Economic evidence tables for review question: What is the effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training (including Kegel exercises, biofeedback, weighted vaginal cones, and electrical stimulation) for improving symptoms of pelvic floor dysfunction?

Table 53: Economic evidence tables for

Study country and type	Intervention and comparator	Study population, design and data sources	Costs and outcomes (descriptions and values)	Results	Comments
 Panman, C. M. C. R., Wiegersma, M., Kollen, B. J., Berger, M. Y., Lisman-Van Leeuwen, Y., Vermeulen, K. M., Dekker, J. H., Two-year effects and cost- effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training in mild pelvic organ prolapse: a randomised controlled trial in primary care, BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 124, 511-520, 2017 Cost utility analysis 	Intervention: Pelvic Floor Muscle Training Comparator: Watchful waiting	 Women aged 55+ Alongside a Randomised Control Trial Source of baseline data: Randomised Control trial (N=287) Source of effectiveness data: Randomised Control Trial (N=287) Source of cost data: Randomised Control Trial (N=287) Source of unit cost data: Dutch tariffs 	Costs (type): Physical therapy, medical appointments, adsorbent pads. Mean cost per participant (2 years): Intervention: €330 Control: €91 Difference: €239 Primary measure of outcome (if remission how defined; if based on scale, what that scale is; if QALYs method of eliciting health valuations): Mean outcome per participant:	ICERs: €31,983 Sensitivity analysis: Bootstrap analysis (5000 iterations)	Currency: Euros Cost year: 2013 Time horizon: 2 Years Discounting: Not mentioned Applicability: Partially applicable Limitations: very serious limitations

Pelvic floor dysfunction: evidence reviews for pelvic floor muscle training for the management of symptoms FINAL (December 2021)

Study country and type	Intervention and comparator	Study population, design and data sources	Costs and outcomes (descriptions and values)	Results	Comments
Funded by: The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development			Intervention: -0.061 Control: -0.067 Difference: 0.008		