: unclear risk (the characteristics of a subsample of women are reported, but is unclear whether this subsample of women were selected randomly or not) Prognostic factor		
Ref Id 843299 Country/ies where the study was carried out Australia Study type Retrospective cohort study		PE (N=365)	GH (N=625)	CHT (N=98)	Superimposed PE (N=76)			measurement: low risk Outcome measurement: low risk Study confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low risk Overall risk of bias: moderate risk		

Study details	Participants					Methods	Results	Limitations
Study dates 1980-1989	Age (at birth of baby)	30 (25- 33)	30 (23.5- 32.5)	33.5 (31- 36)	29 (24- 35)			
	Primiparous, n (%)	260 (73)	391 (63)	38 (39)	44 (58)			
Source of funding PEARLS (Preeclampsia Research Laboratories)	median	37)	37.5)	38)				
	weeks gestatio manifestation, i (>300 mg/24 honeurologic, her impairment, ac	n plus includ ours), natolo	s ≥1 oth ling pro bioche ogic or	ner orgoteinui emical hepat	gan ia , ic			
	fetal growth res abruption GH=sBP/dBP weeks gestatio history of renal	strictio ≥140/9 nal aç	on or pl 90 mm ge with	acent Hg aft no pr	al er 20 evious			
	before the pregnancy or significant proteinuria CHT = sBP/dBP ≥140/90 mmHg preconception or associated with renal disease, endocrine disorders,							
	renovascular d before 20 week associated with eclampsia	iseas (s ges	e, or ca stationa	ardiac al age	and not			

Study details	tails Participants			Methods	Results				Limitations	
	*The records of N=1159 reviewed, although the who had HDP was N=4	total N of we								
Full citation van Oostwaard,	Data of women who had a hypertensive pregnancy followed by a subsequent pregnancy. Exclusion criteria Case control studies (only those			Factors included in adjustment Not reported	Results Recurrence rates of pregnancy	Recurrence rates of hypertensive disorders			Details Limitations have been assessed	
Miriam F., Langenveld, Josje, Schuit, Ewoud, Papatsonis, Dimitri N. M., Brown, Mark A., Byaruhanga, Romano N.,				Follow-up Gubsequent pregnancy for pre-	Type of HDP at subsequent pregnancy	Index pre	gnancy	using AMSTAR Total score: 12/16. The following issues were not met in this		
				eclampsia and gestational hypertension; any future date for chronic hypertension		Any HDP	GН	PE	IPD MA: review authors did not provide a list of excluded studies, justifying the exclusions; unclear whether data extraction was performed in duplicate; sources of funding of the included studies were	
Bhattacharya, Sohinee, Campbell, Doris M., Chappell, Lucy C., Chiaffarino,					Any HDP*	20.7% (20.4%- 20.9%)	21.5%	20.4%		
Francesca, Crippa, Isabella, Facchinetti, Fabio, Ferrazzani, Sergio, Ferrazzi, Enrico, Figueiro- Filho, Ernesto A., Gaugler-Senden, Ingrid P. M., Haavaldsen, Camilla, Lykke, Jacob A.,					GH	8.6% (8.4%- 8.8%)	14.5%	6%		
	pregnancy	T-4-1	asure		PE *Total N doos not s	13.8% (13.6- 14.1%)		16%	not reported; publication bias was not discussed	
Mbah, Alfred K., Oliveira, Vanessa M., Poston, Lucilla, Redman, Christopher W. G.,	Age, years, mean (SD) 97832 25 (5)					*Total N does not add up because different numbers of women in which the HDP were recorded				

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
Salim, Raed, Thilaganathan, Baskaran, Vergani, Patrizia, Zhang, Jun,	Gestational hypertension, n (%)	99400	23970 (24)			
Steegers, Eric A. P., Mol, Ben Willem J., Ganzevoort, Wessel, Recurrence of	Pre-eclampsia, n (%)	99202	75172 (76)			
hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: an individual patient	Eclampsia, n (%)	26665	2087 (8)			
data metaanalysis, American Journal of Obstetrics and	HELLP, n (%)	40236	512 (1.3)			
Gynecology, 212, 624.e1-17, 2015 Ref Id	Chronic hypertension before pregnancy, n (%)	26879	2032 (8)			
756256 Country/ies where the study was	Placental abruption, n (%)	51803	1221 (2.4)			
carried out The Netherlands	Maximum sBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	632	161 (21)			
Study type Individual patient data meta-analysis of cohort studies	Maximum dBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	1028	103 (11)			
Study dates	GA at delivery, weeks, mean (SD)	94178	39 (20)			

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results	Limitations
Studies published between 1994 and 2014	Premature delivery <28w, n (%)	94197	739 (0.8)			
Source of funding Not reported	Premature delivery <34w, n (%)	94353	5363 (5.7)			
	Premature delivery <37w, n (%)	94965	14521 (15)			
	Preeclampsia: hyperteblood pressure at least systolic blood pressure Hg on 2 occasions that apart) in combination vpositive [0.3g/L] protein a protein/creatinine rating/mmol in a random protein excretion of at 24 hours) after 20 wee Gestational hypertensiat later than 20 weeks' proteinuria or a signific pressure (if a woman hypertension). Superimposed preecla with chronic hypertens proteinuria or a sudder proteinuria or a sudder proteinuria if already put HELLP syndrome: (ele dehydrogenase levels elevated liver enzymes aspartate transaminasi	90 mm at lease twere 4 vith prothuria dip io of at I sample least 30 ks' gest on: hype gestatic ant rise ad know mpsia: v ion and increase resent. vated la [at least by leve	Hg or t 140 mm to 5 hours einuria (a estick test, east 30 or a urine 0 mg for ation. ertension on without in blood wn chronic women see in ectate 600 U/L], els of			

Study details	Participants	Methods		Results	Limitations
	transferase at least 70 U/L, nd low platelets less than 100,000/mm).				
Full citation Wu, Pensee,	Inclusion criteria Studies including one group of women with pre-eclampsia and another group of		ded in adjustment Adjustment	Results RR (95% CI) Risk of coronary heart disease with pre-	Details ROB assessed using AMSTAR checklist
Haththotuwa, Randula, Kwok, Chun Shing, Babu, Aswin, Kotronias, Rafail A., Rushton, Claire, Zaman, Azfar,	women without pre-eclampsia (with no restrictions in the definition) assessing long-term cardiovascular outcomes. Studies had to report enough data to calculate risk estimates	Bhattacharya 2012	Women's year of birth, smoking, SES	eclampsia outcome, RR 2.50 (1.43 to 4.37) Risk of cardiovascular disease death with pre- eclampsia outcome, RR 2.21 (1.83 to 2.66) Risk of stroke with pre-eclampsia outcome, RR 1.81 (1.29 to 2.55)	Total score: 14/16 The following items were not met by the study authors: no list of excluded studies was provided
Fryer, Anthony A., Kadam, Umesh, Chew-Graham, Carolyn A., Mamas, Mamas A., Preeclampsia and Future Cardiovascular Health: A Systematic Review and Meta- Analysis, Circulation. Cardiovascular quality and outcomes, 10, 2017 Ref Id 843408	Exclusion criteria Studies looking at outcomes during antepartum or before 6 weeks postpartum	Hovsepian 2014	Age, ethnicity, insurance status, PE, eclampsia, peripartum haemorrhage/ infection, pregnancy-related hematologic disorders, hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, chronic kidney disease, coronary heart		sources of funding of the included studies were not reported

Study details	Participants			Methods		Results	Limitations
	Maternal charac	teristic	s		disease, peripheral		
the study was carried out	Study	N	Mean age at index pregnancy		vascular disease, atrial fibrillation, tobacco and		
Study type Systematic review and meta-analysis	Bhattacharya 2012	2563	24.4		alcohol use.		
Study dates	Hovsepian 2014	2 066 230	28.3				
Study dates Studies published between 2005 and August 2015	Kaaja 2005	3559	26.7		Age at first		
	Lin 2011 and Tang 2009	1 132 019	Unclear		birth, age, parity, BMI, increased blood		
Source of funding Grant from the North	Mannisto 2013	4445	26.7		cholesterol, HTN, DM, impaired glucose tolerance,		
Staffordshire Heart Committee; 2 of the authors are funded	Savitz 2014	849 639	Unclear	Kaaja 2005			
by the National Institute for Health Research Academic	Stuart 2013	53 003	Unclear		angina pectoris, myocardial infarction		
Clinical Fellowships	Funai 2005	37 913	26.2		Jimarction		
	Lykkee 2009 and Lykke 2010	677 761	26.8				

Study details	Participants	Methods	Results	Limitations
	Skjaerven 2012 836 147 Unclear	Age, years of education, marital status, multiple gestations, infant sex, birthweight, parity, long term HTN, pregnancy-related HTN, type 2 diabetes mellitus, antepartum haemorrhage, postpartum haemorrhage, lupus		
		Mannisto 2013 Pre-pregnancy BMI, smoking, parity, diabetes mellitus before pregnancy, and socioeconomic status		

Study details	Participants	Methods		Results	Limitations
		Savitz 2014 Savitz 2014 Savitz 2014 Savitz 2014 Savitz 2014	ear, age, nnicity, alth surance, stational abetes ellitus, rity, SES, noking, enatal care, e-pregnancy eight		
		Stuart 2013 par pre	ge, ethnicity, rental story of MI ed<60 y/o, e-pregnancy noking, BMI		
		Funai 2005 dia	ES, type 2 abetes ellitus, stational abetes		
		and Lykke abi	ge, year of th, placental ruption and llbirth		

Study details	Participants	Methods		Results	Limitations
		Skjaerven 2012	Maternal education, maternal age at first birth, and year of first birth		
		Follow-up			
		Study	Follow-up		
		Bhattacharya 2012	Mean 34.5 y		
		Hovsepian 2014	6 weeks postpartum		
		Kaaja 2005	17 years		
		Lin 2011 and Tang 2009	At least 3 y		
		Mannisto 2013	39.4 y		

Study details	Participants	Methods			Results			Limitations
		Savitz 2014	Within 1 y					
		Stuart 2013	8 y					
		Funai 2005	Median 30 years					
		Lykkee 2009 and Lykke 2010	Median 14.6 y					
		Skjaerven 2012	Median 25 years					
Full citation	Inclusion criteria	Factors inclu			Results		1	Details
M., Hsu, P. F., Sung, S. H., Liu, W. L.,	For the exposure sample, women with gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia who had no history of CVD requiring hospitalisation in the 12 months before delivery were identified. For the control group, women without	The study did confounding fa information on routinely collect Health Insuran	ctors becau possible var cted in the N	se the riables is not ational		Women with HDP during pregnancy (N=1260)	Women without HDP during pregnancy (N=5040)	Based on the NICE manual 2014 checklist for prognostic studies and QUIPS Study participation:
hypertension and postpartum incident hypertension on cardiovascular	any GH, PE or eclampsia during pregnancy were identified and matched with the exposure group for age and date of delivery. All diagnoses were based on the ICD-9-CM criteria	Follow-up Median 5.8 ye	ars (IQR 2.9	-8.7 y)	Hypertension Total N		95 (1.88%)	low risk Study attrition: low risk Prognostic factor measurement: low risk

Study details	Participants			Methods	Results			Limitations
population study, European Heart Journal, 35, 368, 2014	Exclusion criteria				Incidence per 1000 person	24.93	3.36	Outcome measurement: low risk Study
Ref Id	Not reported				HR (95% CI)	8.29 (6.30- 10.91)	Reference	confounding: low risk Statistical analysis and reporting: low
843419 Country/ies where	Sample size N= 6300 women				CVD			risk Overall risk of bias: low risk of bias (high
the study was carried out					Total N	68 (5.39%)	114 (2.26)	quality evidence)
Taiwan Study type	Maternal characteristic	cs			Incidence per 1000 person	9.74	3.99	
Retrospective cohort study		re group)	group)		HR (95% CI)	2.44 (1.80- 3.31)	Reference	
Study dates 1st January 1997 to 31 December 2009		Exposure (N=1260)	Control group (N=5040)		CVD (ICD-9 co Hypertension (I	de 390-459) CD-9 code 401	-405)	
Source of funding	Age during pregnancy, years, mean (SD)	29.87 (4.14)	29.87 (4.14)					
Taipei Medical University, National Health Research Institutes, National Health Insurance Research Database,	Gestational hypertension without PE or eclampsia, n (%)	725 (57.54)	-					
National Research Institutes								

Study details	Participants		Methods	Results	Limitations
	Pre-eclampsia, n (%)	93 9.13)			
	Eclampsia, n (%) 42	2 .33)			
		40 0.79) -			
		76 9.52)			
	HDP occurred after the second delivery, n (%)	24 5.71)			
	HDP occurred beyond the third delivery, n (%)	0 -			