© NICE 2021. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Table 31: Clinical evidence profile: Central nervous system stimulants (methylphenidate, modafinil, dexamphetamine, lisdexamphetamine) versus placebo

	110407	anipiio	tairiiie) vei	ous pluss	<b>D</b> O												
			Quality ass	essment			No of patients		Effect								
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Central nervous system stimulants (methylphenidate, modafinil, dexamphetamine, lisdexamphetamine) versus placebo	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance					
Quality o	of Life: SE36	nhysical	total (follow-up	4-6 weeks: ra	ouality of Life: SE36 physical total (follow-up 4-6 weeks: range of scores: 0-100: Better indicated by higher values)												

ed no serious risk of bias	rious k of as inconsiste ental total (follow rious k of as inconsiste tality (follow-up as inc	v-up 4-6 weeks; ra	serious <sup>2</sup> nge of scores: serious <sup>2</sup>	0-100; Better inc	70 (methylphenidate or dexamphetamine)  dicated by higher values)  70 (methylphenidate or	70	-	MD 1.63 higher (4.11 lower to 7.37 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
ed no serious risk of bias	no seriou rious inconsiste k of as	s serious <sup>1</sup>			70	70	_	MD 3 51		
serious risk of bias F36 vitality	rious inconsiste k of as tality (follow-up	ency	serious <sup>2</sup>	none		70	-	MD 3 51		I
ed very		20 days; range of s			dexamphetamine)			higher (1.67 lower to 8.69 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
	no coriou		scores: 0-100; I	Better indicated	by higher values)					
serious <sup>3</sup>			very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 0.6 lower (15.95 lower to 14.75 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
36 physica	nysical role limita	ition (follow-up 20	days; range of	scores: 0-100; I	Better indicated by higher values)					
ed very serious <sup>3</sup>			serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 6.45 lower (26.66 lower to 13.76 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
36 physica	nysical function	follow-up 20 days	; range of scor	es: 0-100; Better	indicated by higher values)					
ed very serious <sup>3</sup>			very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 1.6 lower (19.6 lower to 16.4 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
36 mental	ental health (foll	ow-up 20 days; rai	nge of scores: (	0-100; Better ind	icated by higher values)					
ed very serious <sup>3</sup>			very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 6.3 lower (16.26 lower to 3.66 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
	notional role lim	tation (follow-up 2	20 days; range	of scores: 0-100	; Better indicated by higher values	)				
36 emotion	,		serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 19.3 lower (35.88 to 2.72 lower)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
se		ry no serious inconsiste	ry no serious inconsistency serious serious no serious	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of roles)  ry no serious se	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100 no serious inconsistency serious serious none	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values  ry no serious serious¹ serious² none 28	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)  ry no serious inconsistency serious none 28 (modafinil)	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)  ry no serious inconsistency serious serious none 28 (modafinil) 14 -	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)  ry rious <sup>3</sup> no serious serious <sup>1</sup> serious <sup>2</sup> none  28 (modafinil)  14 - MD 19.3 lower (35.88 to 2.72 lower)	notional role limitation (follow-up 20 days; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)  ry no serious inconsistency serious <sup>1</sup> serious <sup>2</sup> none 28 14 - MD 19.3 lower (35.88 to 2.72 lower) WERY LOW

	1		T		ı						Γ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 2.45 lower (22.61 lower to 17.71 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality of	of Life: SF36	social (fo	llow-up 20 days	; range of sc	ores: 0-100; B	etter indicated b	y higher values)					
1	randomised trials	,	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 2.4 lower (21.85 lower to 17.05 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality of	of Life: SF36	general h	ealth (follow-up	20 days; ran	ge of scores:	0-100; Better ind	icated by higher values)					
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 0.4 lower (14.35 lower to 13.55 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Fatigue:	Checklist In	dividual S	strength (CIS) to	tal score (foll	ow-up 4-12 w	eeks; range of so	cores: 20-140; Better indicated by lo	ower val	ues)			
2		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	123 (methylphenidate)	125	-	MD 7.12 lower (12.07 to 2.16 lower)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Fatigue:	Fatigue Sev	erity Scal	e (follow-up 6 w	eeks; range o	of scores: 9-63	3; Better indicate	d by lower values)					
2	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	very serious <sup>4</sup>	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	23 (dexamphetamine or lisdexamphetamine)	21	-	MD 7.67 lower (21.75 lower to 6.4 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Fatigue:	Chalder Phy	sical Fati	gue scale (follo	w-up 20 days	; range of sco	res: 0-21; Better	indicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 0.25 lower (4.92 lower to 4.42 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Fatigue:	Chalder Mei	ntal Fatigu	ue scale (follow-	-up 20 days; r	ange of score	s: 0-12; Better in	dicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28 (modafinil)	14	-	MD 0.4 higher (1.55 lower to 2.35 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Sleep qu	uality: sleep	latency (ti	me taken to fall	asleep in mir	ns) (follow-up	6 weeks; Better	indicated by lower values)					

1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	10 (dexamphetamine)	10	-	MD 1.2 higher (2.91 lower to 5.31 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Psychol	ogical status	s: HADS a	nxiety (follow-u	p 4 weeks; ra	nge of scores	: 0-21; Better ind	licated by lower values)					
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	60 (methylphenidate)	60	-	MD 0.4 lower (1.74 lower to 0.94 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
Psychol	ogical status	: HADS d	epression (follo	w-up 4 weeks	s; range of sc	pres: 0-21; Bette	r indicated by lower values)					
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious imprecision	none	60 (methylphenidate)	60	-	MD 0.4 lower (1.93 lower to 1.13 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
Psychol	ogical status	: Hamilto	n Anxiety Scale	improvemen	t (follow-up 6	weeks; range of	scores: 0-56; Better indicated by lo	wer valu	ies)			
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	13 (lisdexamphetamine)	11	1	MD 5.13 higher (2.08 lower to 12.34 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: AEs	leading t	to discontinuation	on (follow-up	6-12 weeks)							
2	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	10/78 (12.8%) (methylphenidate or lisdexamphetamine)	3/76 (3.9%)	RR 2.91 (0.9 to 9.43)	75 more per 1000 (from 4 fewer to 333 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: Seri	ious AEs	(pyelonephritis)	(follow-up 12	2 weeks)							
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	1/63 (1.6%) (methylphenidate)	0/65 (0%)	Peto OR 7.63 (0.15 to 384.58)	20 more per 1000 (from 30 fewer to 60 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: slee	epiness (f	ollow-up 4 week	s)								

1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious¹	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	21/60 (35%) (methylphenidate)	23/60 (38.3%)	RR 0.91 (0.57 to 1.46)	34 fewer per 1000 (from 165 fewer to 176 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: dry	mouth (fo	ollow-up 4-6 wee	eks)								
2	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	35/75 (46.7%) (methylphenidate or lisdexamphetamine)	18/71 (25.4%)	RR 1.9 (1.22 to 2.96)	228 more per 1000 (from 56 more to 497 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: dizz	iness (fol	low-up 4 weeks	)								
1			no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	30/60 (50%) (methylphenidate)	38/60 (63.3%)	RR 0.79 (0.57 to 1.08)	133 fewer per 1000 (from 272 fewer to 51 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: aka	thisia (fol	low-up 4 weeks)									
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	29/60 (48.3%) (methylphenidate)	34/60 (56.7%)	RR 0.85 (0.61 to 1.2)	85 fewer per 1000 (from 221 fewer to 113 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: abd	ominal pa	ain (follow-up 4 v	weeks)								
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	28/60 (46.7%) (methylphenidate)	23/60 (38.3%)	RR 1.22 (0.8 to 1.85)	84 more per 1000 (from 77 fewer to 326 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: che	st pain (fo	ollow-up 4 week	s)								
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious²	none	17/60 (28.3%) (methylphenidate)	25/60 (41.7%)	RR 0.68 (0.41 to 1.12)	133 fewer per 1000 (from 246 fewer to 50 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: ano	rexia (foll	ow-up 6 weeks)									

		1	1		1	1		1	1		ı	1
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious¹	serious²	none	5/10 (50%) (dexamphetamine)	1/10 (10%)	RR 5 (0.7 to 35.5)	400 more per 1000 (from 30 fewer to 1000 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: hea	dache (fo	llow-up 6 weeks	)								
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	2/15 (13.3%) (lisdexamphetamine)	1/11 (9.1%)	RR 1.47 (0.15 to 14.21)	43 more per 1000 (from 77 fewer to 1000 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: inso	omnia (fol	low-up 6 weeks									
1	randomised trials	very serious <sup>2</sup>	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	1/15 (6.7%) (lisdexamphetamine)	0/11 (0%)	Peto OR 5.66 (0.11 to 299.01)	70 more per 1000 (from 120 fewer to 250 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	events (follo	ow-up 20 (	days)									
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	21/28 (75%) (modafinil)	8/14 (57.1%)	RR 1.31 (0.79 to 2.17)	177 more per 1000 (from 120 fewer to 669 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
	ve function: E		Rating Inventor	ry of Executiv	e Function (B	RIEF), improven	nent in global executive composite	(follow-u	up 6 weeks;	range of score	es: not report	ted; Better
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious¹	no serious imprecision	none	13 (lisdexamphetamine)	11	-	MD 18.02 higher (8.39 to 27.65 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain: Mo	Gill pain Qu	estionnai	re improvement	(follow-up 6	weeks; range	of scores: 0-78;	Better indicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious³	no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	13 (lisdexamphetamine)	11	-	MD 7.84 higher (0.44 to 15.24 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Sympto	m scales: Cli	nical Glob	oal Improvemen	t - severity (fe	ollow-up 6 we	eks; range of sco	ores: 1-7; Better indicated by lower	values)				

	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious <sup>1</sup>	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	13 (lisdexamphetamine)	11	-	MD 1.28 higher (0.3 to 2.26 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
--	----------------------	--	-----------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------	---------------------------	----	---	---	------------------	----------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The majority of the evidence included an indirect population (downgraded by one increment) or a very indirect population (downgraded by two increments). Populations were downgraded if the ME/CFS diagnostic criteria used did not include PEM as a compulsory feature

<sup>2</sup> Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs

<sup>3</sup> Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias

<sup>4</sup> Heterogeneity, I2=86%, p=0.05, unexplained by subgroup analysis.